



**RIVER DISTRICT MASTER PLAN
CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING AGENDA
September 18, 2024, 6:00 PM
Folsom Community Center, (RG Smith Meeting Room)
52 Natoma Street, Folsom, CA**

COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

Lynne Bailey	Rita Mukerjee Hoffstadt	Krystal Moreno
Jennifer Cabrera	Karen Holmes	Scott Muldavin
Bruce Cline	Lisa Horton	Brian Murch
Claudia Cummings	Will Kempton	Mike Reynolds
Brian Dulgar	Jennifer Lane	Edward Roza
Pat Flynn	John Lane	Devin Swartwood
Joe Gagliardi	Barbara Leary	Crystal Tobias
Deborah Grassl	Jim Lofgren	Srinivas Yanaparti

CALL TO ORDER

ROLL CALL

GROUP DISCUSSION/REVIEW AND COMMENT

1. River District Vision Plan, dated September 12, 2024 (Attachment 1)

The Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC) will review and provide final recommendations on the vision plan document to the city's commissions and city council. The consultant and staff responses to the CAC's comments from the August 26, 2024, meeting are included as Attachment 2.

In accordance with the project schedule, the Parks and Recreation Commission will consider a plan recommendation to the city council at its meeting Tuesday, October 1, 2024; the Historic District Commission will hold a public hearing on Wednesday, October 2, 2024; and the Planning Commission will also hold a public hearing on Wednesday, October 16, 2024, both to consider forwarding a recommendation to the city council. The city council is scheduled to consider the project at its meeting on November 12, 2024.

INFORMATION ITEMS

2. CAC Meeting Notes from the August 26, 2024, meeting (Attachment 3)

BUSINESS FROM THE FLOOR

Members of the public are entitled to address the Committee concerning any item within the Committee's subject matter jurisdiction. Public comments are limited to no more than three minutes. Except for certain specific exceptions, the Committee is prohibited from discussing or taking action on any item not appearing on the posted agenda.

NEXT MEETING DATE

None scheduled.

ADJOURNMENT

***NOTICE:** Members of the public are entitled to directly address the Committee concerning any item that is described in the notice of this meeting, before or during consideration of that item. If you wish to address the Committee on an issue which is on this agenda, please complete a blue/green speaker request card, and deliver it to a staff member prior to discussion of the item. When your name is called, stand to be recognized by the Chair and then proceed to the podium. If you wish to address the Committee on any other item of interest to the public, when the Chair asks if there is any "Business from the Floor," follow the same procedure described above. Please limit your comments to three minutes or less.*


In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you are a person with a disability and you need a disability-related modification or accommodation to participate in this meeting, please contact the City Manager's Office at (916) 461-6010, or mkasama@folsom.ca.us. Requests must be made as early as possible and at least two full business days before the start of the meeting.

Any documents produced by the City and distributed to the Committee regarding any item on this agenda will be made available at the City Clerk's Counter at City Hall located at 50 Natoma Street, Folsom, California during normal business hours.

Attachment 1

RIVER DISTRICT VISION PLAN


Dated September 12, 2024



RIVER DISTRICT Vision Plan

Public Draft
September 12, 2024





CITY OF
FOLSOM
DISTINCTIVE BY NATURE

FOLSOM RIVER DISTRICT VISION PLAN

Prepared for the City of Folsom by



RRM Design Group
Lief McKay, ASLA, PLA
Debbie Jewell, PLA

With



Ascent Environmental, Inc.
Pat Angell
Adam Lewandowski, AICP
Lily Bostrom, APA



Economic and Planning Systems
Amy Lapin, APA
Kate O'Beirne



ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

CITY COUNCIL

Mike Kozlowski, *Mayor*

Sarah Aquino, *Vice Mayor*

YK Chalamcherla

Rosario Rodriguez

Anna Rohrbough

CITY STAFF

Elaine Andersen, *City Manager*

Pamela Johns, *Community Development Director*

Desmond Parrington, *Planning Manager*

Robert Goss, *Project Manager*

CITIZEN'S ADVISORY COMMITTEE

John Lane, *Chair - At-Large*

Jim Lofgren, *Vice Chair - At-Large*

Lynne Bailey - *At-Large*

Jennifer Cabrera - *At-Large*

Bruce Cline - *Friends of Folsom Parkways*

Claudia Cummings - *River District Organizing Committee*

Brian Dulgar - *CSUS Aquatic Center*

Pat Flynn - *At-Large*

Joe Gagliardi - *Choose Folsom*

Deborah Grassl - *Friends of Folsom Preservation*

Rita Mukherjee-Hoffstadt - *Folsom History*

Karen Holmes - *Folsom Historic District Association*

Lisa Horton - *At-Large*

Will Kempton - *River District Organizing Committee*

Jennifer Lane - *At-Large*

Barbara Leary - *At-Large*

Krystal Moreno - *Shingle Springs Band of Miwok Indians*

Scott Muldavin - *At-Large*

Brian Murch - *At-Large*

Michael Reynolds - *Historic Folsom Residents Association*

Edward Roza - *US Bureau of Reclamation*


Devin Swartwood - *California State Parks*

Crystal Tobias - *At-Large*

Srinivas Yanaparti - *At-Large*

TABLE OF CONTENTS


1. Introduction and Project Overview.....	9
2. Opportunities and Constraints.....	23
3. Design Concepts for Key Sites.....	47
4. Vision Plan Implementation.....	83
5. Appendices	94



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. River District Vision Plan Area	12
Figure 2. City and State ownership within the River District.....	15
Figure 3. Primary recreational areas within the River District	16
Figure 4. Online community input topics	17
Figure 5. Environmental Opportunities and Constraints, Northern Segment	25
Figure 6. Environmental Opportunities and Constraints, Central Segment.....	26
Figure 7. Environmental Opportunities and Constraints, Southern Segment	27
Figure 8. Potential future bicycle/pedestrian overpass.....	35
Figure 9. The five key sites and linkages.....	48
Figure 10. Schematic diagram of trail loop and new bridge	49
Figure 11. Potential location for bridge based on accessibility and construction feasibility	49
Figure 12. Rodeo Park Conceptual Plan, Phase 1.....	52
Figure 13. Rodeo Park Conceptual Plan, Phase 2.....	54
Figure 14. Rodeo Park Conceptual Plan, Phase 3.....	56
Figure 15. Passive park at end of River Promenade	59
Figure 16. Before and after view of River Promenade concept	60
Figure 17. Concept to redevelop Traders Lane parking lot	64
Figure 18. Roundabout concept at Riley and Leidesdorff.....	64
Figure 19. View of new building concept with Traders Lane in foreground	65
Figure 20. Before and after view from Riley Street of new plaza and building at Traders Lan.....	66
Figure 21. Preliminary High-Level Financial Feasibility Analysis: Trader’s Lane Building	68
Figure 22. Conceptual land use plan for the Corporation Yard.....	71
Figure 23. Enhanced pedestrian network	81
Figure 24. River District project summary table.....	86
Figure 25. Potential future refinements to the River District Vision Plan boundary.....	90

CHAPTER ONE



Chapter 1

INTRODUCTION AND PROJECT OVERVIEW

This chapter contains a brief introduction to the project, describes the existing ownership and operations of the River District Area, and provides the vision and goals for this Vision Plan.

A. PLANNING PROCESS AND PURPOSE

Like the development patterns of many towns along rivers, mining and industrial uses were located along the waterways, while residential and commercial development often occurred away from these areas. Historically, the American River in Folsom played a vital role in the lives of several Native American communities and served various industrial uses during and after the Gold Rush, notably including the generation of electricity. As a result, the City developed with little regard for the river's exceptional scenic and recreational value, similar to many other examples around the country. Today, many towns along riverways are rehabilitating their water courses and repurposing these public spaces to enjoy the many opportunities these natural environments can provide. With this Vision Plan, the City of Folsom aims to do just that – to reconnect the community to the river.

The purpose of this Vision Plan is to establish an achievable vision, shape future growth, and implement an achievable action plan for the City of Folsom while preserving the natural areas. The Vision Plan provides a framework for implementation with key site design concepts to inspire future private redevelopment and incentivize public improvements that reflect the community's values and vision for the River District. Additionally, it documents many other areas of interest for future analysis and consideration for improvements, both programmatic and physical, to the River District area.

The project team and the City of Folsom proactively engaged with State Parks and local Native American tribes during the Vision Plan process in good faith and respect to better understand our shared goals and values for the River District and achieve a partnership and work together to achieve mutual shared interests and benefits for this special place.

The River District Vision Plan (Vision Plan) is a companion document to, and must be consistent with, the 2035 General Plan. The Vision Plan outlines the City's aspirations for the future of the River District with guiding principles, key concepts, and future action ideas. Subsequent projects and improvements within the River District do not require strict compliance with the Vision Plan. Rather, the Vision Plan will help guide future projects, priorities, and decisions consistent with other City policies, ordinances, adopted plans, and required procedures.

B. PROJECT AREA DESCRIPTION

The Folsom River District area is located east of Sacramento within the City of Folsom. The boundary includes a portion of the Folsom Lake State Recreation Area (SRA), lands around the Lake Natoma reservoir, and includes numerous landmarks and destinations. The project area features more than six miles of the American River and Lake Natoma within the City of Folsom.

Regional access to the River District is primarily from Highway 50, with Folsom Boulevard/Folsom-Auburn Road being the primary local roadway connector. There are multiple bicycle and pedestrian trail connections and public transit services linking to the River District area. Some of the trails within the River District are part of much larger trail systems, such as the Jedediah Smith National Recreation Trail, Johnny Cash Trail, American River Trail, and the Pioneer Express Trail. The Sacramento Regional Transit (SACRT) connects the River District to Downtown Sacramento with the Light Rail 'Gold Line' and has three transit stops in the Vision Plan area: Historic Folsom Station, Glenn Station, and Iron Point Station. The River District also contains urban residential areas, a core business district, and restaurant and entertainment options. Refer to Figure 1 for a map of the Vision Plan area.

For planning purposes, the River District Vision Plan area has been divided into three segments:

- Northern Segment - Generally located from Folsom Lake Crossing to the Rainbow Bridge (Greenback Lane). The Northern Segment contains several key visitor destinations such as City Hall, the public library, Folsom City Park, Rodeo Park, the Zoo Sanctuary, and portions of the popular Johnny Cash Trail. Much of the Northern Segment has a natural feel, with some single-family residential areas and civic/commercial zones along East Natoma Street. Much of the Northern Segment is owned by the Bureau of Reclamation and operated by the California Department of Parks and Recreation (State Parks), and it contains the CDCR (prison property) on the northeastern edge. This segment also features two landmark bridges over Lake Natoma which add to the visual character and aesthetic interest of the area, the iconic Rainbow Bridge and the historic Truss Bridge, which serve pedestrians and non-motorized vehicle users. There are trails running parallel to the river on both sides that offer a variety of vantage points of the water: the American River Bikeway on the north side and the Canal Trail on the south. The Canal Trail follows the historic decommissioned canal that supplied water to the Powerhouse downstream, and numerous relics of that hydroelectric system remain.



The northern plan segment looking towards Folsom Dam and Folsom Prison

- Central Segment - Generally located from the Rainbow Bridge to Parkshore Drive. This area includes Folsom's Historic District, the City Corporation Yard, the Powerhouse State Historic Park, and Black Miners Bar. The Central Segment is the most densely developed portion of the Vision Plan area, with a variety of public and private uses including commercial, residential, and industrial uses. The Central Segment also includes the Historic Folsom and Glenn Station light rail stations. Formalized access to the water in this area is available in several places: Black Miners Bar on the north side has a boat launch accessible by vehicles, and various pedestrian access points exist on the south side including below the Folsom Boulevard Bridge (Lake Natoma Crossing) and the south end of the Corporation Yard. Pathways along the south shoreline exist, but currently end at the Powerhouse SHP



The central plan segment includes the Corporation Yard (foreground) and the Historic District

property line. A City-owned parcel at Folsom Junction commonly referred to as the “Wye Property” is adjacent to, but outside the River District boundary, and was discussed as a potential addition to the boundary. This action could be considered in the future (refer to Chapter 4 for additional information).

- Southern Segment - Generally located from the Lake Forest Tech Center to the Alder Creek area near Highway 50 and serves as the entrance to the River District for visitors approaching from the south. The Southern Segment is bounded by Folsom Boulevard in the south, and it includes the Willow Creek Recreation Area, Folsom Auto Mall, and the Iron Point Station light rail station. The existing Jedediah Smith Memorial trail follows the shoreline and offers excellent vistas of the lake and features many spectating opportunities for paddling activities and events. An



The southern plan segment is the gateway to the River District from Highway 50

interesting City-owned property known as the Natoma Ground Sluice Diggings, which features remnant evidence of historic mining techniques, is adjacent to the River District area. The Natoma Ground Sluice Diggings area was discussed as a potential addition to the River District boundary, and this action could be considered in the future (refer to Chapter 4 for additional information).

C. EXISTING CONDITIONS









The Folsom River District is a special place which contains myriad opportunities to enjoy nature and scenic vistas, celebrate a diverse blend of cultures and social history, and appreciate engineering ingenuity. The River District area contains a unique blend of public and private land ownership, is surrounded by beautiful open space rich in cultural history, and contains recreational, residential, commercial, and office uses. The non-recreational uses located directly adjacent to the River District are generally associated with Nimbus Dam and are related to the operation of Folsom Lake for flood control, water supply, and/or power generation.


i. Federal and State Operations

Multiple state and federal agencies are involved in operations within the River District, and it is essential to recognize the many important roles of the jurisdictions and agencies with regulatory responsibilities when planning for improvements within the River District area. Cooperation with state/federal agencies will be required for future projects proposed cooperatively on state/federal lands to ensure consistency with agency plans, goals, and objectives.


The project team met with State Parks in the early stages of the project to discuss the vision for the River District and to better understand the parameters and policies in place for future improvements within State boundaries. Refer to Chapter 2, Section C for more information.

SYMBOL KEY

- | | | | |
|---|------------------------|---|--------------------------------|
|  | City-Owned Property |  | Light Rail/Station |
|  | Historic District |  | Public Parking (City or State) |
|  | State of California |  | Boat/Kayak Launch |
|  | Trails (paved/unpaved) |  | Picnic Area |



See Next Page



FOLSOM RIVER DISTRICT

Existing Conditions and Landmarks




Figure 1. River District Vision Plan Area



See Previous Page

Below is a brief description of the primary federal and state agencies that have jurisdiction within the River District area:

- U.S. Bureau of Reclamation (Bureau) – The Bureau’s primary function is to provide flood control, water supply and power generation via the reservoirs, but it also allows for public access and recreation.
- Army Corp of Engineers (ACOE) – The Army is involved in the dams and reservoirs within the River District, and the Western Area Power Administration (WAPA) actively manages their transmission lines and rights-of-way throughout the District.
- State of California (State) – Much of the State-owned lands around Lake Natoma are operated by the California Department of Parks and Recreation (State Parks) and this includes the Folsom Powerhouse State Historic Park. The California State University Aquatic Center and State Prison are also State-owned and located adjacent to the boundary of the River District area. Additionally, California’s Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation owns a small amount of land north of Rodeo Park between State Parks’ land and the river. Refer to Chapter 2 for a list of potential opportunities on federal and state lands.

ii. City Jurisdiction and Interface Points


The City of Folsom is another owner of a significant percentage of land within the River District boundary. City jurisdiction includes public streets, bridges, and adjacent right-of-way and easements. In addition, the City owns and operates several miles of Class I multi-use trails and Class II bike lanes which link with trail systems in adjacent neighborhoods and communities throughout the River District area.

Key areas under City jurisdiction within the River District include:

- City Hall Complex – Folsom City Hall is located on Natoma Street and Stafford Street, and it is part of a large area of City-owned properties which collectively contain the Public Library, Community Center, Senior and Arts Center, Zoo Sanctuary, Folsom City Park, and Rodeo Park. The Johnny Cash Trail runs through the northern portion of the complex.
- Historic District – Within the River District, Folsom’s Historic District is generally located from Sutter Street to the south and extends to Leidesdorff Street to the north, and it runs west to east from Reading Street to Scott Street. The Historic District contains residential, dining, and shopping areas, and there is an existing City-owned parking structure located at the corner of Reading Street and Leidesdorff Street.

The Historic District provides for a variety of seasonal activities and events throughout the year and contains a pedestrian promenade on the 700 block of Sutter Street, as well as a central Public Plaza with the historic railroad turntable which is utilized for public events such as Farmers Market on Saturdays. Several of the buildings within the Historic District are architecturally significant and contribute to the authenticity of the City’s rich history and reflect the unique charm and character of Folsom.

- The City owns property known as Trader’s Lane that currently serves as a surface public parking lot for approximately 125 cars. The City also owns a few other smaller parcels within the Historic District area.
- City Corporation Yard – The Corporation Yard is an approximately 16-acre area located between Lake Natoma and Forrest Street, generally between Young Wo Circle and Burnett Street. It is currently used by the City of Folsom for offices, storage, maintenance, and parking of their fleet vehicles.



- River District Boundary
- City Boundary
- City Parks
- State Lands
- City Parcels

Figure 2. City and State ownership within the River District

iii. Primary Recreation Areas

Folsom Lake SRA is one of the most popular parks in California, and about 85% of visits to the lake are for recreational activities. During the warm times of the year, the River District experiences an influx of visitors and local community members seeking the enjoyment of outdoor spaces. The popularity is largely due to the easy access and proximity to Sacramento. As the regional urban areas continue to become denser, the need for preserving outdoor activities in natural areas becomes more important and increased use of the River District is anticipated in the future.

The natural areas of Lake Natoma are ideal for many recreational opportunities ranging from non-motorized boating, swimming, camping, picnicking, walking, biking, and nature/history/culture appreciation. The River District provides ample opportunities for all ages and abilities to explore nature and is a valuable escape from the surrounding suburban areas. The picturesque shoreline offers multiple views across Lake Natoma and there are many opportunities for recreational activities and exercise. There are three existing major recreational areas in the River District area, and they are all operated by State Parks:

- Black Miners Bar Area - includes public parking, boat ramp, paddle board rentals, day use, public restrooms, and group camping.
- Powerhouse State Historic Park - features a visitor center, restroom, picnic areas, interpretive exhibits, and walking trails, all in support of the historic Powerhouse buildings and infrastructure.
- Willow Creek Recreation Area - includes public parking, boat ramp, and public restrooms.




Figure 3. Primary recreational areas within the River District

D. HISTORY AND BACKGROUND

The River District area has a history spanning more than 4,000 years, rich with Native American activity, mining, early settlement, a global cultural migration during the Gold Rush, the railroad, the Pony Express, and hydroelectric power generation, all with one connecting tissue - the river. The River District contains a diverse and large number of historic resources and archaeological sites, and there are several prehistoric resources that illustrate Native American life. Additionally, there are remains of past mining activities which occurred during the Gold Rush when speculators flocked to the area. Many ethnic groups were pioneers during the Gold Rush, and they contributed to the development of mining settlements along the river shores.

The reservoirs were created in the 1950s by damming the American River as part of the Central Valley Water Project, and there are several remaining elements which relate to hydropower and historic use of water resources. The Folsom Powerhouse, now a State Historic Park (SHP), is one of the oldest hydroelectric facilities in the world and was the first in the world to transmit high-voltage alternative current over a long-distance. The SHP contains portions of the original powerhouse and associated buildings, and a segment of the historic canal that once carried water to the powerhouse building.

E. COMMUNITY OUTREACH OVERVIEW

Engagement with the Folsom community was essential to the success of the River District Vision Plan. Community input combined with deeper insights and guidance from the Citizen’s Advisory Committee (CAC) was the foundation for the vision and key concepts outlined in this Vision Plan.

The CAC oversaw and guided the creation of this Vision Plan and was dedicated to tackling the River District’s complex challenges while embracing its numerous opportunities. The CAC consisted of 24 members, with 12 stakeholder group representatives and 12 at-large members, all of which were appointed by the City Council. These members represent a wide range of interests and backgrounds, reflecting much of the River District’s recreational, environmental, business, and community interests. Over the course of 14 meetings, the CAC influenced and informed most of the design and key site concepts within this Vision Plan.

In addition to these CAC meetings, the project involved extensive public engagement which allowed for ample opportunity to collect community feedback and input through a variety of forums:

- Online community survey
- Project updates through the City’s e-newsletter, printed newsletter, and social media platforms
- Project webpage on the City of Folsom’s website
- Community open house
- Stakeholder interviews
- Joint commission workshop
- Presentation to Planning Commission, Historic District Commission, and Park and Recreation Commission (with recommendations to the City Council)




Figure 4. Online community input topics “Trails and Access” received the most community comments, followed by “Parks and Recreation”

High priority community interests and common themes from community outreach are provided in Chapter 2 and listed throughout this Vision Plan. The graph at right depicts the amount of input received for each category in the online community survey. Additional details are provided in Appendix iii.

F. VISION AND GOALS

i. City of Folsom General Plan Goals

The General Plan is the guiding planning document for the City of Folsom. The Land Use Element establishes a vision for how Folsom is to grow and evolve, and it provides policy guidance to meet the River District’s vision for future development, use, and maintenance of public and private lands.

The River District is included within the Land Use Element of Folsom’s 2035 General Plan, which states that there is an opportunity to make the river a more integral part of the community by increasing attention and access. The goals for the Vision Plan from the General Plan are important building blocks of future growth, uses, and activities within the River District Vision Plan area, and aim to:

- Increase public access
- Increase recreation opportunities
- Increase economic growth

The General Plan also identifies several elements that are important for the community of Folsom, and the following objectives are integrated throughout this Vision Plan to ensure a more prominent and distinguishable sense of place for the River District:

- Provide City gateway enhancements
- Commit to high-quality design
- Enhance Folsom’s heritage
- Celebrate and protect environmental, cultural, and historical resources

ii. Creating a Vision for the River District

The River District is envisioned to become a focal point of activity that attracts residents and visitors to enjoy its many amenities and its unique, natural, and historic small-town feel. The plan seeks to build upon the unique elements that make Folsom special, such as the relationship of the historical town to the river and the extensive existing trail system, to develop a more walkable City with distinct placemaking elements for residents and visitors. The River District Vision Plan’s vision was shaped to align with the community values that emerged from public outreach. This plan aims to provide specific guidance and direction for implementing increased opportunities for recreation and access, economic growth, and celebration of the area’s cultural, historic, and environmental resources.



A typical scene on the river during summer

The vision for the River District Vision Plan is to:

- Create a unique destination with improved wayfinding and access, to protect and preserve the aesthetic, environmental, historical and cultural qualities of the River District while enhancing the uses for residents and visitors, and to achieve a harmonious balance of collective interests for the River District area.
- Stimulate and guide future land use decisions, enhance tourism, foster economic growth, and create an inspiring vision of recreation opportunities and increased access, use, and appreciation of the River District area.
- Activate the City's waterfront opportunity sites for recreation and economic growth through strategic partnerships and land use opportunities and inspire innovative urban and community design ideas and solutions.
- Provide a wider variety of entertainment, shopping, recreational, and dining opportunities to enliven the River District with people of all ages and backgrounds.
- Create a family-friendly, pedestrian-oriented district with safe, walkable, and attractive streets and trails with vibrant public places to gather, play, relax, and attend events.

iii. Summary of Implementation Recommendations

The following recommendations are a summary of the future action items within the River District, and they are listed by priority (refer to Chapter 4 for more information):

- **Recommendation 1:** Implement the Key Site Concept Plans to achieve the vision and planning principles for the River District and help to spur reinvestment in the River District (refer to Chapter 3)
- **Recommendation 2:** Focus on defining and attracting development opportunities for key sites. This could include the following efforts by the City (refer to Chapter 2, Section D)
- **Recommendation 3:** Implement Programmatic Opportunities as feasible and set the stage for future investment with improvements to the physical setting in the River District, such as increased outdoor gathering areas, recreational spaces, and enhanced placemaking elements (refer to Chapter 2, Section F)
- **Recommendation 4:** Pursue federal, state, regional, and local funding sources for infrastructure and planning projects (refer to Chapter 4)



G. PLANNING PRINCIPLES

This Vision Plan is a visioning document and contains conceptual plans and recommendations to implement the community's vision for the River District. This Vision Plan incorporates five primary planning principles which apply districtwide and are fundamental to achieve realization of the goals for the River District. These principles were used to inform each key site concept created for this Vision Plan (refer to Chapter 3 for additional information)::

GUIDING PLANNING PRINCIPLES

PRINCIPLE 1

- » Engage in early consultation and partnerships with State Parks and Native American tribes

PRINCIPLE 2

- » Promote a "walkable city" by increasing safety and ease of access for pedestrians, bicyclists and vehicles

PRINCIPLE 3

- » Create connections for increased recreational or economic opportunities


PRINCIPLE 4

- » Celebrate, educate, and conserve the City's historical, cultural, and environmental resources

PRINCIPLE 5

- » Commit to "best in class" efforts to inspire residents and visitors as projects and programs are implemented

CHAPTER TWO



Chapter 2

OPPORTUNITIES AND CONSTRAINTS

This chapter discusses the overarching opportunities and constraints for the entire River District area. This is a vast area with a variety of conditions, and this chapter provides a general summary of the primary issues that may affect future uses within the Vision Plan area. Additionally, depending on future demand, certain utility capacities may need to be addressed as individual projects are implemented. Chapter 3 provides a list of potential catalyst projects and objectives to implement the vision for Folsom’s River District..

A. EMPHASIS ON PROTECTION AND ENHANCEMENT

The River District contains a diverse landscape with urbanized areas along its periphery and a variety of natural areas surrounding Lake Natoma. The River District has been a hub of human activity for thousands of years. This long history of human use is evident in the many Native American, Euro-American, and African American archaeological and historic resources found in the District.

Protection, preservation, and enhancement of these valuable natural and cultural resources is an emphasis of this Vision Plan. The opportunities and constraints analysis described in Chapter 2 considered these important resources and identified recommendations for enhancing and protecting these sensitive resources.

The River District contains sensitive habitats that provide for numerous species and have special regulatory protections by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW), US Fish and Wildlife Service, California Water Resources Control Board, and US Army Corps of Engineers. These include the following. Refer to Appendix i for more information.

- Approximately 421 acres of oak woodlands and 18 acres of mapped wetlands (in addition to Lake Natoma itself). There is also the potential for unmapped vernal pools to exist throughout the River District.
- The River District includes habitats that could include up to 10 different special status plant species. While none of these special status plants have been identified in the River District, the wetlands and grasslands in the District could support these species.
- Similarly, up to 15 species of special status wildlife could be supported by habitats in the River District. Only one special status wildlife species (valley elderberry longhorn beetle) has been detected in the River District.
- In addition, there are 14 sensitive natural communities found throughout the River District. These are native plant communities identified by CDFW as having a limited distribution and vulnerability to the effects of development. They include Valley Oak woodlands, two different riparian communities, and several different grassland communities.

This Vision Plan identifies five key priority sites for potential improvements aimed at avoiding or minimizing direct impacts to sensitive habitats. Additionally, site-specific project planning would precisely map these resources and incorporate design approaches or mitigation measures to avoid degradation of sensitive habitats, consistent with regulatory requirements. The Vision Plan also proposes habitat enhancement through the planting of native species throughout the River District.

- This Vision Plan directs the proposed project improvements away from sensitive natural communities, wetlands, and other habitat for special status species, where feasible.
- Native American tribes in this region regard the River District area as a “cultural landscape” and it is very important to recognize the historical and pre-historical cultural resources that are located throughout the River District. It is critical to partner with Native American tribes in early consultation during the project scope development stages to protect and to prevent unnecessary or unintended impacts to cultural resources and to teach and celebrate Native American history where appropriate.

- In addition, future site-specific project planning would include surveys in conjunction with Native American tribes for the presence of special status species and/or design approaches and mitigation to minimize disturbance to these resources.
- The River District contains historic buildings and resources associated with water resources and the early settlement of Folsom. This Vision Plan directs proposed project improvements away from historic resources, which would continue to be protected consistent with the City of Folsom Historic Preservation Master Plan.

The location of the River District along the American River was and is an ideal setting for Native American settlement, and evidence of thousands of years of Native American habitation can be found throughout the District. While most of the River District has been surveyed for archaeological resources, a complete archaeological survey has not been completed for the entire area. This Vision Plan recommends that partnerships and consultation with appropriate Native American tribes and a review of cultural resource databases occur during the preliminary planning stages of individual projects. Where complete information on cultural resources is not available, additional archaeological surveys may be necessary. The design of individual projects should incorporate approaches to avoid or minimize impacts to cultural resources. This provides an opportunity to identify and protect archaeological resources early in the design process and, where appropriate, provide opportunities to interpret Native American uses in the River District.

B. RIVER DISTRICT CONSTRAINTS

The project team reviewed the existing constraints within the River District area which included:

- Environmental Hazards - Such as flood zones, steep slopes, high shrink-swell potential in the soils, hazardous material sites, and landslide potential.
- Sensitive Natural Resources - Such as wetlands, scenic views, and sensitive plant and animal habitats.
- Sensitive Receptors - Such as residential neighborhoods and schools that would be sensitive to air quality emissions and noise from new projects.
- Cultural Resources - Such as historical, archaeological sites, and eco-cultural landscapes.
- Land Tenure - Such as public ownership and locations of existing development.

The overall level of constraints described above were organized into four broad categories to better understand the feasibility of potential improvements related to recreational uses and economic growth and revitalization:

- Redevelopment Unlikely - Areas are already developed with established land uses that are unlikely to change in the foreseeable future.
- Least Constrained - City-owned property that has no prohibitive environmental constraints identified, or federal or state lands with no constraints or only one minor environmental constraint. These areas are suitable for the full suite of improvement opportunities.
- Moderately Constrained - Areas with one or two minor constraints identified on City-owned property or two minor constraints identified on state/federal property, but no wetlands or high landslide susceptibility areas present. These areas are appropriate for trails and recreation uses. Structures and more intensive uses may be possible but could require specialized design approaches or environmental mitigation.
- Highly Constrained - Areas with three or more overlapping environmental constraints and areas with wetlands, cultural resources, or high landslide susceptibility. While developing a building or structure could be possible in these areas, it will be more difficult due to the need to mitigate the presence of several environmental resources and/or hazards.

In general, the Least Constrained areas represent the most obvious opportunities for River District improvement projects. However, it is important to note that although the Moderately and Highly Constrained areas might present greater development challenges, this does not imply that work in these areas is an impossibility. In addition, the areas close to the river have high sensitivity regarding ground disturbance and this type of activity should be minimized within the River District. Further study of potential environmental impacts will need to be done at the time a specific project(s) is defined. Refer to Appendix i for additional information.




Figure 5. Environmental Opportunities and Constraints, Northern Segment (Ascent)




Figure 6. Environmental Opportunities and Constraints, Central Segment (Ascent)




Figure 7. Environmental Opportunities and Constraints, Southern Segment (Ascent)

C. LAND USE AND OWNERSHIP OPPORTUNITIES

Land use designations provide the framework to regulate the types of use, location, level of development, or degree of natural resource protection. Land uses are established by the City's General Plan and Zoning Code, as well as other agency plans; nothing in this Vision Plan changes those land use designations. However, concepts introduced in key opportunity sites may require modifications moving forward with all appropriate processes and procedures. The purpose of this Vision Plan is to ensure that the resulting land uses are sensitive to the River District's naturalistic setting and scenic values and encourage a positive relationship with the surrounding neighborhoods.

The River District area encompasses many publicly and privately owned parcels and is an interconnected web of ownership. This Vision Plan is intended to serve as a link between the multitude of public agencies and private ownerships and provide a roadmap to a successful River District. Interagency coordination, cooperation, and cross-jurisdictional commitment to shared action and stewardship are critical to fulfill the envisioned concepts of this Vision Plan.

i. Opportunities within City Lands

The following list includes potential future opportunity areas which were highly supported by the Folsom community and align with the City's goals for increased access, enhanced recreational uses, or economic growth. These areas are recommended for near-term improvements to create a more vibrant, active, and beautiful River District:

- **City Hall Complex and Rodeo Park** - The community was supportive of making improvements to Rodeo Park and suggested making it a multipurpose facility with upgraded sports fields and the inclusion of family-friendly elements. Refer to Chapter 3 for potential improvements to the Rodeo Park area (Key Site 2).
- **Historic District** - There was strong support by the community to improve pedestrian safety along Riley Street and Greenback Lane, and to add pedestrian amenities near the Rainbow and Historic Truss Bridges. There was also community support to improve the existing parking lot along Trader's Lane with visitor-serving uses and public gathering spaces. Refer to Chapter 3 for potential improvements to Leidesdorff Street and Riley Street (Key Sites 3 and 4).
- **Corporation Yard** - The CAC supported planning this area with commercial, entertainment, and visitor-serving uses (such as retail, a public market, a boutique hotel, a museum/cultural facility), with some residential and active park uses. Refer to Chapter 3 for potential improvements to the Corporation Yard area (Key Site 5).

ii. Alignment with State Plans and Policies


Federal and State lands make up most of the property adjacent to Lake Natoma and these areas are primarily for flood protection, for protecting and managing the natural and cultural environment, and for recreation uses. However, there are items that cross over between the River District Vision Plan and State Parks goals and the following project partnerships to provide a mutual benefit, such as the Key Site 1 project for this Vision Plan which includes a bridge and looped trail connection which would accomplish both City and State Parks goals (refer to Chapter 3 for additional information).

State Parks currently has the following two guiding policy documents for the River District area, and this Vision Plan has integrated key concepts from both documents to integrate design concepts that are consistent with State Parks goals and policies to ensure the creation of cohesive projects within the River District:

- Folsom Lake State Recreation Area and Folsom Powerhouse State Historic Park General Plan/Resource Management Plan (FLSRA GP)
- Folsom Lake State Recreation Area and Folsom Powerhouse State Historic Park Road and Trail Management Plan (RTMP)

The following list is a summary of the high-ranking ideas for this Vision Plan which align with State Parks goals:

- The FLSRA GP contains several goals that correlate and are in alignment with the community and CAC vision for the River District:
 - › Create access points as needed (page ES-9)
 - › Provide trails from Historic District and City Corporation yard and loop around both lakes (page ES-11)
 - › Improve access to Powerhouse SHP (page ES-12)
 - › Willow Creek - capacity to serve more park facilities (page II-44)
 - › Black Miners Bar - improve pedestrian paths along the shoreline and connection with Truss Bridge, and consider parking closer to the lake, restrooms, interpretive facilities, and boat storage (Negrobar-2, 7, and 8)
- The RTMP for the Folsom Lake State Recreation Area also provides several policies that correlate and correspond with the River District's vision:
 - › Coordinate with the City of Folsom on bike trail connections (LLN#18)
 - › Enhance pedestrian connections to downtown and Lake Natoma and trail connections and access to Lake Natoma (ULN#3)
 - › Provide an improved ADA-accessible trail or boardwalk connecting the Powerhouse to the Lake Natoma shoreline (ULN#4)
 - › Parkshore access interpretive trail and bike trail connections (LLN#16 and #18)
 - › Willow Creek - improve parking and amenities to support trail use and paddling opportunities (LLN#11)
 - › Black Miners Bar - improve parking lot design, enhance access, and provide interpretation about the Rainbow Rocks (ULN#1 and 11)
 - › Black Miners Bar enhancements - parking lot redesign, potential for a small amphitheater, picnic facilities, interpretive facilities, shoreline trail, and American River Canyon Drive access trail (ULN#2, 5, and 6)
 - › Interpret historical features along the American River Bike Path (ULN #10)



ADDITIONAL FUTURE OPPORTUNITIES



The following list includes additional potential future opportunities that were highly supported by the Folsom community for the River District and generally align with State Parks goals for increased access, interpretation, and/or recreation. However, due to a need to prioritize projects, these items were not as highly supported by the CAC at this time.

- The central segment of the River District contains several areas within State Parks lands which have the potential to increase recreational uses and increase the number of visitors to the SRA which would provide economic benefits for the community. The following items are recommended to be considered for the River District:
 - › A Welcome/Visitor/Cultural/Interpretation Center, potentially at Black Miners Bar or "Museum Flat" (Folsom Blvd and Hwy 50)
 - › Folsom Boulevard Bridge - Pillar Mural Project (Lake Natoma Crossing)
- Trail Connections (e.g. American River Canyon Drive and Greenback Lane user trail - improve and formalize into formal trail)
- Shoreline Trails along Black Miners Bar shoreline and FPSHP shoreline - improve access to water and views and provide cultural and heritage interpretation.
- Vehicle access improvements into Willow Creek and Black Miners Bar such as line of sight, vegetation clearing, and incorporating acceleration and deceleration vehicular travel lanes, as well as site/district branding and wayfinding.
- Greater incorporation of FPSHP into City of Folsom Historic District - such as programs, events, and other community outreach connections
- Create "Art Walk" concept as more public art is installed in the SRA (such as the Johnny Cash Trail and Bridge Pillar Mural Project)
- Promote bridge tours as additional trail bridges are installed (such as the Truss Bridge, Robbers Ravine, and the Johnny Cash Bridge over Folsom Crossing)
- Resurface the Alder Creek Bridge
- Enhance Alder Creek Pond and provide pedestrian access to the pond.

D. ECONOMIC CONSIDERATIONS

This section summarizes the overall economic conditions existing within the River District area and includes strategies to enhance the vitality of the Vision Plan area. The economic portion of the project is critical because the City needs to provide revenue to fund the costs of the Vision Plan improvements.

The project team examined existing socioeconomic and real estate conditions in and around the River District area to provide a better understanding of the dynamics and drivers of existing real estate development. Key findings of the study are listed below.

- With about 22,900 residents as of 2021, about one-third of the City's population and housing supply are in or adjacent to the River District area.
- The City has seen moderate growth in population and households over the last decade, along with a substantial increase in housing supply. As new housing continues to develop in the Folsom Plan Area, south of US Highway 50, the City is expected to experience accelerated growth in both population and households. Although the Folsom Plan Area is farther away, this population increase will drive more demand for public and private opportunities in the River District.
- Residents in the River District area are notably older, with a median age of 45, than the City and State.
- Households tend to be smaller in the River District area relative to the City, and the median income of households in the study area is slightly lower than the Citywide median income but significantly higher than the Statewide median income.
- Housing values and rental rates have increased within the River District Area and the Area maintains low residential vacancy rates, but values remain lower and vacancy rates slightly higher compared to the City overall.
- The River District area comprises about one-tenth of the City's total retail inventory, and there has been very limited new retail space added over the past decade.
- Office space in the study area represents about 20% of total Citywide inventory, while industrial space represents about 5% of total Citywide inventory. There has been no new office space or industrial space added to the River District over the past decade.
- Over the past decade, hotels in the City have maintained consistently higher occupancy rates and Revenue Per Available Room (RevPAR) compared to hotels in Sacramento County suggesting potential for additional hotel demand.
- Most of the undeveloped land in the River District area is owned by federal and State agencies. Privately-owned land is largely built out, although there is potential for strategic economic development opportunities in some infill locations, including on City-owned parcels.

i. Summary of Case Studies for River District Activation

The project team reviewed examples from other cities for ideas and inspiration for the River District Vision Plan. The case studies involved land use redevelopment with open space and public space revitalization, which activated these areas and stimulated reinvestment and growth. A description of the case studies is provided in Appendix ii. The case studies yielded several key findings related to potential economic development opportunities for the River District:

- The successful activation of waterfront projects included a mix of both private real estate development (e.g., residential, retail, hotel) and public realm improvements (e.g., park, trails, educational components, amphitheater, public art).
- Projects provided opportunities for encouraging a range of water-related activities (boat launch, watercraft rentals, watercraft storage space).
- Projects leveraged existing historic structures or proximity to historic districts to add placemaking value and celebrate local history and culture.
- Public-private partnerships, which are collaborative agreements between government entities and the private sector, were instrumental in the implementation phase, including facilitating the financing, design, and operation of waterfront projects.

ii. Economic Opportunities for the River District

Economic development will play an essential role in the future success of creating a thriving River District Area. A thriving district depends on several key factors, including population density, though there is not a simple formula that applies universally. Additional factors include an emphasis on mixed-use development that integrates residential, commercial, and public spaces; walkability and accessibility to encourage foot traffic to support local businesses and connections to the riverfront; well-designed public spaces that foster community cohesion; a diverse mix of businesses in the Historic District to attract a wide range of visitors; and cultural or historical attractions to enhance the district's appeal. Regular community events also contribute to its vibrancy by engaging locals and drawing visitors. Ultimately, successful districts balance population levels with walkability, public spaces, and economic opportunities to create a dynamic and sustainable environment.

The City should pursue both public and private economic development opportunities. One approach, supported by the successful implementation in other riverfront revitalization projects described previously, is leveraging public-private partnerships to pool resources, expertise, and investments from both sectors. Some of the economic development opportunities, described in detail in Chapter 3, include:

- Enhanced recreational, and other river-related amenities and activities
- Public park, trail, and recreational amenities (e.g., pay-to-play adventure playground, expanded sports facilities) that leverage existing park, open space, and recreational amenities in the area
- Enhanced pedestrian and bikeway connections between the riverfront and the City's Historic District
- Private real estate development, including retail, hotel, and residential uses, on key privately-owned or City-owned sites

iii. River District Public Space Opportunities

The City should consider opportunities to improve access and infrastructure deficiencies in terms of physical connections and improvements to the river and State Park areas. These economic development initiatives can have significant benefits to the community, including generating tax revenues, supporting local businesses and fostering job creation, and developing a unique area that directly benefits residents and attracts visitors. The following key findings summarize these benefits.

- **Generates direct and indirect economic activity in the economy.** According to a study prepared by the National Recreation and Park Association, parks and recreation agencies in the United States supported nearly \$201 billion in direct and indirect economic activity, including 1.1 million jobs that paid salaries, wages and benefits totaling \$63 billion. This economic activity is based on more than \$41 billion of annual spending on operations and maintenance. This spending, combined with capital expenditures, ripples through the national, regional and local economies as park and recreation employees spend their paychecks, park and recreation agency vendors hire workers, and both agencies and their vendors purchase products and services to serve their clients.
- **Increases property values and property tax revenues.** Homes and businesses located near parks and trails typically see increases in property values leading to higher property tax revenues. Studies show that proximity to well-maintained parks and trails can raise property values by 5% to 15%, with the impact decreasing beyond 500-600 feet. Larger parks, passive parks, and proximity to water tend to generate higher premiums, while nuisances like noise and congestion can reduce property values for adjacent properties.

- **Boosts tourism and related spending and employment.** Investment in public realm improvements will attract more visitors who will spend money in the local economy on accommodations, dining, and retail goods and services. This spending will increase sales tax and transient occupancy tax revenue to the City. In 2023, visitors in Sacramento County generated \$3.2 billion in spending and supported 34,810 jobs. Excluding spending on air travel, visitors spent the most on food services (32% of spending), followed by spending on local transportation and gas (18%), accommodations (16%), arts, entertainment, and recreation (15%), retail (15%), and food stores (4%). Visitor spending estimates per visitor are not readily available and will depend on the visitor's origin, purpose, and duration of the visit.
- **Provides residents with direct public health cost savings.** Residents' use of the city's free (or low cost) park, trails, and recreational opportunities, which reduces the need to purchase these items in the marketplace. Access to parks and open spaces encourages physical activity, leading to improved public health outcomes. Healthier populations can reduce healthcare costs by mitigating chronic diseases like obesity and heart disease.
- **Supports business development and retention and job creation.** Building on the City's riverfront will assist in economic development business attraction and retention efforts. Companies are often attracted to areas with high-quality parks and recreational facilities, as these amenities enhance the quality of life for employees. New or expanded companies create jobs. Further, initial investments in public realm improvements create construction-related jobs during the development phase, and maintenance of these spaces, along with increased tourism and commercial development, generates ongoing jobs.
- **Provides environmental benefits.** Parks and open space contribute to environmental quality by conserving green spaces, enhancing air and water quality, and providing natural disaster resilience. By managing open spaces and implementing green infrastructure, parks make communities more resilient to climate change and reduce the costs of stormwater management.
- **Enhances quality of life and social equity.** Public spaces enhance the livability of a city, making it more attractive to both businesses and residents. This can lead to population and employment growth, which, in turn, supports the local economy through increased demand for services and goods. Access to green spaces helps to address social disparities by providing free or low-cost recreational opportunities to all socioeconomic groups.

iv. River District Tourism Opportunities

Folsom is increasingly focusing on tourism as an economic driver, leveraging its natural resources, historical heritage, and recreational opportunities to attract visitors year-round. Home to a growing number of attractions affords the River District the opportunity to build upon increased tourism opportunities. The Zoo Sanctuary, Powerhouse State Historic Park, Black Miners Bar, Willow Creek Recreation Area, Folsom City Park, City Hall, City Library, Rodeo Park, portions of the Johnny Cash Trail, the Historic District, and the Rainbow Bridge all provide the synergy to enhance the River District as an attractive tourism destination.

The River District has the opportunity to expand offerings for tourists drawn to the area's natural beauty, outdoor recreation, and local history. Leveraging the existing riverfront open space and recreational opportunities and distinct character of the Historic District could help attract additional restaurants and retail spaces, enhancing the area's appeal for both visitors and locals.

The project team noted there are currently strong hotel market fundamentals in the City. A recent hotel market study conducted for the City indicated demand for 180 additional hotel rooms, plus conference space. Further, the hotel study indicated that a location in or within walking distance to the Historic District would maximize success for the hotel and generate additional market support for retail and restaurant offerings in downtown.

Focusing on mixed-use development—integrating lodging, retail, and dining—can create a vibrant atmosphere that maintains the City’s charm and historical significance. This approach would not only improve the visitor experience but also generate increased tax revenues through sales, property, and transient occupancy taxes, benefiting the local economy and community services.

v. River District Retail Opportunities

Most of the retail in the northern portion of the River District is focused on dining, with opportunities for expanding retail that caters specifically to tourists. For retail to be successful in the River District, it will need to be calibrated to a specific market niche that differs from existing area retail to avoid harmful impacts to adjacent centers. Additional retail offerings have the potential to work in the River District if they can supply an unmet need within the community and attract visitors. Successful retail concepts should be positioned as activating amenities and/or in conjunction with tourism-related uses, as discussed above.

vi. River District Housing Opportunities

Like many communities in the State and across the nation, housing demand in the City has continued to outpace supply over the last several decades. This under supply has increased housing costs, impacting affordability and disproportionately affecting the most vulnerable populations. The Folsom River District has and will continue to provide additional residential units, relieving some of this pressure; however, there will be a continued need for housing at a variety of income levels, especially what has been coined as the “missing middle.” Missing middle housing is a term used to describe “a range of house-scale buildings with multiple units—compatible in scale and form with detached single-family homes—located in a walkable neighborhood.” Missing middle housing types include duplexes, four-plexes, cottage courts, and courtyard buildings, which help provide diverse housing options for the community.

Missing middle can also be used to describe housing that is affordable by design. Affordable by design residential units can include the following:

- Physical design of units - Units that have a smaller square footage or lot size, or attached units such as condominiums, townhomes, and apartments.
- Tenancy - Housing setups such as co-housing or single room occupancy (SRO) where common areas are typically shared.
- Financing elements - These include alternative financing structures in the form of housing cooperatives where each tenant owns a share of the housing, but not their unit outright, and rent-to-own units, where tenants typically pay rent for a certain amount of time with the option to purchase the home before the lease expires. In some cases, part of the rent is applied toward the purchase price.

Another housing option is using the Surplus Land Act. Under the California Surplus Land Act, when public agencies plan to sell or lease public property, they must first offer it for developing low- and moderate-income housing to affordable housing developers and other entities through a structured process. An exemption to offering it for housing is provided in the law for parks and recreation uses. This includes:

- Listing the property on a state-maintained registry.
- Interested parties then can negotiate with the City, which can give preference to proposals offering a high number of affordable units. At least 25 percent of units must be affordable. The City may also offer the land for parks and recreation.
- Without an agreement, the City can proceed with the sale, but any future residential development with 10+ units must include at least 15 percent affordable housing.

Any property in the River District owned by an agency with intent to declare the land as surplus would be subject to compliance with current Surplus Land Act regulations and procedures.

E. CIRCULATION AND ACCESS OPPORTUNITIES

Circulation and access are essential to safely and conveniently bringing people from adjacent neighborhoods to experience and discover the River District area. This Vision Plan proposes to close gaps that are currently existing on some of the trail networks, enhance access and connections to Lake Natoma, preserve natural areas, and increase wayfinding and interpretive signage throughout the River District.

Future related projects currently (summer 2024) in progress within the River District include the addition of a “passing track” which is anticipated to be installed adjacent to the Glenn Drive light rail station, just north of Parkshore Drive. This additional line will allow for faster commuting times. Additionally, a future bicycle/pedestrian overpass is planned for Folsom Boulevard near Glenn Drive to provide increased safety and more efficient access (refer to Figure 8). This will present an opportunity to partner with State Parks for interpretation of the olive and citrus groves, the eucalyptus grove, and dredging reclamation. Improvements such as these will allow more people to visit the River District without a vehicle and will likely increase the amount of trail use in the Vision Plan area.

i. Vehicular Circulation and Access

Although there are some existing vehicular access points to Lake Natoma they are limited and some of the entrances are difficult to maneuver from the busy roadways.

New or improved vehicular access points to public parking lots and boat launch facilities should be located where there is the least potential environmental damage to the River District’s environment and impact to the circulation to the surrounding neighborhoods.

The following vehicular access points are recommended to be enhanced, and future traffic/demand studies are suggested to increase access to these areas in the River District:

- Black Miners Bar/Greenback Lane
- Willow Creek/Folsom Boulevard
- Parkshore Drive/Folsom Boulevard
- Iron Point across Folsom Boulevard from the Light Rail Station






Figure 8. Potential future bicycle/pedestrian overpass (City of Folsom, Dokken Engineering)



ADDITIONAL FUTURE OPPORTUNITIES



The following list includes additional potential future opportunities for vehicular enhancements to improve vehicular access and safety which were highly supported by the Folsom community for the River District. However, due to a need to prioritize projects, these items were not as highly supported by the CAC at this time.

- Traffic signal at Folsom Auburn Road at Berry Creek
- Crosswalks at Forrest Street at Natoma Street

Additionally, the following public parking improvements were suggested to make the River District a more enjoyable place to visit:

- Enhance existing public parking lots with large evergreen trees to provide shade on parked vehicles and utilize evergreen shrubs to screen parked vehicles from the street while allowing for views into the site for security.
- Provide electric vehicle charging stations at public parking facilities to attract visitors to stop in the River District to charge their cars while visiting restaurants and shops.

ii. Trail Circulation and Access

The existing trail system in the River District is extensive and the facilities accommodate a variety of users. There is an existing Class I route with only minor breaks at Hazel Avenue/Nimbus Dam and in the Historic District. There is a districtwide need to improve trail connections.

Trails and access generated the most community feedback, reflecting a strong desire to enhance trail facilities within the River District. This includes improving the ease of bicyclist and pedestrian access to Lake Natoma and the Historic District, incorporating trail loops for variety, and integrating additional wayfinding signage districtwide.


It is also a State Park goal to enhance trail connections and access to Lake Natoma (ULN#3), but there are limited areas where new trails can be located due to steep topography around Lake Natoma. Priority should be given to exploring better linkages and enhanced connections to the existing trail system such as:

- Working with State Parks to identify possible new trail locations and trail access connections with increased wayfinding and interpretive signage.
- Providing additional routes on local streets, where feasible, to improve connectivity for bicyclists and pedestrians traveling to designated trail access points.
- Promoting safety and educating the community about trail etiquette with signage describing rules for use of multi-use trails.

- Renovating dirt trails to increase usage and create a more interconnected and accessible trail system, while also improving their sustainability to prevent potential erosion, soil damage, and water quality impacts.
- Integrating a consistent 3-foot to 5-foot-wide decomposed granite soft trail, where space allows, along heavily used paved trails to give walkers additional space. Establish and prioritize trail maintenance funding for “universal access.”
- Providing increased pedestrian amenities along the trail system, located to enjoy the surrounding scenic views, such as overlooks and benches and picnic tables placed under shade trees and/or canopies, and environmental, cultural and historical interpretation and education.
- Incorporating habitat restoration and implementing weed and non-native species eradication where needed and vegetation management to open up views to the river.
- Accommodating a diverse range of trail users, ages, and abilities, and providing designated/identified entryways to accessible trails at key locations throughout the River District.



The River District trail system accommodates a wide variety of users



ADDITIONAL FUTURE OPPORTUNITIES



The following list includes additional potential future opportunities for enhancing the existing trail facilities within the River District which were highly supported by the Folsom community for the River District. However, due to a need to prioritize projects, these items were not as highly supported by the CAC at this time.

- Developing a trail connection along the riverfront from the Historic Truss bridge to the Rainbow Bridge. Improving the existing social/user-demand trail under the Rainbow Bridge and eventually connecting users to the Historic Truss Bridge, the Powerhouse State Historic Park, and the Canal Trail.
- Connecting the Canal Trail to the Johnny Cash Trail and improving and eventually extending the Canal Trail (possible to the Old Dam).
- Improving the west side trails in the northern segment of the River District.
- Formalizing a trail connection from Greenback Lane on the west side of the river.
- Extending the Folsom Parkway Rail Trail across Oakdale Street and connecting with the current trail starting at Mormon Street to establish a continuous trail from Historic Folsom Station to Iron Point Station.
- Improving the existing crossing of the Rail Trail at Bidwell Street.
- Providing a trail connection to Inwood as an alternative to the more daunting Folsom Lake Crossing.
- Enhancing or adding a multi-use trail segment to give American River Canyon residents direct official access to the American River Bike Trail.
- Improving the trails in the area from Parkshore Drive up to Bidwell Street and/or Forrest Street. The loop trail should travel through the eucalyptus grove and the orchard by Glenn Drive, with a series of other unpaved mountain bike/hike trails winding throughout this area.
- Providing a pedestrian access point at American River Canyon Drive.
- Enhancing pedestrian facilities and sidewalks along Blue Ravine in the business park and providing better pedestrian connections from Blue Ravine to the Willow Creek undercrossing.
- Providing a sidewalk on the west side of the bridge over Willow Creek (Blue Ravine Road) in the business park.
- Integrating native plants throughout the River District to enhance the visual appeal along the trails and increase food, shelter, and pollination opportunities for local wildlife and birds.
- Extending dedicated mountain biking trails, but not locating new trails or access near sensitive wildlife habitat areas.


iii. Water Access Enhancements

Access to the water is one of the major attractions to the River District but is limited due to environmental and land ownership constraints. Several public, personal paddle craft boat launch facilities are currently designated throughout Lake Natoma. These launch areas provide the opportunity for vehicles to load and unload boats, watercraft, and other equipment near the water. However, several existing water access points are difficult to see from the roadway and are hard to navigate in heavy traffic conditions.

Effective law enforcement and emergency medical response in the River District is dependent upon quick emergency response times, which at times is dependent upon ease of water access. A future emergency boat launch and/or a new fire boat storage building should be considered within the River District area to help expedite emergency responses. The community identified Black Miners Bar as the most appropriate location for this emergency access improvement. Coordination with State Parks, Folsom Fire Department, and Folsom Police Department will be required to implement the most feasible access improvement and mutual aid responsibilities. A future study is recommended to analyze the emergency response times and costs associated with a new boat launch, fire boat, and/or boat storage building at Black Miners Bar and other possible locations along Lake Natoma.



Access to the water is currently limited along Lake Natoma



ADDITIONAL FUTURE OPPORTUNITIES



The following list includes additional potential future opportunities for water access improvements within the River District which were highly supported by the Folsom community for the River District. However, due to a need to prioritize projects, these items were not as highly supported by the CAC at this time.

- Improvements to the Rainbow Rocks area.
- Better access to the existing boat launch at the Rainbow Bridge area.
- A future set of stairs at the boat launch area at Black Miners Bar, linking the boat ramp to the upper parking lot, to avoid the need for pedestrians use the vehicular access road.
- Additional permanent access points to the water for carriable kayaks or stand-up paddle boards (like the one under Lake Natoma Crossing with ramps to walk boards to the water or a dock).
- Stone or concrete “landings” at different elevations in the water that would extend straight away from existing ramps. This would ensure a good launch point at almost any water level.
- Steep slopes or areas with difficult access should be avoided. Installation of water access facilities should be accomplished with minimal grading and vegetation removal. Care should be taken in the design of water access locations to avoid cultural resource impacts, reduce conflicts between vehicles, bicycles, and pedestrians, and minimize visual impacts.
- A future boathouse along the river.

F. INTERPRETATION AND WAYFINDING IMPROVEMENTS

The community input process revealed that increased interpretive signage was a highly desired amenity for the community and it is also a goal for State Parks to improve interpretation and education programs (FLSRA GP Culture #28 and Interpret-1) and to interpret historical features along the trails (RTMP ULN#10).

There should be a focused collaborative effort with State Parks to educate the community and visitors about the many assets within the River District, and to enhance the visibility of wayfinding elements and signage throughout the River District:

- Create a cohesive districtwide wayfinding and directional signage program to welcome the Folsom community and visitors and guide them to key locations.
- Consider adding the signage program into the City's Strategic Plan.
- Create more clearly defined entries into the River District with gateway elements, public art, and signage.
- Provide increased wayfinding and directional signage at strategic locations, such as primary intersections and public gathering areas.
- Enhance existing interpretive exhibit signage to better unify the Vision Plan area and further educate visitors about the many special qualities of the River District.
- Develop and implement an interpretive resource and wayfinding sign maintenance program with identified funding.

G. PROGRAMMATIC OPPORTUNITIES

The programs described below have been selected because they have the potential to be positively impactful to the River District. If realized, these programs could further the sense of place and vision for the River District area. The programmatic opportunities in this section are sorted by recommended order of priority:

i. Pedestrian Connection Plan

The community mentioned the importance and value of a linked pedestrian route with enhanced experiences and organized, safe and attractive pedestrian routes. A cohesive plan should be developed to integrate these linkages, such as illustrated diagrammatically in Figure 9:

- Between the Corporation Yard to the Northern Segment Canal Trail/Bridge
- Connecting Traders Lane, Historic Folsom Station (railroad plaza and amphitheater and future buildings), Leidesdorff St. and new pedestrian loops in the Corp Yard.

ii. Long-Range Interpretation Plan

A long-range interpretive plan is recommended to be created through a cooperative effort led by State Parks. A long-range interpretive plan is a big opportunity to collaboratively work with State Parks and the local Native American tribes for historical, cultural, and environmental areas of the River District to interpret information, and to describe the unique features of this special area. Collaboration and partnership opportunities exist with Folsom History, the operators of the Folsom History Museum, Chinese Heritage Museum, and the Square Outdoor Museum and Makerspace. Refer to Chapter 3 for additional information.

The interpretation plan should include the following components:

- Locate interpretive signs and educational elements throughout the River District to serve all segments of the community.
- The interpretation signage should appear consistent on both City property and State Parks lands to unify the River District area.

- Provide a mix of interpretive exhibits and educational information through various forms of signage, such as trailside interpretive signs, kiosk exhibits, historical markers, self-guided walks and tours, and brochures. Additionally look for opportunities to integrate cultural events and living history programs to foster a better understanding of the unique history and diverse cultures of the River District area.
- Integrate unique and innovative methods of interpretive and wayfinding elements into private and public projects to add to the unique character and visual interest at the pedestrian level in key locations, such as decorative paving with tiles or plaques containing street names or historical information, or concrete paving with imprints of local wildlife or vegetation.
- Utilize the vast topics and story lines from the River District in existing City communication tools, such as the semi-monthly newsletter, weekly e-newsletter, and social media channels.
- Consider long-term operations and maintenance costs when developing the plan so that maintenance and replacement is funded.

a. Interpretation Interests & Topic Recommendations

The River District contains many scenic views and historical, environmental, and cultural features that provide a unique opportunity for education and interpretation. The area's history spans over 4,000 years and is rich in cultural diversity, engineering wonders, and Gold Rush mining stories. The River District also contains environmentally diverse areas with multiple types of vegetation and wildlife species.

The FLSRA GP (pages III-60 to 66) contains a list of possible topics to consider for interpretation and education within the River District, and the following is a list of additional high-ranking topics from the Vision Plan's community outreach:

- Native American history, culture, and local village life
- Powerhouse history and infrastructure, and contemporary hydroelectric power generation
- Gold Rush history and early 20th century mining/dredging
- Natural resource recovery from Gold Rush and mining damage
- Natomas Ditch and broader American River water history
- Natural settings, natural history, and the natural world
- Railroad and Pony Express history
- Agriculture, Willow Creek, historic orchards/groves, etc.
- Vegetation, fish, and wildlife habitats
- Celebrate the multiple ethnicities and cultures that have migrated to the area and provided important contributions to the City, such as African Americans, Chinese, Italians, etc.
- Re-wilding and Corporation Yard site history

iii. Wayfinding Plan

The River District is large, with many points of interest and an intricate network of trails. Some of these are well known and easily accessed while others are less obvious and intuitive. A comprehensive and consistent wayfinding plan with enhanced gateway and directional signage should be provided to assist visitors with navigation throughout the Vision Plan area and strengthen the relationship between the urban and natural areas. Wayfinding signage is an important communication tool and can provide users with directional assistance, educational opportunities, and inform users about trail etiquette, permitted activities, and regulations.

The wayfinding plan should include improved directional signs to help guide pedestrians, bicyclists, and vehicles to specific locations in the River District area. Part of this wayfinding plan should include the creation of River District branding that can reinforce its identity in the same way the Historic District has done. Because much of the River District is under the jurisdiction of State Parks, this wayfinding plan should be cooperatively created to provide consistency of information and a cohesive design.

The wayfinding plan should be carefully designed to meet various objectives including:

- Create a more distinctive River District identity using cohesive branding, logos, and colors for wayfinding signage and gateway elements to enhance the unique historic character of the River District.
- Provide gateway signage at key entrance points to the River District. Integrate accent landscape elements such as decorative paving, pedestrian scale lighting, and accent vegetation to announce arrival into the River District.
- Major gateways at primary intersections should also include cohesive vertical elements where possible, such as monuments, public art, decorative entry fencing, walls, trellises, interesting lighting, and/or large potted plants to further accentuate the entrances.
 - › Suggested locations for gateway signs include Folsom Boulevard near Highway 50 and Museum Flat (south end) between the Historic District and river at the intersection of Riley and Leidesdorff Streets (central area), and near the intersection of Folsom Boulevard and Greenback Lane (north side).
- Incorporate directional signage to assist motorists in navigating to public access areas and key points of interest, including various points along Folsom Boulevard, Greenback Lane, Natoma Street, and throughout the Historic District.
- Provide changeable and themed banners along primary roadways, where feasible, in the River District area to promote seasonal events. The banners should mimic other River District wayfinding signage designs, fonts, and colors to emphasize the River District as a cohesive and unified area. The Historic District should include these same theming elements but also integrate a unique identity to distinguish the commercial core.
- Integrate trail directional signage to clearly identify routes, neighborhood connections, trailheads, points of interest, and identify key attractions within the River District area. Trail signage shall be cooperatively created with State Parks.
- Ensure directional signage utilizes common, universal symbols that are graphically easy to read and understand. The signage should clearly denote locations of key shopping areas, public parking, bicycle parking, civic buildings, and tourist destinations.
- Directional signage should include directional arrows and distances and/or walking and biking times to key destinations.
- Consider including a changing digital display in key areas outside the Historic District to announce upcoming community events on directional maps located in key locations and primary gathering areas.
- Wayfinding directional signs should be located to reduce glare on the face of the sign, preferably under the shade of a tree and/or placed adjacent to streetlights to ensure visibility in the evening.
- Directional signs should be integrated with other pedestrian amenities, such as benches and trash receptacles, and accentuated with landscaping where feasible.
- Consider long-term maintenance needs including periodic replacement and discuss as an annual budgetary item.

iv. Recreation Enhancements

Recreational use encourages healthy lifestyles, builds community and family unity, and creates community support for parks and open space. Surrounding populations are anticipated to grow in adjacent urban areas and the River District recreational amenities must routinely be evaluated for their desired carrying capacity. Existing park and trail facilities should also be regularly evaluated to accommodate future growth. Failure to evaluate, maintain, and renovate recreation amenities and trails to match community demand will result in unsatisfactory experiences and environmental degradation because of overuse. Parks and recreation improvements ranked high in the amount of community feedback and interest, and the River District offers ample recreational opportunities with its vast size and diverse resources. Additionally, special events and festivals were the highest ranked recreation activity from the online questionnaire. This indicates an opportunity for the City to work with State Parks and private promoters to introduce new community programs for both residents and tourists. This Vision Plan recommends building upon the existing facilities to create more opportunities for outdoor gathering and recreational activities:

- Work with State Parks to expand year-round recreational facilities and public gathering opportunities within the River District.
- Evaluate opportunities to provide a more diverse mix of recreation and tourism activities that are compatible with nearby land uses and integrate a blend of recreational uses that are appealing to all age groups and abilities. Enhance spaces to better facilitate events such as parking areas or smaller vacant sites.
- Provide increased seasonal events and community activities to attract users to the River District area and contribute to an important revenue stream that can help support on-going operations and maintenance. Special events are encouraged because they can introduce new users to the River District and can potentially result in an expanded base of community awareness and increased long-term support for future improvements. Seasonal events and activities should occur in a manner that minimizes impacts on other River District users, natural resources, and aesthetics of the Vision Plan area. The Folsom community provided the following list of events and activities to consider for the River District:
 - › Outdoor events such as art shows, farmers markets, and start/finish runs or bike races/events
 - › Revitalized orchards, restored oak woodlands, botanical gardens, community gardens, community teaching garden with CA native plants, and butterfly/ pollinator gardens
 - › Covered picnic areas and barbecue facilities
- Evaluate opportunities for unique recreational experiences that build on existing activities and demand such as a water tour – canoe, kayak, stand-up paddleboard (SUP) interpretive tours of points of interest seen from the water. These activities can be offered seasonally or monthly during the warmer months.
- Recreational facilities should be designed to blend into the surrounding natural environment. Consider utilizing nature-oriented play and art elements throughout the River District to highlight the surrounding environment.
- To encourage increased use of the River District’s recreational facilities and integrate maps which identify other recreational and activity areas within the Vision Plan area.
- Outdoor lighting in recreational areas should be carefully located to provide security while minimizing impacts to wildlife and night sky aesthetics, such as optimizing foot candle ratios and providing shielding, full cut off optics, timers, and/or motion sensors.

v. Streetscape Improvements


Streetscape improvements are recommended to enhance the safety of the key pedestrian crossings and to create a more defined sense of place for the River District area. Refer to the Historic District Design and Development Guidelines regarding the Historic District Circulation Plan and proposed new street layouts.

- Primary roadways within the Vision Plan area should be enhanced with themed elements within the public right-of-way to clearly designate the River District area, promote pedestrian and bicycle use and enjoyment, incentivize redevelopment, and create a safe and inviting atmosphere.
- Enhance existing primary roadways and key alleyways with accent landscaping, pedestrian scale lighting, decorative paving at key crosswalks, and pedestrian amenities such as benches, shade trees, and trash receptacles. Consider providing conveniently located water bottle refilling areas and dog bag stations within or adjacent to primary gathering areas.
- Evaluate opportunities to separate pedestrians from vehicular travel lanes, with potted plants, low bollards, landscaped planters, raised planters, seat walls, benches, or a consistent pattern of on-street parking to clearly identify these spaces as people places.
- Carefully consider appropriate opportunities to integrate accent lighting to enliven and activate pedestrian gathering areas such as overhead string lights, hanging lights, and/or lights wrapped around street trees.
- Work with the City's Arts Commission to strategically locate public art in highly visible areas where there are high amounts of pedestrian traffic or places where people congregate such as parks, plazas, and along primary alleyways and roadways. Consider providing both temporary and permanent art installations on public and/or private property to increase visual interest throughout the River District.
- Provide a more comfortable transit environment by enhancing bus shelters and transit stops as transit use increases in the future. Seek opportunities to promote and increase ridership.
- Install corner bulb-outs and shortened crosswalks, where feasible, to decrease the number of traffic lanes a pedestrian must cross and narrow streets to allow for enhanced bike lanes and pedestrian walkways. Additionally, look for opportunities to integrate refuge islands, priority pedestrian signal timing, in-road flashing lights, and/or decorative crosswalks in key locations with high levels of pedestrian or bicycle activity.
- Evaluate opportunities to enhance primary public alleyways, where feasible, with decorative elements as pedestrian-scale and accent lighting, public art/murals, potted plants, landscaping, and decorative paving in key locations.

H. IDENTIFICATION OF KEY SITES

Early in the Vision Plan process, existing opportunity sites were identified which could potentially accommodate future improvements and/or make a significant enhancement to the River District. Five conceptual key site plans have been created for this Vision Plan which illustrate potential future improvements within the River District, and they provide an approach to achieving the vision and planning principles for the River District. Refer to Chapter 3 for additional information.

CHAPTER THREE



Chapter 3

DESIGN CONCEPTS FOR KEY SITES

This chapter contains a list of design ideas and potential improvement recommendations for specific locations within the River District. The CAC prioritized five key sites shown in Figure 9 from several options because they can deliver the most impact and benefit to the River District area. The concepts in this chapter are provided to set a framework for a strategic approach to optimize the improvement potential of the River District area.

A. DESIGN CHARACTER AND INTENT

The sheer size of the River District means it is impractical to prepare a conceptual design for the entire Vision Plan area. Instead, the CAC worked with the project team to identify a prioritized list of key sites to focus on conceptual and illustrative designs in more detail and they were selected because they represent a variety of different project types within the River District, including urban, natural, recreational, and commercial uses. The final key sites were chosen because they met one or more of the City's goals for this Vision Plan (improving access to the water, enhancing recreational opportunities, and/or stimulating economic growth). Additionally, the sites were required to fit into one or more of the following set of criteria:

- Feasibility - Sites that had a more realistic chance of being improved were prioritized. That included property in public ownership (preferably the City of Folsom).
- Environmental constraints - Areas that a preliminary environmental assessment determined to be the least sensitive were prioritized. It is acknowledged that there are many sensitive environmental and cultural factors along the river corridor and that any specific project will require further analysis pursuant to CEQA.
- Community interest - Each key site selected received strong interest during the community engagement process and was supported by the CAC.

GUIDING PLANNING PRINCIPLES

PRINCIPLE 1

- » Engage in early consultation and partnerships with State Parks and Native American tribes

PRINCIPLE 2

- » Promote a "walkable city" by increasing safety and ease of access for pedestrians, bicyclists and vehicles

PRINCIPLE 3

- » Create connections for increased recreational or economic opportunities

PRINCIPLE 4

- » Celebrate, educate, and conserve the City's historical, cultural, and environmental resources

PRINCIPLE 5

- » Commit to "best in class" efforts to inspire residents and visitors as projects and programs are implemented




Figure 9. The five key sites and linkages

B. DESCRIPTION OF KEY SITE PLAN FEATURE AREAS

The five key sites described below have been selected because they have the potential to be highly and positively impactful to the River District. If realized, these improvements could amplify the City's relationship to the river and help to stimulate reinvestment in the River District area. As illustrated in Figure 9, these key sites collectively form a strong interconnected tapestry throughout the most heavily visited areas of the River District. If this vision is achieved, there will be innumerable secondary benefits to the City of Folsom in terms of visitor experience and economic activity. The following key sites are recommended as priority projects within the River District.

Key Site 1: Canal Trail and Bridge

The Canal Trail, which runs along the top of the historic canal on the east side of the river from Greenback Lane to a locked gate near the prison property line, is a very popular walk with locals and has some of the most spectacular vantage points of the river and a wide diversity of terrain. However, it is somewhat hidden, the access points are difficult to find for visitors, and it is currently limited to an out-and-back experience. The idea of creating a relatively easy loop trail by connecting the Canal Trail with the American River Parkway on the other side of the river was identified as a desirable amenity by both the CAC and the broader community.

To achieve this goal, a new single-span bridge across the river is envisioned to complete the loop. The proposed crossing point was determined after studying the physical constraints; however, this is only a potential location which was identified to illustrate a connection concept which could occur at another location within the River District if needed. The bridge was found to be best located on a gently sloping access from existing trails which is approximately a half a mile from Greenback Lane at a distinct bend in the river, and the elevation of both riverbanks is approximately equal. This bridge location would offer views of the river in both directions, providing a point of interest to draw visitors onto the trail. However, a future bridge feasibility analysis should be completed to evaluate an alternate bridge placement upstream in a previously quarried area of the river to reduce new ground disturbance along the river.



Figure 10. Schematic diagram of trail loop and connections achieved by addition of new bridge



Figure 11. Potential location for bridge based on accessibility and construction feasibility

Several iconic bridges already contribute to Folsom's identity, and this would provide another opportunity to create a memorable destination with a landmark architectural statement. The bridge's architectural character should complement its setting through scale and materials but does not necessarily need to be designed in a traditional style, and it should be designed and located to minimize environmental, cultural, and visual impacts.

The route is rich with natural and cultural history and is ripe for interpretation and education. Upon completion of the new bridge, this project would result in a one-mile loop from the Truss Bridge and offer a natural extension to the River Promenade discussed in Key Site 3 below. Intermediate access points should be established or enhanced to further improve connectivity to other facilities including the River Promenade (Key Site 3), Rodeo Park (Key Site 2) and the Johnny Cash Trail.

The bridge and creation of a looped trail connection align with State Parks' goals (FLSRA GP: pages ES-11, III-80, III-81, and III-85) and aim to interpret historic features along the American River Bike Path (ULN#10). This concept includes proposed improvements to State Parks property, though these ideas have not yet been endorsed by State Parks. The project will follow State Parks' processes and design discretion. However, the City should work proactively with State Parks to identify opportunities for partnering to achieve common goals.

As a long-term potential connection with this proposed looped trail system, the City should work with State Parks to extend a pedestrian trail to the old Folsom Dam. This area offers many opportunities to provide interpretive information about the original dam remnants and their connection to FPSHP.

i. Key Site 1 Planning Principles

The Canal Trail and bridge is consistent with this Vision Plan's planning principles described in Chapter 1.

- **PRINCIPLE 1 - Engage in early consultation and partnerships with State Parks and Native American tribes**
This will be critical to ensure the project meets State Parks' requirements and that Native American tribes' interests and suggestions are integrated.
- **PRINCIPLE 2 - Promote a "walkable city" by increasing safety and ease of access for pedestrians, bicyclists, and vehicles**
This will be realized by the installation of the bridge which will provide safer off-street connections.
- **PRINCIPLE 3 - Create increased recreational or economic opportunities**
This will be achieved by the bridge creating tourism interest along the trail system and linking with other recreational areas and trails.
- **PRINCIPLE 4 - Celebrate, educate, and conserve the City's historical, cultural, and environmental resources**
This will be achieved by identifying and creating appropriate interpretive topics and story lines.
- **PRINCIPLE 5 - Commit to "best in class" efforts to inspire residents and visitors**
This will be achieved using quality materials and unique and innovative design elements to create a distinctive bridge and trail experience which entices residents and locals to visit the River District area.

Key Site 2: Rodeo Park

Nestled below City Park, and adjacent to the Zoo Sanctuary and the Johnny Cash Trail, Rodeo Park features aging natural grass sports fields, a central parking lot, and an arena that is home to the Folsom Rodeo each summer. This site was identified by the CAC as having high potential for revitalization for several reasons such as:

- Underutilized space around the rodeo arena that could be redesigned to make it more flexible throughout the year.
- Other existing uses such as the zoo, train ride, library, and sports facilities provide a built-in synergy of family-oriented activities including regional tourism demand.

- The facility is City-owned and previously developed, which means upgrades are less constrained and more feasible and realistic.

The Rodeo Park improvements are envisioned to improve the connection between the City Hall complex, Historic District, and Lake Natoma trails, while also providing increased family and youth-oriented activities and increasing recreational amenities and regional tourism demand within the River District area.

This Vision Plan concept takes a phased approach to the potential Rodeo Park improvements. The proposed improvements are described in three main phases; however, there are numerous ways to implement discrete projects within each phase, depending on Council priorities and the availability of funding.

This Vision Plan supports the annual Rodeo maintaining its operations for as long as it needs the facility. Phase Three of the conceptual plan is the vision for the site should the Rodeo ever choose to leave. Phases One and Two are viable with the Rodeo continuing to operate as existing.

Phase One

Initially, there are several modest projects that could be implemented independently. Collectively, they lay the groundwork for the more ambitious long-term vision described below. Phase One could include:

- The addition of new pedestrian pathways around the southwest end through the existing woodland would provide a new recreational amenity for exercising and improve overall circulation around the park along with connections to State Parks trails and the Johnny Cash Trail. This enhancement would also increase visibility for safety and security within the woodland and help to reduce fire risk by reducing the fire ladder and fuel load potential.
- Upgrade the sports fields to tournament quality. This should include sport lighting carefully designed to minimize light trespass to adjacent residences and consideration of synthetic turf that would facilitate all-weather and year-round use. The existing field layout and central promenade and colonnade of trees would remain.
- Reduction of the unpaved rodeo area to the size required for rodeo events (approximately 150 feet by 250 feet). The surrounding area would be reclaimed for flexible park uses, including overflow parking, markets, and special events. The rodeo organizers would still be able to program the space as they currently do, by installing temporary bleachers to the south and west of the arena. This improvement concept also has the indirect benefit of reducing sediment-laden stormwater runoff because unprotected soil is reduced, and best management practices (BMPs) could be installed with parking lot improvements. Close coordination with the Chamber of Commerce will be needed to avoid unnecessary complications to their rodeo event operations.
- Renovation of the existing playgrounds next to the zoo. The plan envisions a large new, inclusive playground with shaded picnic areas for groups and individual families. This would also include the formalization of the path that connects to the Johnny Cash Trail (currently a gravel road).
- Formalization of the existing informal trail to the Canal Trail starting behind the rodeo arena. This trail should include wayfinding and interpretive signage to provide direct access to the river from Rodeo Park and directions to other points of interest (e.g., Sutter Street, Johnny Cash Trail, etc.).
- Creation of a small bicycle staging plaza midway along the western edge of the park to provide an entry portal onto the Johnny Cash Trail. With three future public artworks planned in close proximity, this location has potential for high use. It should include wayfinding and interpretive signage, benches, and amenities such as bike racks, a bike repair station, and a drinking fountain/bottle filler.
- Provision of a pedestrian connection to Sutter Street at the southwest end of the park. This would create a walkable connection between two popular activity centers, Rodeo Park and the Historic District. However, at this time, a connection in this location would require traversing private property and could only be accomplished with the cooperation of the owner by way of an access easement or other formal agreement.
- Inclusion of a fallout zone for use during fireworks events that will remain clear at all times of people, vehicles, and flammable items.

Rodeo Park - Phase 1 Concept



Key to Features

1. Upgraded sport fields with sports lighting
2. Walking path
3. Recondition parking to gain more spaces
4. Expanded parking/flex space
5. Temporary bleacher location
6. Rodeo arena
7. Renovated playground and picnic area
8. Trail connection
9. Bike trail entry node/plaza
10. Maintenance and storage
11. Johnny Cash art node

Phase 1 Summary

This phase would involve making improvements to existing facilities, including:

- Upgrades to the playground and group picnic areas between the bleachers and the zoo.
- Upgrades to the sports fields to accommodate higher level play and tournaments. This might include synthetic turf and sports lighting.
- Accessibility and pedestrian circulation improvements including a perimeter trail, and stronger connections through the park to the Johnny Cash Trail (and beyond).
- Reduction of dirt area around the rodeo arena to just the size needed for rodeo events. Temporary rodeo bleachers would be placed as shown. Remaining area would be paved for additional flex space and/or parking throughout the year.

Figure 12. Rodeo Park Conceptual Plan, Phase 1

Phase Two

This phase would add two exciting features: a Recreation Center for ages 3 to 13 and an adventure playground. Both would reinforce the spine that connects the zoo parking lot to the Johnny Cash Trail behind the rodeo arena.

- A Recreation Center, approximately 12,000 to 15,000 s.f. in size, would fill a void in the City's parks and recreation service system, catering primarily to elementary- and middle school-aged children and could contain a preschool and playground. The building would contain multiple rooms with a larger multi-purpose room to be used for educational purposes. The Recreation Center could provide activities throughout the school year and include after-school programs and summer camps utilizing the many assets of City Park and Rodeo Park (zoo, Georgia Murray Library, live steam train, sports fields, and new River District improvements).
- An "adventure playground" behind the northern bleachers provides a destination amenity in a unique environment featuring peculiar landforms formed by historic mining operations. Designed to engage with nature, this area envisions elevated play experiences amongst the native oaks and at ground level, built into the terrain. It would invoke a hidden world and allow children's imaginations and bodies to run free. Because of the nature of the equipment - such as zip lines and elevated rope apparatuses - this area would likely need to have controlled access. Whether it would be operated by the City or by a concessionaire would need to be determined later, as would the concept of charging admission. Further exploration of this concept by the Parks and Recreation Commission and staff is an implementation measure.
- Rodeo Arena improvements to enhance seating comfort, accessibility (ADA), visibility, patron comfort (shade), restrooms and concession areas (food trucks).

Rodeo Park - Phase 2 Concept



Key to Features

1. Upgraded sport fields with sports lighting
2. Walking path
3. Reconfigured parking to gain more spaces
4. Expanded parking/flex space
5. Temporary bleacher location
6. Rodeo arena
7. Renovated playground and picnic area
8. Trail connection
9. Bike trail entry node/plaza
10. Maintenance and storage
11. Recreation Center
12. Adventure Playground in existing woodland
13. Passive landscape area
14. Johnny Cash art node

Phase 2 Summary

This phase would add additional amenities and features along the spine between the rodeo and the zoo, providing more cohesion between spaces, and adding key activation nodes, including:

- Recreation Center for city programming.
- Unique 'Adventure Play' zone offering a unique and challenging environment to visitors. This area would be staffed/supervised and secured for safety.
- Passive park areas that provide pleasant transitions between activity nodes.

Figure 13. Rodeo Park Conceptual Plan, Phase 2

Phase Three

The third and final phase considers a scenario without the rodeo facility, should it be moved to a new venue in the future. This change would open the heart of the park to create a dynamic family hub surrounded by and connected with previously constructed amenities.

- A large interactive waterplay area with complementary elements such as shade structures, picnic lawns, restrooms/concessions, and a large gracefully sloped lawn that would be the perfect spot to relax and people watch. Traditional swimming pools and the associated operation and staffing costs can be avoided while still generating substantial revenue.
- Allows for an expansion of the adventure play area and formalization of the parking lot. Accessible circulation routes would be integrated to provide strong connections between upper and lower levels.
- As the final part of this last phase, the plan calls for the removal of the City maintenance yard at the zoo parking entry. This use can be accommodated off site or adjacent to the parking lot below. In its place, a beautified landscape area would be installed, with the potential for future expansion of the parking lot in front of the new Youth Recreation Center.

i. Key Site 2 Planning Principles

The Rodeo Park improvements are consistent with this Vision Plan's planning principles described in Chapter 1.

- PRINCIPLE 1 - Engage in early consultation and partnerships with State Parks and Native American tribes

Although coordination with State Parks is not likely to be needed for the Rodeo Park improvements, there are areas of the City Park and its surroundings which are shown on important cultural resource maps, and it will be critical to ensure the Native American tribe's interests and suggestions are integrated in the preliminary design stages.

- PRINCIPLE 2 - Promote a walkable city by increasing safety and ease of access for pedestrians, bicyclists, and vehicles

This will be realized by the improved connections to the Historic District, City Hall complex, trails within adjacent State Parks property, as well as facilities to support use of the Johnny Cash Trail.

- PRINCIPLE 3 - Create increased recreational or economic opportunities

This will be met by creating additional recreation facilities that not only serve the Folsom community but also build upon the existing regional tourism base. Long-term operation costs and revenue recovery are important considerations for future park improvements, and the economic potential and operation and maintenance costs should be carefully analyzed when scoping Rodeo Park improvements.

- PRINCIPLE 4 - Celebrate, educate, and conserve the City's historical, cultural, and environmental resources

This will be accomplished by incorporating wayfinding and interpretive signage that connects to key historical and cultural points of interest in the River District area. There are increased opportunities with the youth-oriented facilities to implement unique learning methods, such as interactive interpretive nodes, linked or progressive story topics, etc.

- PRINCIPLE 5 - Commit to "best in class" efforts to inspire residents and visitors as projects and programs are implemented

This will be achieved by exploring and evaluating cutting-edge recreation amenities to differentiate Rodeo Park from other parks in the region. The focus will be on family and children's active, adventure recreation to avoid duplication and competition with other recreation outlets in the region. The design will incorporate innovative technology and BMPs for critical supporting infrastructure, such as sports and facility lighting and public buildings, while emphasizing accessibility (ADA) at new and existing facilities.

Rodeo Park - Phase 3 Concept



Key to Features

1. Upgraded sport fields with sports lighting
2. Walking path
3. Reconfigured parking to gain more spaces
4. Sloped lawn
5. Picnic area
6. Splash pad
7. Renovated playground and picnic area
8. Trail connection
9. Bike trail entry node/plaza
10. Maintenance and storage
11. Recreation Center
12. Adventure playground in existing woodland
13. Passive landscape area
14. Restrooms/Concessions
15. Johnny Cash art node

Phase 3 Summary

In the future, if the rodeo decides to relocate to a different venue, the park improvements could expand to create a central hub - a vibrant and dynamic family oriented destination:

- Expansion of Adventure Play zone.
- Large water spray park surrounded by shade pavilions, group areas, and concessions.
- Graceful sloped lawn for people-watching and family gathering.

Note: there is no plan at this time for the rodeo to relocate. This concept is simply intended to show a potential future vision for the park if that scenario came to be.

Figure 14. Rodeo Park Conceptual Plan, Phase 3



Example imagery for Rodeo Park concepts. Clockwise from top left: canopy play features; treehouse structures in woodland; sloped picnicking lawn; splash pad; play integrated into slopes; canopy play features; zipline.

Key Site 3: River Promenade

The section of Riley Street/Greenback Lane between Leidesdorff Street and the Rainbow Bridge is one of the busiest multimodal nodes in the City. This area represents the easiest and most direct access to the water from the Historic District, and it has the potential to create a compelling nexus between popular commercial, recreational, and natural areas.

Improving the functionality and safety of the Riley Street/Greenback Lane corridor is a high priority as it was highlighted by significant community input and CAC consensus. This key site concept involves constructing a 20-foot to 24-foot-wide promenade which maximizes the path width on the south side of the road by utilizing all the available right-of-way and capturing wasted space along the road shoulder with the addition of low retaining walls. The promenade will have dedicated bike lanes to minimize conflicts with pedestrians and will feature shade trees, decorative lighting, and enhanced paving to enhance the sense of place and draw in users from the adjacent Historic District. This new promenade will include a decorative railing along the roadway to discourage jaywalking from the Powerhouse parking lot and is suggested to be traffic-rated to protect path users from automobiles.

The upper segment from Trader’s Lane to Scott Street has a typical urban sidewalk character and is currently constrained in width in several locations by vertical grade changes which present some design challenges, but enhancing these linkages is critical to creating a strong visual cue that intuitively guides visitors. There is an existing pinch point near the remnants of the old canal infrastructure where a small amount of stone wall may need to be carefully removed or relocated to provide a continuous promenade width. An interpretive station is suggested for this location to discuss the historic hydroelectric system and canal system that delivered water to the powerplant and logs to an historic mill.

At the bottom of the hill, there is an existing flat area to the east of the Historic Truss Bridge which has excellent views of the river and a pleasant surrounding environment. This area is recommended for improvement to create a small passive park and gathering hub for the many trail users who naturally converge at this point. The improvements should include a formalized trailhead for the Canal Trail, areas for river overlooks and shaded picnicking, site furniture, and features such as permeable paving, bike racks, and wayfinding signage.

Leaving from the proposed passive park is a pedestrian-only boardwalk-style walkway that proceeds underneath the existing bridges. This section of rocky riverbank is one of the most desirous locations to reach due to its natural beauty, but it is difficult to traverse on foot. This new boardwalk would provide comfortable pedestrian access that could be achieved by routing over and around the existing boulders. If State Parks installed a trail along the waterfront of the SHP, this boardwalk would be an ideal connection point and would enhance access and activity on the river’s edge. To the west of the Rainbow Bridge, the boardwalk would veer left and connect with a new walkway segment along the Powerhouse State Historic Park frontage, effectively creating a loop down and back from the Historic District, and a safe means to cross Greenback Lane. A trail underpass at the historic canal was considered for linking under Greenback Lane; however, it was determined to not be feasible because of significant project footprint, construction impacts, and high construction cost.



The area around the Truss Bridge is frequently congested with a multitude of trail users and people seeking access to the water

River Promenade



Figure 16. Promenade provides a safe and pleasant connection between the Historic District and the river



Figure 15. Passive park at end of River Promenade

Key to Features

1. Multi-modal River Promenade (20' to 24' wide)
2. New sidewalk
3. Traders Lane Area (see Key Site 4)
4. Pedestrian-only boardwalk under bridges with river overlooks
5. Permeable paving
6. Picnic shelter
7. Bike racks
8. Picnic tables and benches
9. Connection to Canal Trail with trailhead/wayfinding signage
10. River overlook

NOTE: Most of the ideas depicted at left fall within State Parks property. These ideas are not endorsed by State Parks, and any future projects would be pursuant to State Parks own processes, and their sole discretion.



Figure 17. Before and after view of River Promenade concept looking down Riley Street towards Truss Bridge

i. Key Site 3 Planning Principles

The River Promenade improvements are consistent with this Vision Plan's Planning Principles described in Chapter 1.

- PRINCIPLE 1 - Engage in early consultation and partnerships with State Parks and Native American tribes
This will be critical to ensure the project meets State Parks requirements and the Native American tribe's suggestions.

- PRINCIPLE 2 - Promote a walkable city by increasing safety and ease of access for pedestrians, bicyclists, and vehicles

This will be realized by the wider promenade and barrier which will discourage jaywalking and allow more room for the different kinds of trail users and sheer popularity of this trail segment. The promenade and boardwalk will allow for safer off-street connections and provide an enhanced ambiance and experience for trail users. In addition, the formalized trailhead for the Canal Trail will enhance bicycle access and safety will be improved through clearly designated pedestrian and bicycle routes.



A boardwalk under Rainbow Bridge would provide access to one of the most desirable parts of the river

- PRINCIPLE 3 - Create increased recreational or economic opportunities

This will be met by more formally connecting Trader's Lane and the Historic District with the river. Additionally, providing a passive park with shaded picnicking areas and spaces to linger at the river's edge will draw in locals and visitors to enjoy the excellent views of the river.

- PRINCIPLE 4 - Celebrate, educate, and conserve the City's historical, cultural, and environmental resources

This will be accomplished by wayfinding linking to key areas in the Historic District and interpretive signage highlighting the historic hydroelectric system and canal system. The environmental resources of the area will be accentuated with river overlooks and shaded picnicking areas and a boardwalk-style walkway along the waterfront.

- PRINCIPLE 5 - Commit to "best in class" efforts to inspire residents and visitors as projects and programs are implemented

This will be achieved through enhancing the sense of place through the creation of a memorable pedestrian experience with unique character-defining design elements. These may include landscaping, banners, decorative lighting, paving and railing materials, and wayfinding and interpretive signage, all of which will create a cohesive ambiance that announces the entrance into the Historic District and the heart of the River District.

Key Site 4: Trader's Lane Area

Occupying an approximately 1.3-acre area conveniently situated between the Historic District and State Park lands, the City-owned parking lot bounded by Trader's Lane and Leidesdorff, Riley, and Wool Streets presents a wonderful opportunity to advance the City's goals of stimulating economic activity, enhancing access to the river, and providing additional gathering areas. The first impression of the Historic District for people currently approaching south on Riley Street is the back side of Sutter Street commercial buildings - including service entries, dumpsters, and an asphalt parking lot. Almost all the buildings along Traders Lane were built to face Sutter Street and the backs of the buildings face the river, so they have only a few windows and the entrances from the Traders Lane area and typically only serve egresses in design and function. This Traders Lane concept helps create two building fronts and two opportunities for ingress/egress. However, this parcel is ideally suited to create a much stronger entry statement and can help to reestablish the Leidesdorff Street frontage as an integral part of the commercial core and welcome the visitors and the community into the heart of the River District.

This key site concept conceives of a new 134,000 +/- square foot building that reinvigorates the Historic District and provides additional pedestrian gathering spaces along the street. The project concept features a signature restaurant space near the Riley intersection, with a boutique hotel on the top floor, and flexible retail space facing the Trader's Lane alley with the intent of activating this space with healthy activities and uses. The middle floors of the building would include a public parking structure, which would replace the existing surface parking and Leidesdorff parallel stalls (approximately 112 existing stalls), and more than accommodate the parking needs of the hotel concept and the existing parking stalls, with approximately 166 spaces. Fire and emergency access to the site will be maintained.

The concept features quality architecture which is consistent with the Historic District Design and Development Guidelines and reflects the prominent historic buildings in the vicinity. The massing of the building would be carefully articulated to minimize its dominance over the adjacent structures, such as stepping down at the corner to allow views into the Historic District from below.

The design concept includes a gateway archway sign across Riley Street that denotes this primary entrance and enhances the unique character of Folsom by reflecting traditional forms influenced by the railroad and mining history. This archway could also function as a two-sided gateway to both the River District and the Historic District depending on the direction of approach.

A small boutique hotel is suggested on the fourth floor with approximately 30 to 35 rooms. Nothing like this currently exists in the City of Folsom, and previous studies have demonstrated a desire for something similar. From an urban planning perspective, adding accommodation in the commercial district is a proven way of increasing vitality, especially after-hours, for restaurants and visitor-serving businesses. This modestly sized hotel would complement existing offerings nearby.



Example of activated public space with representative architectural character

This area is a hub of activity, and this concept provides places for the public to recreate and people-watch, including a second-floor rooftop patio and a grand staircase for casual seating and gathering. Exterior public spaces, including a public plaza, are incorporated into the design to encourage people to spend time gathering and socializing. This plaza would visually and functionally anchor the south end of the proposed River Promenade described above with the aid of wayfinding signage and a consistent design language, such as shared paving materials, colored banners, planting palette, and/or streetscape furnishings. This site could offer a unique opportunity to provide interpretive historical information about the Folsom land grant and describe how William Alexander Leidesdorff settled this region. The intersection of Leidesdorff and Riley is frequently congested. While a roundabout was considered for this intersection, it was ultimately deemed inappropriate in scale and likely to have too many impacts on surrounding improvements.

The Trader's Lane improvements must comply with the operational needs of the City and meet applicable building and fire codes, such as a minimum clearance along Trader's Lane for emergency vehicle access, and should be consistent with the Historic District Design and Development Guidelines for the pre-1900 design of the Sutter Street Subarea. The final building design will be subject to review by the City's Historic District Commission.

i. Key Site 4 Planning Principles

The Trader's Lane improvements are consistent with this Vision Plan's planning principles described in Chapter 1.

- **PRINCIPLE 1 - Engage in early consultation and partnerships with State Parks and Native American tribes**

Although coordination with State Parks is not likely to be needed for the Trader's Lane improvements, coordination with Native American tribes is recommended to ensure their interests and suggestions are integrated in the preliminary design stages.

- **PRINCIPLE 2 - Promote a walkable city by increasing safety and ease of access for pedestrians, bicyclists, and vehicles**

This will be realized by the creation of enhanced pedestrian spaces along Trader's Lane and Leidesdorff Street.

- **PRINCIPLE 3 - Create increased recreational or economic opportunities**

This will be met through activating Trader's Lane with visitor-serving retail and commercial enterprises, emphasizing pedestrian connections to the River Promenade to the east and the Railroad Block/Historic Folsom Station public plazas to the west. The addition of a boutique hotel and restaurant will not only generate additional tax revenue for the City but will also encourage more visitors to stay in Folsom and explore the River District.

- **PRINCIPLE 4 - Celebrate, educate, and conserve the City's historical, cultural, and environmental resources where appropriate**

This will be achieved by utilizing the Riley/Leidesdorff intersection as an opportunity to create a recognizable gateway entrance with signage reflecting the Historic District's traditional materials and forms which were influenced by the railroad and mining history. Wayfinding signage will link to key cultural and historic landmarks in the Historic District and interpretive signage and/or public art could describe the Folsom land grant and how William Alexander Leidesdorff settled this region.

- **PRINCIPLE 5 - Commit to "best in class" efforts to inspire residents and visitors as projects and programs are implemented**

This will be achieved through enhancing the sense of place by creating of a memorable pedestrian experience with unique character defining design elements, such as quality architecture, distinctive landscaping, decorative lighting and paving materials, and wayfinding and interpretive signage that create a compatible ambiance to reinvigorate the Leidesdorff Street frontage and announce the entrance into the Historic District and the heart of the River District.

Traders Lane Area



Figure 18. Concept to redevelop Traders Lane parking lot, showing ground floor plan of new building

Key to Features

1. Restaurant and hotel lobby (xx sf)
2. Retail facing alley (xx sf)
3. Hotel check-in entry
4. Valet/short-term parking
5. Parking garage entry
6. Ramp to 2nd floor parking
7. Elevator and stairs
8. Breezeway through building
9. Sidewalk (10' wide)
10. Alley activated with public uses
11. Public plaza
12. District gateway arch
13. Enhanced paving treatment
14. River Promenade (20' wide)



Figure 19. A roundabout was considered at Riley and Leidesdorff, but ultimately dismissed because it was detrimental to the character of the space



Figure 20. View of new building concept with Traders Lane in foreground

ii. Preliminary Environmental Assessment of Key Site 4

The Trader's Lane site is located on an existing parking lot with minimal environmental constraints. The site is on the edge of the 500-year floodplain and the south edge of the site borders an area containing soils with very high shrink-swell potential. During the design and engineering of the proposed building, it will be necessary to conduct geotechnical investigations (i.e., soil tests) to determine if there is a risk of heaving or settling. The site design should also consider the potential for flood effects during the most extreme flooding events.

This site has less risk of encountering previously undiscovered archaeological resources than other areas of the River District, but excavation could encounter buried historical or archaeological resources. Subsurface archaeological investigations and/or monitoring of excavation during construction should be considered to minimize risk to these resources.



Figure 21. Before and after view from Riley Street of new plaza and building at Traders Lane parking lot, with district gateway partially shown at left

iii. Preliminary Economic Considerations of Key Site 4

The project team prepared a preliminary financial feasibility analysis to illustrate the opportunities and challenges related to the Trader’s Lane private development concept. Refer to Section D below for economic considerations of Key Site Concepts with public realm improvements. A static development pro forma analysis is shown in the table in Figure 21, and it evaluates the feasibility of the Trader’s Lane mixed-use development concept with the following assumptions:

- 10,455 sq. ft. of restaurant/retail space
- 31 hotel rooms
- 166 structured parking spaces

The pro forma considers planning-level costs of development to estimate the lease rates, average daily room rates, and parking revenue required to incentivize development.

Preliminary Financial Feasibility Findings

The high-level, point-in-time analysis indicates a positive financial feasibility outcome, but these findings are preliminary and may change as the concept is refined or economic conditions fluctuate. The initial assessment uses a Residual Land Value (RLV) approach, which estimates the land’s value by subtracting all vertical development costs from the capitalized gross development value of the site’s current proposed uses. The next step involves comparing the RLV with comparable land sales to determine whether the financial feasibility is competitive in the current market. Once the City secures a private development interest, the RLV analysis should be further refined to reflect any updates to the proposed site plan and to ensure competitiveness. Depending on the details of the negotiations with a private development interest at that time, the City may also consider selling the land at a reduced price or waiving the cost altogether to facilitate project implementation.

Development costs, market data, and uncertainty

- Development costs for illustrative development prototypes were derived from various sources and are based on current market-rate values. Due to the specifics of individual projects and recent cost volatility, actual development costs may vary from planning-level estimates.
- Market data was considered for the City of Folsom as an example. It is important to note that market lease rates, average daily rates, and parking revenues will vary by location though Folsom was used to provide an illustrative set of results for the River District.
- The effects and volatility of interest rates also mean that achievable price points are in flux and will vary over time.

Development challenges were reflected in the pro forma

- Current development costs are high throughout the whole State. Current lease rates for commercial space and Average Daily Rates (ADRs) for hotel space nominally offset these costs.
- The current RLV analysis reflects potential development risk by assuming a higher capitalization rate in calculating the proposed project’s capitalized development value. To the extent that the City is able to minimize development risk, the assumed capitalization rate may be lower, resulting in a greater estimated value and positive feasibility result.

Pro Forma Factor	Assumption	Gross Development Value	Value Per Sq. Ft.
Development Program	10,455 restaurant/retail leasable sq. ft.; 31 hotel rooms; 166 structured parking spaces; 134,416 gross development sq. ft.		
Revenues			
Restaurant/Retail	\$32.00 psf/year; 3.2% Vacancy; 20% Opex; 7.5% Cap Rate	\$3,425,900	\$279
Hotel	\$300 ADR; \$100 Other Daily Income; 76% Occupancy; 40% Opex; 7.5% Cap Rate	\$27,518,100	\$658
Structured Parking	\$1,200 per public space/year; \$4,000 per hotel space; 30% Opex 7.5% Cap Rate	\$4,149,300	\$52
Total Capitalized Development Value		\$35,093,300	\$261
Costs			
Direct Costs	Various sources: CBRE; Saylor; Parking Costs; Pricing and Revenue Calculator Victoria Transport Policy Institute; EPS	\$25,398,700	\$189
Soft Costs	~35% of Direct Costs	\$8,796,600	\$65
Total Development Costs (Excluding Land)		\$34,195,300	\$254
Residual Land Value (RLV)		\$898,000 (Approx. \$700k/ acre)	2.6% of Capitalized Development Value

Note: Numbers may not sum due to rounding

Figure 21 . Preliminary High-Level Financial Feasibility Analysis: Trader’s Lane Building

Key Site 5: City Corporation Yard

The Vision Plan includes a general land use concept for the City-owned Corporation Yard located at the southern end of Leidesdorff Street. This approach aims to balance illustrating the community's vision for the Corporation Yard with preserving flexibility for future site planning efforts. It allows for design variation and provides opportunities for additional neighborhood compatibility and land use refinements.

After several iterations and revisions, the CAC reached consensus on the land use concept shown as Figure 23. The land use categories are deliberately broad and allow for a good deal of flexibility. For example, "visitor serving" could include a myriad of uses such as a cultural facility like a museum or commercial businesses such as restaurants or retail uses, and even conference/ hospitality services which have a similar feeling to Asilomar in Pacific Grove. The intent of this key site plan is to identify the types of uses that are deemed to be most appropriate for this site at a conceptual level. Consideration of actual uses and relationships will need to be undertaken in the future and be considered on their merit, along with the associated infrastructure, internal circulation, and parking, which will have to be accommodated within future site plan refinements.

Because the project site abuts State Park property, it is recommended that the City work with State Parks to enhance their trails to provide more direct access to the water's edge, make looped trail connections to other destinations within the Historic District, and explore design solutions to minimize conflicts with cyclists and pedestrians.

Along the entire river side of the site, there will be an approximately 20-foot-wide pedestrian promenade providing a relatively flat and accessible route to connect various Corporation Yard destinations and the State Park trail system. This promenade will have a more refined design than the existing Lake Natoma Trail and could include low-level pedestrian scale lighting designed to be sensitive to wildlife and light pollution. Shaded benches and interpretive and wayfinding signage is also suggested.

The proposed park site occupies the general area of the actual Gold Rush's Negro Bar, an African American mining community. This is a potential unique opportunity to interpret and celebrate Black History in Folsom through public art and park design.

The plan includes five land use categories and includes character images of the variety of uses that fit into each category. While "mixed-use" was a potential land use, the CAC determined it was not a priority for this site. Instead, the CAC suggested focusing on successfully achieving the other envisioned uses. Similarly, "passive open space" was not included because the site is already adjacent to a huge passive open space - the State Park - and adding more would be redundant. However, active park uses are envisioned with the Corporation Yard.

- A small amount of residential is shown at the north end of the site to provide a transition from the existing single-family homes on Leidesdorff Street.
- There are approximately 6.5-acres of commercial and visitor serving, some of which have artisan and makerspace uses blended in. Examples of this are the public markets that can be found in several communities, including the Barlow in Sebastopol, the Oxbow Market in Napa, and Tin City in Paso Robles.
- The new visitor-serving and artisan-makerspaces should complement, not replicate, existing Historic District retail and commercial outlets.
- An approximately 1-acre area is earmarked for an entertainment facility at the angle point of the site facing the river. This could be a venue that hosts open-air theatre and music performances or private special events like weddings and social gatherings.
- Six acres of active open space is included at the south end of the site. Representing approximately one-third of the Corporation Yard, this could be transformed into a public park with picnicking, walking trails, playgrounds, and gathering areas. A parking lot is indicated that would be available not only to park visitors, but also to paddlers for accessing the lake.
- Two areas are undesignated (labeled as TBD) adjacent to the existing neighborhood on Young Wo Circle. There was much discussion about this part of the site, including some support for residential, and some for more green space; ultimately the committee opted to preserve flexibility for the future and not commit at this time.

- A mid-19th century railroad engineer, Theodore Judah, created the first surveyed map of the town of Folsom for William Alexander Leidesdorff. The map comprised of a fourteen by seven block grid with twenty named streets, and today most of these street alignments remain. The historic Judah Map included the Corporation Yard area and this map should be used as an organizing theme for future site planning efforts, providing continuity to the gridded streets already in place.
- Pedestrian connections within the existing Historic District should be amplified to encourage exploration of other possible routes, not just Sutter Street.
- The concept also shows the extension of Leidesdorff Street and Forrest Street until they intersect at a roundabout. This provides two points of ingress and egress to the site for distribution of traffic concentration and emergency access.

i. Key Site 5 Planning Principles

The Corporation Yard improvements are consistent with this Vision Plan's planning principles described in Chapter 1.

- **PRINCIPLE 1 - Engage in early consultation and partnerships with State Parks and Native American tribes**

This will be important to ensure the project is coordinated with State Parks trails, facilities, and amenities. Additionally, coordination with Native American tribes is recommended to ensure their interests and suggestions are integrated in the preliminary design stages.

- **PRINCIPLE 2 - Promote a walkable city by increasing safety and ease of access for pedestrians, bicyclists, and vehicles**

This will be realized by creating enhanced trail connections to the river and a pedestrian promenade, as well as adding trail loops and connections to the Historic District.

- **PRINCIPLE 3 - Create increased recreational or economic opportunities**

This will be met through the creation of commercial/visitor serving uses, entertainment, and artisan maker spaces along with the active open space areas.

- **PRINCIPLE 4 - Celebrate, educate, and conserve the City's historical, cultural, and environmental resources**

This will be achieved through the incorporation of public art and/or interpretive signage which can advance the understanding of black miners in Folsom, and wayfinding signage will link to key cultural and historic landmarks in the Historic District. There are increased opportunities with youth-oriented facilities to implement unique learning methods. The surrounding environmental resources will be enhanced with improved trails and provide more direct access to the water's edge.

- **PRINCIPLE 5 - Commit to "best in class" efforts to inspire residents and visitors as projects and programs are implemented**

This will be accomplished by enhancing the sense of place for the River District through the creation of a memorable pedestrian experience with unique character-defining design elements. These elements include quality architecture, distinctive landscaping, decorative lighting, high-quality site furnishings and paving materials, public art, and wayfinding and interpretive signage. Additionally, this key site will create a incorporate artisan and maker opportunities, with increased potential for unique retail investments. Together, these elements will create a unique and innovative space with a revitalized ambiance which will help to reinvigorate the River District.

Corporation Yard




Land Use Categories

- Active Park (6-ac)
- Commercial & Visitor Serving with a conference center (3-ac)
- Artisan/Makerspace blended with Commercial & Visitor Serving (e.g. Public Market) (3.5-ac)
- Entertainment (1-ac)
- Residential (0.5-ac)

Key to Features

1. Pedestrian friendly upgrades to Leidesdorff St for strong connection to Historic District commercial core
2. Pedestrian promenade, 20' wide, on City property
3. Parking for park and river access
4. Suggested pedestrian trail improvements to create loops in and out of site and provide additional access to water (in State Park)
5. Focal point of interest - such as public art or architecture

Figure 23. Conceptual land use plan for the Corporation Yard

Residential	Mixed Use	Entertainment	Commercial & Visitor Serving
 <p>Single Family</p>	 <p>Residential + Commercial</p>	 <p>Destination Space</p>	 <p>Accommodation</p>
 <p>Medium Density</p>	 <p>Residential + Commercial</p>	 <p>Casual Activities</p>	 <p>Museum</p>
 <p>Medium Density</p>	 <p>Residential + Commercial</p>	 <p>Music</p>	 <p>Dining/Shopping</p>
 <p>Single Family</p>	 <p>Residential + Commercial</p>	 <p>Social Gathering Spaces</p>	 <p>Conference Center</p>
 <p>Multi-Family</p>	 <p>Residential + Commercial</p>	 <p>Indoor Venue</p>	 <p>Private Events</p>
 <p>Senior Housing</p>	 <p>Residential + Commercial</p>	 <p>Outdoor Theater</p>	 <p>Lakeview Dining</p>

Representative character images of land use concepts for the Corporation Yard (continued on the following page)

Open Space, Passive Recreation



Accessible Trails



Nature Habitat



Accessible Trails



Overlooks



River Promenade



Boardwalk

Open Space, Active Park



Picnicking



Playgrounds



Community Park



Community Park



Flexible Use



Exercise

Artisan/ Maker Space



Food & Beverage Production



Maker Space



Light Manufacturing



Artist Studios



Food & Beverage Production



Light Industrial



Representative character images of Visitor Serving and Artisan/Makerspace land use concepts for the Corporation Yard. Clockwise from top left: nature center/museum; pedestrian friendly dining and retail; event space; artist studio; Oxbow Public Market; craft food and beverage; markets.



Representative character images of Entertainment land use concepts for the Corporation Yard. Clockwise from top left: Outdoor theater in Lake Tahoe; social gathering at The Barn in Sacramento; outdoor performance space.



Representative character images of public park land use concepts for the Corporation Yard. Clockwise from top left: playground; flexible use areas; pickleball; family and group picnic.

Preliminary Environmental Assessment of Key Site Concepts

Key Site 1 - Preliminary Environmental Assessment

The Canal Trail and Bridge Concept is in a portion of the River District with several environmental constraints. The primary constraints in this location are the high landslide susceptibility due to proximity to steep riverbanks and the potential for encountering significant cultural resources. Construction of the new pedestrian bridge and connecting trails will require evaluations by geotechnical experts to evaluate landslide risk. Additionally, assessments by cultural resource experts and Native American tribes should be conducted to minimize and avoid potential impacts to cultural resources, ensuring a safe and sustainable design approach.

The existing Rainbow Bridge and Truss Bridge are designated scenic resources, and the design of the new pedestrian bridge should consider aesthetic styles that are compatible with the existing bridges, or that reflect unique connections to Folsom's historical and cultural past, while minimizing impacts to scenic views.

This site includes sensitive natural communities, with Interior Live Oak Alliance present on the south bank of Lake Natoma, and White Alder Alliance present on the north bank. Care should be taken to minimize direct and indirect disturbance to these communities.

As with most of the River District, this area has a high potential for previously undiscovered archaeological resources. A complete archaeological survey of the site should occur prior to preliminary design of the new pedestrian bridge and trail connections. This will allow archaeological resources to be identified early and avoided or otherwise protected.

Key Site 2 - Preliminary Environmental Assessment

The Rodeo Park site has minimal environmental constraints and is suitable for a wide variety of uses. The southern edge of the site includes soils that are mapped as having a very high shrink-swell potential. These soil types contain specific clays that can swell substantially when water is absorbed. This can result in damage to structures by causing heaving or settling. If structures are proposed along the southern edge of this site, a geotechnical investigation may be necessary to determine appropriate engineering approaches.

The existing woodland on the northeast corner of the site contains a sensitive natural community comprised of the Interior Live Oak Alliance. An adventure playground, or other proposals for this woodland, should be designed to maintain existing oaks to the extent feasible. It may be necessary to consult with a qualified arborist during the detailed design of this area.

Because this site is mostly developed, there is less risk that previously undiscovered archaeological resources would be encountered during construction. However, there is still a possibility that archaeological resources could be encountered during subsurface excavation or in previously undisturbed portions of the site, and a professional archaeologist should be involved during the detailed design process and early consultation with Native American tribes is recommended.

Key Site 3 - Preliminary Environmental Assessment

The portions of the promenade area near Greenback Lane would have very few environmental constraints because they are within urbanized areas along existing roadways. Trails closer to the river, the boardwalk under the existing bridges, and the proposed passive park would be in areas that are susceptible to landslides. The proposed trails, boardwalk, and passive park near the river are also within the 500-year floodplain and could be affected by flooding during extreme weather events. These features, and the boardwalk in particular, would require geotechnical investigations to identify appropriate engineering approaches that consider landslide and flooding risks. The proposed passive park is within the Interior Live Oak Alliance, a sensitive natural community. The park design and construction should preserve existing oak trees and minimize disturbance to surrounding vegetation.

Like much of the many other areas of the River District, this area has a high potential for undiscovered archaeological resources, particularly in elevated areas near the river. A complete archaeological survey of the site should occur prior to preliminary design of the trails, boardwalk, and passive park to identify and protect archaeological resources.



View of the Historic Truss Bridge and existing river overlook area from above

Key Site 4 - Preliminary Environmental Assessment

Refer to discussion in Section B above.

Key Site 5 - Preliminary Environmental Assessment

The Corporation Yard site is already developed and used in a light industrial capacity and includes minimal environmental constraints. Primary considerations for this site are related to land use compatibility. The site abuts a residential neighborhood along Young Wo Circle and Forrest Street. Care should be taken to minimize dust and noise impacts on adjacent residential areas during construction, and the site design and programming should consider the potential for long-term noise and traffic impacts to residential neighborhoods.

Because of its current use as a corporation yard, there is a risk of soil contamination from leaks or spills of fuel, oil, paints, lubricants, solvents, or other commonly used hazardous materials. While there is no evidence of contamination, soil testing may be appropriate prior to construction to determine if any remediation is necessary.

The State Park land between the site and the river includes sensitive natural communities. Trail connections between the site and the adjacent State Park should be designed to provide access while minimizing disturbance to native vegetation. Like the Trader's Lane Site, this site has a limited risk of including previously undiscovered archaeological resources, but excavation could encounter buried historical or archaeological resources. Subsurface archaeological investigations and/or monitoring of excavation during construction should be considered to minimize risk to these resources.

D. Preliminary Economic Considerations for Key Sites Concepts

KEY SITES WITH PUBLIC REALM IMPROVEMENTS

Key Sites 1, 2 & 3 - Preliminary Economic Considerations

New park and open space improvements, such as those proposed in this Vision Plan, drive both direct and indirect economic activity, creating jobs and stimulating local economies. They increase property values, particularly for homes and businesses close to well-maintained parks and trails, which boosts property tax revenues. Parks and open space also attract tourists who spend money on accommodations, dining, and retail, contributing to increased sales and transient occupancy taxes. High-quality parks and open space enhance the quality of life for employees, which makes areas more attractive for businesses looking to relocate or expand, ultimately generating new jobs. Additionally, park and trail improvements encourage health, wellness and social cohesion, which can help lower public healthcare costs over time.

KEY SITES WITH PRIVATE IMPROVEMENTS

Key Site 4 - Preliminary Economic Considerations

Refer to discussion in Section B above.

Key Site 5 - Preliminary Economic Considerations

The proposed development of the Corp Yard includes opportunities for commercial/visitor uses, residential units, entertainment space, artisan/maker space, along with open space. As indicated in Chapter 2, the Riverfront Area can enhance the local attractions for locals and tourists already in the area visiting the existing attractions such as State Parks and the Zoo. Market trends for retail reflect consistently low vacancy indicating demand for retail, entertainment space, artisan/maker space, and commercial/visitor space; however, at this time lease rates may not be sufficient to offset the high costs of construction in the current market.

Residential market trends indicate the need for housing in the City of Folsom with increasingly low vacancy rates coupled with increasing housing costs reducing supply for existing and future residents. While, the Folsom Plan Area has and will continue to provide additional residential units relieving some of the pressure, the proposed housing units in the Corp Yard could further bolster the City's housing inventory and there will be a continued need for housing at a variety of income levels.

Residential ownership products in the current market achieve higher values and have lower construction costs compared to the lower achievable market rents and higher construction costs for multifamily rental units. The financial feasibility of rental residential land use types are primarily driven by the cost of development significantly outweighing current market rents. For rental residential units to realize financial feasibility, there would need to be significant rental rate increases.

Additional approaches the City could consider for reducing costs and overall development risks include:

- Direct public subsidies
 - Provide direct loan or grant funding. The City could secure direct funding through federal, State, and regional grants to subsidize desired infill projects in the River District.
 - Obtain gap financing. The City could explore adoption of one or more innovative financing tools that could be used to help fill funding gaps (e.g., revolving loan fund).

- Indirect public subsidies
 - Waive or defer building and development fees. Jurisdictions have some leverage in instituting policies and programs to address building and development cost constraints. The City also could consider developing a lower fee structure or waiving fees for projects containing affordable housing, infill projects, and other missing housing types to improve feasibility.
 - Consider land acquisition and disposition. The City could consider the acquisition of real estate and donate to private developers or allow deferred payment to eliminate, reduce, or defer land costs.
 - Fund backbone infrastructure improvements. Although this analysis excluded any costs related to offsite infrastructure improvements, intensified infill development often necessitates upgrades to backbone infrastructure, representing another development cost and feasibility challenge. The City could obtain funding for capital investments in infrastructure to support development in the River District.
- Streamline development and environmental review processes
 - Streamline development review. Consider streamlining the development review process for infill projects that meet objective standards by granting ministerial approval. Streamlined development review processes can save time and money by eliminating discretionary reviews, public hearings, and additional environmental review.
 - Streamline environmental review. Consider completing a City-sponsored and City-funded California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) analysis for the Project to pre-clear opportunity sites.
- Consider regulatory changes
 - Reduce parking requirements. Parking is a costly addition to many developments, specifically the high costs of structured parking, and reduces the developable space for residential units. Reducing parking requirements can reduce the cost of a project while increasing the density.
 - Increase building height and density. Consider increasing minimum and maximum allowable densities and zoning requirements to increase the City's housing capacity, ensure higher density projects (which can lead to greater affordability), and make projects more economically feasible.
 - Support a wide array of housing types. Update planning regulations to encourage or require new subdivisions to include two-, three-, and four plexes, or other missing housing types that are designed to look cohesive with adjacent single-family homes.

E. Creating a More Walkable City

Each key site described in this chapter individually contributes to the fabric of the River District but considered together they work in concert resulting in a rich interconnected network of spaces and places. When fully realized, there will be pedestrian-centric arteries from the Corporation Yard to the river and beyond.

By virtue of its central location, the area around Trader's Lane becomes the nexus of this activity, a hub that all these elements eventually feed into. Therefore, the development proposed in Key Site 4 has a critical role to play; the proposed development accomplishes the goal of creating a strong gateway statement for people approaching from Greenback Lane, and activating a space that currently has a distinctly "back-of-house" feel. This idea will effectively complete the block, re-establishing the urban street frontage of Leidesdorff Street and expand the pedestrian circulation network throughout the historic commercial core, as illustrated in Figure 24.

One of the most compelling features this plan envisions is the completion of a walkable spine that connects the river promenade through the middle of the block to the railroad plaza and beyond to the Corporation Yard. As new private development occurs along this corridor - such as around Folsom Station Plaza - it could further strengthen and engage with pedestrians by designing buildings to face the middle of the block and including paseos to allow more porosity between Leidesdorff and Sutter Streets.

The River District Vision Plan, through the five key site concepts and other district-wide initiatives, emphasizes pedestrian connectivity with ideas that will enhance peoples' experience as they live, work, and recreate in the River District.

By virtue of its central location, the area around Trader's Lane becomes the nexus of this activity, a hub that all these elements eventually feed into. Therefore, the development proposed in Key Site 4 has a critical role to play; the proposed development accomplishes the goal of creating a strong gateway statement for people approaching from Greenback Lane, and activating a space that currently has a distinctly "back-of-house" feel. This idea will effectively complete the block, re-establishing the urban street frontage of Leidesdorff Street and expand the pedestrian circulation network throughout the historic commercial core, as illustrated in Figure 23.

One of the most compelling features this plan envisions is the completion of a walkable spine that connects the river promenade through the middle of the block to the railroad plaza and beyond to the Corporation Yard. As new private development occurs along this corridor - such as around Folsom Station Plaza - it could further strengthen and engage with pedestrians by designing buildings to face the middle of the block and including paseos to allow more porosity between Leidesdorff and Sutter Streets.


The River District Master Plan, through the five key site concepts and other district-wide initiatives, emphasizes pedestrian connectivity with ideas that will enhance peoples' experience as they live, work, and recreate in the River District.



- Current pedestrian traffic flow, along streets
- Current pedestrian traffic flow, mid-block
- Expanded pedestrian traffic flow around Trader's Lane site
- Expanded pedestrian traffic flow through Trader's Lane (alley)
- Pedestrian connections to other River District sites
- Primary pedestrian spine
- ★ Proposed new buildings/points of interest

Figure 24. Enhanced pedestrian network

CHAPTER FOUR



Chapter 4

VISION PLAN IMPLEMENTATION

This Vision Plan will be implemented through a combination of public and private investment, with many components potentially eligible for grant funding due to their pedestrian and bicycle-friendly nature and their relationship to places of cultural and historical significance. Funding will be required for both capital improvements and the ongoing maintenance and operational costs associated with these improvements. This chapter outlines strategies for implementation and recommendations to encourage and incentivize new improvements in the River District area.

A. Implementation Recommendations

For planning purposes, the River District Vision Plan implementation recommendations have been divided into three basic categories. A list of considerations for prioritizing the proposed River District improvements are listed below in Section B and summarized in Table 6-1:

- Major Capital Improvements - include the five key site areas described in Chapter 3 and key potential projects identified during the Vision Plan planning process and generally align with the City of Folsom's Capital Improvement Plan efforts.
- Districtwide Improvements - trail and access improvements, wayfinding, interpretation and education elements, gateway features, streetscape enhancements, and landscaping.
- Strategic Initiatives - facilitate, partner, or engage in subsequent property development or improvements consistent with City and State Parks plans, processes, and environmental review.

The following recommendations provide strategies to begin implementing individual components envisioned in the Vision Plan, and they are listed by order of priority.

Recommendation 1: Implement the Key Site Concept Plans to achieve the vision and planning principles for the River District and help to spur reinvestment in the River District:

- Prepare a pro forma financial evaluation to assess the economic feasibility of design prototypes
- Prepare refined concept plans and analysis, as needed
- Develop construction documents and obtain building permits

Recommendation 2: Focus on defining and attracting development opportunities for key sites. This could include the following efforts by the City:

- Outreach to individual property owners to identify specific candidate sites for renovation, reuse, or redevelopment
- Prepare additional design prototypes to determine feasible redevelopment (or intensification) options for additional key sites
- Consider establishing a merchant or business organization to advocate for programs, projects, and priorities in the River District.
- Consider pursuit of public/ private partnerships and/o RFP process on City owned land to solicit developable opportunities.

Recommendation 3: Set the stage for future investment with improvements to the physical setting in the River District by implementing the programmatic opportunities in Chapter 2. These investments could include, but are not limited to:

- Develop and consistently apply a design language for the district to reinforce its identity and presence in the city. This could include things like branding, furniture, signage, construction materials, and design details
- Add River District gateway monuments, banners, and wayfinding/directional signage

- Improve streetscapes with enhanced paving at key areas, landscaping, lighting, and pedestrian amenities
- Encourage outdoor dining and gathering spaces
- Enhance public park and recreational spaces
- Encourage installation of placemaking elements such as public art pieces
- Provide widened and repaired sidewalks, and improve crosswalks

Recommendation 4: Pursue federal, state, regional, and local funding sources for infrastructure and planning projects.

- Work with agencies with interests in, or have jurisdictional responsibilities within the River District, to explore dedicated source(s) of funding. The implementation of the River District Vision Plan will require significant, long-term funding.
- Ensure significant, upfront capital and long-term maintenance funding is available from both existing and potential new sources of public and private funding. The implementation of the River District Vision Plan will require. In addition, implementation will require time and support from City staff and the broader community.
- Utilize existing sources of funding such as the City's General Fund or the Capital Improvement Plan (CIP). These funding sources can be used to fund a range of activities, including ongoing operations and maintenance, economic development projects, and infrastructure improvements, including streetscape, parks and open space, and other capital improvements. However, these funding sources rely on annual authorization by City Council and include a variety of funding streams that can vary on an annual basis.
- Seek new funding sources include grants, taxes and assessments, public-private partnerships, and other commonly used public funding and financing tools. These sources can be used to fund parks and open space and other infrastructure improvements, historic preservation, environmental cleanup, public art, and other economic development projects. There are differing levels of funding capacity and benefits and drawbacks for each source, which should be considered before pursuing a particular funding source.
 - A new sales tax measure, Measure G, is a citizen's initiative placed on the November 2024 ballot. If approved by voters, this new sales tax rate could provide funding for parks and other infrastructure projects, as determined by City Council action.
- Work with agencies with interests in, or have jurisdictional responsibilities within the River District, to explore dedicated source(s) of funding.
- Explore public-private partnerships as opportunities arise.



Enlarged view of the proposed improvements for Trader's Lane key site concept

Implementation Categories

There are three overarching categories which contain organize the proposed River District improvements. These categories are not intended to be implementation priorities, but they are provided to group projects with similar land ownerships, and in some cases costs.

Category 1

Projects on properties that the City owns and controls, and would be publicly funded:

- Rodeo Park (Key Site 2)
- River Promenade - City Owned (Key Site 3): improvements to portions within the public right-of-way and City owned property

Category 2

The projects requiring collaboration and/or approval of State Parks or other agencies:

- River Promenade - State Parks Owned (Key Site 3): portions within State Parks lands, including the passive park and boardwalk
- Canal Trail Loop and Bridge (Key Site 1)

Category 3

Public-private partnership projects, both of which are complex and expensive to develop:

- Trader's Lane (Key Site 4)
- Corporation Yard (Key Site 5)

B. Capital Project Priority Considerations

Attempting to prioritize the list of Vision Plan projects is challenging because so much is dependent on funding. Additionally, City priorities are not static - this is a long-term plan, and trends, attitudes, and values continuously evolve.

The more ambitious ideas - Key Sites 4 and 5 - would be immediately transformative and would provide a catalyst for private investment and economic stimulus. However, these would also require favorable market conditions attractive to private parties, and substantial City investment including potentially significant off-site utility infrastructure upgrades.

The River Promenade project - Key Site 3- addresses arguably the most immediate need for the community; the congestion and safety of this corridor were of the highest concern to both the CAC and general community throughout the Vision Plan process. If constructed, this project will functionally connect the Historic District to the river and form a critical link between other key sites.

There are numerous smaller projects that would be less impactful, but significantly easier to accomplish. These include things such as new trail connections and design elements that start to define the district's character and identity. If completed, these could help to generate excitement and momentum to advance the River District vision.

The following table contains a list of projects that emerged from the Vision Plan process. This table does not attempt to rank the projects in order of priority for the reasons discussed above. The relative costs are provided to give future decision makers a basic understanding of the project scope in terms of anticipated magnitude costs, and the listed in a very general relative scale from low to high for comparison.

River District Project Summary						
Vision Plan Goal?	Part of Key Site?	Project	Potential Funding Source	Relative Cost	Alignment with State Parks Goals?	Notes
Capital Projects						
Recreation	2	Rodeo Park, Phase 1	Public ²	Moderate/High	Outside SRA	Can be divided into numerous smaller projects
Recreation	2	Rodeo Park, Phase 2	Public ²	High	Outside SRA	Can be divided into numerous smaller projects
Economic Development	4	Trader's Lane Site	Public-Private	High	Outside SRA	
Recreation/ Access	3	River Promenade ¹	Public ²	Moderate/High	Generally supported (RTMP: ULN #3)	
Recreation/ Access	3	Passive Park (bottom end of River Promenade) ¹	Public ²	Low/Moderate	Generally supported (RTMP: ULN #3)	
Recreation/ Access	3	Boardwalk under Rainbow Bridge ¹	Public ²	Moderate	Generally supported (RTMP: ULN #3 & 4 FLSRA GP: Powerhouse #15)	Contingent on River Promenade and Passive Park development
Recreation	1	Bridge at North Segment ¹	Public ²	Moderate	Generally supported (FLSRA GP: Visit #52)	
Economic Development	5	Corporation Yard Site	Public-Private	High	Generally supported (RTMP: ULN #3)	Can be divided into numerous smaller projects
		District Gateway - South End	Public ²	Low	Outside SRA	
	4	District Gateway - Trader's Lane	Public ²	Low	Outside SRA	
Recreation/ Access		Improve Willow Creek parking lot and amenities ¹	Public ²	Moderate	Highly supported (RTMP: LLN #11 FLSRA GP: Natshores #S-18)	

Figure 24. River District project summary table
(Continued on next page)

Recreation/ Access		Docks under Folsom Blvd Bridge ¹	Public ²	Low/ Moderate	Generally supported (FLSRA GP: Visit #22 & Natshore #N-3)	
Recreation/ Access		Trail connection - JCT to Canal Trail (near North Bridge) ¹	Public ²	Low	Highly supported (RTMP: ULN #3 & LLN #18 FLSRA GP: Visit #63 & Natshore #N-2)	
Recreation/ Access		Trail connection - Rodeo Park to Canal Trail ¹	Public ²	Low	Highly supported (RTMP: ULN #3 & LLN #18 FLSRA GP: Visit #63)	
Recreation/ Access		Trail connection - Rodeo Park to Sutter Street	Public ²	Low	Outside SRA	Project crosses private property and would need to be negotiated.
Recreation/ Access		Trail connection - Canal Trail to Passive Park at Truss Bridge ¹	Public ²	Low	Highly supported (RTMP: ULN #3 & LLN #18 FLSRA GP: Visit #63)	
District-Wide Improvement Projects						
Recreation		Interpretive Exhibits ¹	Public ²	Low	Highly supported (RTMP: ULN #10 & LLN #16 FLSRA GP: Culture #27 & 28, Interpret #1, 7, 8, 10, 14, 15, Visual #2, Powerhouse #11 & 13, Natshore #S-3 & Aldercreek #13 & Riparian #11 & Marsh/Pond #1)	
Recreation		Wayfinding/directional signage ¹	Public ²	Low	Generally supported (FLSRA GP: Interpret #1 & Powerhouse #16)	
Recreation		Habitat restoration (e.g. invasive plant removal) ¹	Public ²	Moderate	Highly supported (FLSRA GP: Plants #2, 6 & 8, Chaparral #9, Woodland #5 & 6, Grassland #5, Ruderal #1, Riparian #2 to 5 & 7, Natshore #N-6, N-8 to N-10 & #S-5 to S-7 & S-9 & Aldercreek #4, Marsh/Pond #2)	
Recreation		Trail furnishings (e.g. benches, bike racks) ¹	Public ²	Low		
Recreation/ Access		Trail improvements (e.g. between Corporation Yard and river) ¹	Public ²	Low	Highly supported (RTMP: ULN #3 & LLN #18 FLSRA GP: Visit #63)	

Footnotes:

1. Project is partially or entirely in State Parks jurisdiction and would require approval and coordination with State.
2. Includes public funding such as the City general fund, grants, bonds, and/or special tax measures.

C. Recommendations for Next Steps

The next steps in the River District’s planning process can take many forms and this chapter provides a guide to move the vision forward. The review process will entail more thorough site studies and analysis of traffic conditions, utility services, flood plain concerns, and property and easement restrictions. Subsequent steps will include substantial additional public review, the preparation of construction documents and permitting for key site projects, and site and project specific CEQA environmental review. Potential Future River District Programs and Measures.

i. Potential Future River District Programs and Measures

The following programs and measures are recommended to bring more visitors into the River District area, enhance the sense of place, and increase safety:

- Promote River District bridge tours, public art walks, and water tours.
- Work with State Parks to facilitate additional programs and community events and work together to better integrate the Powerhouse SHP into the Historic District.
- Coordinate with State Parks to implement public art along the trail system in key locations, such as the Pillar Mural Project at the Lake Natoma Crossing.
- Work with State Parks to provide vehicle access improvements such as line of sight, vegetation clearing, and incorporating accel and deceleration vehicular travel lanes at:
 - › Willow Creek
 - › Black Miners Bar
- Coordinate with State Parks to install a Welcome Center/Visitor Center/Cultural and Interpretation Center potentially at Black Miners Bar and/or “Museum Flat” (Folsom Blvd and Hwy 50).

Create incentives to facilitate renovation/reuse of existing commercial and office buildings in the River District. These incentives could include:

- Provide matching grant program by the City to help finance the cost of façade improvements
- Reduced/waived City fees for planning and/or building permits
- Provide low-interest loan program for major building renovations
- Include some incentives as a “limited time offer,” or having a first-come first-served orientation, to encourage early adopters

Review the Vision Plan annually during the city council’s strategic planning sessions, and the long-term capital improvement program (CIP) deliberations, and identify funding for prioritized projects. This process should allow for regular and ongoing community input. It is recommended that the City Council and City Manager make a specific assignment to 1 or more staff of the Community Development, Parks and Recreation, and Public Works departments to be responsible for ongoing implementation of the River District Vision Plan.

ii. Partnering with State Parks

The City desires to build upon its relationship with State Parks for both the advancement of master plan objectives and concepts, and also objectives contained in the FLSRA General Plan and the Road and Trail Management Plan. Programs and improvements common to State Parks’ documents and the River District Master Plan have been identified by both the public and the CAC. These represent opportunities to create additional partnerships between the agencies to advance proposals that might otherwise be overlooked or bypassed. This River District implementation chapter is intended to be a fluid and responsive to community interests, and updated periodically over time. The following are recommended actions to enhance partnerships with State Parks:

- Commit to regular meetings (not less than annually) with State Parks Gold Fields staff to review Vision Plan implementation progress and project objectives

- Provide city council direction to staff regarding annual city strategic initiatives, Vision Plan objectives, budget directives, and expected outcomes
- Develop program and project definitions aligned with city and community interests and based upon the Vision Plan
- Identify capital funding feasibility strategies on an annual basis
- Identify long-term operations and maintenance costs, feasibility and strategies as part of each project's site specific Master Plan
- Review the Vision Plan annually during the city council's strategic planning sessions, and the long-term capital improvement program (CIP) and identify funding for prioritized projects


iii. Potential River District Phase 2 Projects

This Vision Plan provides an overview of the entire River District area with the intent to provide increased recreational opportunities and access, enhance economic growth opportunities, and protection of environmental, cultural, and historical resources. As the conceptual plans in this Vision Plan move forward, further studies may be required by qualified professionals. Additionally, future studies and planning are recommended to further enhance the River District area:

- Future site specific, or project specific, Master Plans and a River District Specific Plan with associated CEQA analysis, such as a traffic study and more focused environmental documentation.
 - A River District Specific Plan would contain a more detailed analysis of the area and would be required by law to contain at least the following key elements:
 - › Land Use planning and regulatory provisions
 - › Mobility and circulation analysis
 - › Infrastructure and public facilities analysis
 - › Implementation measures and financing mechanisms
 - › Specific Plan administration, enforcement, and amendments requirements
- Potential refinements to the River District Master Plan boundary - there was interest from some CAC members in potentially expanding the River District Master Plan boundary in five locations which are shown on the following pages. These adjustments to the River District boundary are subject to future consideration and will require additional studies. The potential future additions to the boundary include:
 - › Areas 1 and 2 - The CDCR Old Folsom Prison property and a small City-owned park site adjacent to the prison
 - › Area 3 - Crawdads on the Lake restaurant site (former Cliff House)
 - › Area 4 - Folsom Junction/Wye Property
 - › Area 5 - Natoma Ground Sluice Diggings area
- As the community of Folsom changes in the future, the City should consider creating a secondary River District planning boundary for existing and future land uses located immediately outside the River District boundary. The creation of this boundary would acknowledge the multiple land uses immediately outside the River District boundary that would benefit from the River District planning principles, furthering the influence of this Vision Plan and beautifying the community.




Areas 1 & 2: Old Folsom Prison & City-Owned Park



Area 3: Crawdads on the Lake (former Cliff House)

Figure 26. Potential future refinements to the River District Vision Plan boundary (continued on the next page)



Folsom Junction

Area 4: Folsom Junction




Ground Sluice Diggings

Area 5: Natoma Ground Sluice Diggings

iv. Possible Additional Future River District Studies and Master Plans

- Wayfinding Plan - Work collaboratively with State Parks on the creation of a cohesive brand and theme for the River District and directional system that is clear, intuitive, and efficient. Use technology where appropriate, and assure accessibility and inclusivity.
- Interpretive/Education Plan - Work collaboratively with State Parks, Native American Tribes and other historical, cultural and environmental stakeholders to develop a district-wide
- Public Art Plan - Work collaboratively with Folsom’s Art Commission and State Parks to integrate temporary and permanent art exhibits within the River District. Consider creating a comprehensive “Art Walk” with a network of public art placed along trails and primary public roadways.
- Trails and Access Plan Update - Work with State Parks to determine the most appropriate locations for trail improvements, new trail connections, highlighting scenic vistas, and water access enhancements, such as:
 - › Future bridge feasibility analysis should be completed to evaluate the bridge placement in Key Site 1 with an alternate upstream location in a previously quarried area of the river.
 - › American River Canyon Drive and Greenback Lane user trail
 - › Shoreline Trails along BMB shoreline and FPSHP shoreline
- Emergency Access Plan - Work with State Parks, the Folsom Fire Department, and Police Department to prepare an analysis of emergency access points and needed improvements, including the most feasible locations for future emergency boat-launching facilities within the River District.

APPENDICES



APPENDICES

- i. OPPORTUNITIES AND CONSTRAINTS MEMORANDUM**
- ii. ECONOMIC CASE STUDIES**
- iii. SUMMARY OF COMMUNITY OUTREACH DATA AND SURVEY RESPONSES**
- iv. CORPORATION YARD - PLANNING STUDIES**
- v. CITIZEN'S ADVISORY COMMITTEE (CAC) MEETING AGENDAS**

Memo



455 Capitol Mall, Suite 300
 Sacramento, CA 95814
 916.444.7301

Date: December 6, 2023
To: Lief McKay and Debbie Jewell, RRM Design Group
From: Lily Bostrom and Adam Lewandowski, Ascent Environmental
Subject: Folsom City River District Opportunity and Constraints

1.1 PURPOSE OF THIS MEMO

This memorandum describes the potential environmental opportunities and constraints related to development and redevelopment of public lands in the Folsom City River District. Identified environmental opportunities and constraints in the River District are mapped and summarized below. For mapping purposes, the River District was divided into three segments, the Northern Reach, the Central Reach, and the Southern Reach.

1.2 ENVIRONMENTAL OPPORTUNITIES AND CONSTRAINTS

A summary of the level of environmental constraints for public parcels in the Folsom City River District and are shown on Exhibits 1a through 1c below. Environmental considerations that were used to develop the constraints ratings include 100-year flood zones, 500-year flood zones (including the 200-year flood zone), areas with very high landslide susceptibility, areas with high soil shrink-swell potential, and sensitive habitats (i.e., wetlands, protected wildlife habitat and plants/vegetation). The relative level of constraints are organized into four categories: redevelopment unlikely, least constrained, moderately constrained, and highly constrained. Each of these are described in more detail in Table 1. Constraint categories were applied to City-owned property and state/federal-owned properties within the River District, as shown on Exhibits 1a through 1c. City-owned property could be developed with new buildings and structures, while development on state or federal land would more likely be limited to trails, parks, and open space. Therefore, the constraints ratings are slightly more conservative on City-owned land than on state/federal land.

As shown on Exhibits 1a through 1c, the least constrained areas include the area west side of Lake Natoma north of the Rainbow Bridge, parcels in the Historic District and near/within the City's corporation yard, the area between Glenn Light Rail Station and Lake Natoma, and the area immediately west of Iron Point Light Rail Station. The most highly constrained portions of the River District generally include the areas immediately adjacent to Lake Natoma (due primarily to high landslide susceptibility), and other areas with high landslide susceptibility (e.g., the northwest portion of Black Miners Bar) and/or areas with wetlands and other waters present (e.g., the wetland near Willow Creek in the Southern Reach). Refer to Table 1 below for a summary of each of the environmental constraints ratings and associated appropriate development and uses for each.

Table 1 Environmental Opportunities and Constraints Ratings

Environmental Constraints Rating	Summary of Rating	Appropriate Uses / Development
Redevelopment Unlikely (white)	These areas are already developed with established land uses that are unlikely to change (e.g., Folsom City Zoo, cemetery, developed areas of Black Miners Bar)	N/A (continuation of current uses)
Least Constrained (green)	No environmental constraints identified on city-owned property Zero to one minor constraint identified on state/federal property	Structures/buildings, trails, recreational amenities
Moderately Constrained (yellow)	One or two minor constraints identified on city-owned property Two minor constraints identified on state/federal property (no wetlands or high landslide susceptibility areas present)	Trails, recreational amenities; structures/buildings possible but may require specialized design or mitigation
Highly Constrained (orange)	These areas have wetlands present, high landslide susceptibility, or three or more overlapping environmental constraints	Trails, recreational amenities; structures/buildings would only be possible with specialized design and/or mitigation


Note: a stricter system for rating city-owned land was used because it is assumed that proposed development could involve new structures; environmental constraints affect the ability to build new structures more than they do for new and/or improved recreational amenities such as trails or benches.

As shown in Table 1, the most flexibility with regard to redevelopment in the River District occurs in the areas that are least constrained, shown in green in Exhibits 1a through 1c. From an environmental perspective, any type of development (e.g., trails, buildings) could be appropriate in these areas. As constraints increase, the ability to develop new buildings and structures decreases. The ‘Highly Constrained’ areas shown in orange contain wetlands, high landslide susceptibility, or three or more other overlapping environmental constraints. While developing a building or structure may be possible in these areas, it would be more difficult due to the need to mitigate for the presence of several environmental resources and/or hazards.

As a part of the opportunities and constraints analysis, Ascent reviewed a variety of environmental constraint types, including potential hazards (geologic, flooding, and hazardous materials), natural and biological resources, sensitive receptors, scenic resources and scenic views, cultural resources, as well as opportunities for enhanced recreation. Ascent created maps depicting each of these opportunities and constraints (see Appendix A). Each category of opportunities or constraints are discussed in detail below. Cultural resource investigations determined that the entire River District has the potential to contain significant cultural resources. All development would require site-specific cultural investigations prior to construction. Due to the need for site-specific cultural investigations and the confidentiality of site-specific cultural resource information, information on known resources is not included in this memo.




Exhibit 1a | Environmental Opportunities and Constraints Map: Northern Reach



CITY OF FOLSOM
RIVER DISTRICT




Exhibit 1b | Environmental Opportunities and Constraints Map: Central Reach



CITY OF FOLSOM
RIVER DISTRICT



Exhibit 1c | Environmental Opportunities and Constraints Map: Southern Reach



Potential Hazards

Potential hazards to development in the River District include geologic hazards, flood hazards, and known hazardous materials sites. Ascent identified areas with very high soil shrink-swell potential (i.e., unstable soils), high landslide susceptibility, 100-year flood zones, 500-year flood zones (including the 200-year flood zone), and known hazardous materials sites from the State Water Resources Control Board's GeoTracker and the Department of Toxic Substances Control's EnviroStor websites. While 10 known hazardous materials sites were identified within or immediately adjacent to the River District, none of the sites were active or had any current land use or development restrictions; therefore, they are not shown on the map or discussed further.

As shown on the hazards maps in Appendix A, there are areas with very high shrink-swell potential, high landslide susceptibility, 100-year flood zones, and 500-year flood zones (including the 200-year flood zone) throughout the River District. Areas with very high shrink-swell potential and/or high landslide susceptibility would be more difficult to develop due to the need to incorporate building design standards that consider these potential soil hazards. Specifically, development would need to adhere to the California Building Standards Code (CBSC, California Code of Regulations [CCR] Title 24). The CBSC includes regulations for seismic safety, excavation of foundations and retaining walls, and grading activities. Particularly in high landslide areas, certain types of development are not appropriate, such as new residences, schools, or other areas where people may congregate. Development in floodplains is possible and would need to meet the requirements of the Federal Emergency Management Agency's (FEMA) National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP), the flood provisions in the CBSC, and local floodplain management regulations.

Sensitive Receptors, Scenic Resources, and Natural Resources

Ascent reviewed and mapped sensitive receptors to air quality emissions and noise within 0.25-mile of the River District, and city-designated scenic resources and scenic views, sensitive natural communities and habitats including wetlands, and documented special-status wildlife occurrences within the River District (and immediately adjacent in the case of the known wildlife occurrences). Given the complexity of regulations around natural and biological resources, a separate Biological Resources Constraints Memo has been prepared and is attached as Appendix B. Detailed descriptions of the sensitive natural communities and habitats including wetlands, and documented special-status wildlife occurrences are included in Appendix B, along with detailed mapping and a discussion of development constraints and regulatory requirements. Therefore, detailed discussion of natural and biological resources is not included in this memo.

As shown on the maps in Appendix A, there are several sensitive receptors (e.g., residences, schools) located immediately adjacent to the River District in the Northern Reach and Central Reach. While the presence of sensitive receptors would not prohibit development, potential impacts to sensitive receptors from air quality and noise associated with development would need to be considered during review under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). In cases where noise and air quality emissions thresholds would be exceeded, mitigation measures to reduce impacts would need to be implemented.

Scenic resources and scenic views are present throughout the Folsom River District. Scenic views are areas that have been identified in adopted plans and from which a scenic vista is available, such as from Greenback Lane above Black Miners Bar. These include views from city-designated scenic corridors. Scenic resources are both landscape and built features of interest, some of which provide panoramic views. Examples of scenic resources in the River District include the Truss Bridge and the Rainbow Bridge. Although the presence of scenic resources, corridors, and views likely would not preclude development, development that is visible from designated scenic views and corridors would need to consider to what degree it may alter the existing scenic view and avoid substantially degrading the view. In addition, development in and around scenic resources would need to avoid damaging the scenic resources and qualities that qualify them as scenic. These aspects of development would need to be considered during CEQA review.



Recreational Opportunities

There are multiple existing trails and recreation areas within and surrounding the Folsom River District, which are shown on the Opportunities Map in Appendix A. Given this, there are several opportunities in the River District to improve trail connections, enhance existing trails, and make other improvements to existing recreational areas. Recreational opportunities on City-owned lands include creating a continuous trail connection between Powerhouse State Historic Park and the existing trails to the east and west, improving connections from existing light rail stations to the River District and existing trails, and providing additional trail connections into open space areas surrounding existing development. Textboxes with identifying City recreational opportunities and California State Parks recreation improvement proposals are included on the Recreational Opportunities maps in Appendix A. There are also opportunities for restoration within the River District, which is described in detail the Biological Resources Constraints Memo in Appendix B.

1.3 REFERENCES

Hazards

California Department of Toxic Substances Control. 2023. EnviroStor: A & S Custom Plating Co (34340107). *Summary*. Available: https://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public/profile_report?global_id=34340107. Accessed September 29, 2023.

———. 2023. EnviroStor: City of Folsom Corporate Yard Landfill (60000962). *Summary*. Available: https://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public/profile_report?global_id=60000962. Accessed September 29, 2023.

———. 2023. EnviroStor: Woodbridge Site (34150008). *Summary*. Available: https://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public/profile_report?global_id=34150008. Accessed September 29, 2023.

———. 2023. EnviroStor: R Squared Circuits, Inc. (71003111). *Summary*. Available: https://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public/profile_report?global_id=71003111. Accessed September 29, 2023.

DTSC. See California Department of Toxic Substances Control.

State Water Resources Control Board. 2023. GeoTracker: Village Cleaners (SL0606761416). *Summary*. Available: https://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/profile_report?global_id=SL0606761416&mytab=esidata&subcmd=edfsummarytable#esidata. Accessed September 29, 2023.

———. 2023. GeoTracker: Willow Creek (T0606799076). *Summary*. Available: https://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/profile_report.asp?global_id=T0606799076. Accessed September 29, 2023.

———. 2023. GeoTracker: Shell Service Station (T0606797486). *Summary*. Available: https://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/profile_report.asp?global_id=T0606797486. Accessed September 29, 2023.

———. 2023. GeoTracker: Nimbus Flat State Park (T10000001706). *Summary*. Available: https://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/profile_report.asp?global_id=T10000001706. Accessed September 29, 2023.

SWRCB. See State Water Resources Control Board.

Recreation

California State Parks. 2023 (January). *Folsom Lake State Recreation Area & Folsom Powerhouse State Historic Park. Road & Trail Management Plan*. Available: https://www.parks.ca.gov/pages/1324/files/Final_FLSRA_RTMP_508.pdf. Accessed August 30, 2023.



———. 2010 (June). *Folsom Lake State Recreation Area & Folsom Powerhouse State Historic Park. General Plan/Resource Management Plan. Volume 1: Chapters I-III: Final General Plan & Resources Management Plan.* Approved October 8, 2009. Available: https://www.parks.ca.gov/pages/21299/files/FLSRA_GP_RMP_Vol1_Final_Plan.pdf. Accessed August 30, 2023.

City of Folsom. 2018 (March). *2035 General Plan Update Draft PEIR.* Chapter 16: Public Services and Recreation Resources. Available: <https://www.folsom.ca.us/home/showpublisheddocument/11509/637963449709500000>. Accessed August 30, 2023.

———. 2021. *Folsom General Plan 2035.* Adopted August 28, 2018; Amended August 24, 2021. Available: <https://www.folsom.ca.us/home/showdocument?id=12958&t=638100643766099105>. Accessed August 30, 2023.

Scenic Resources

City of Folsom. 2018 (March). *2035 General Plan Update Draft PEIR.* Chapter 6: Aesthetics. Available: <https://www.folsom.ca.us/home/showpublisheddocument/11529/637963449743100000>. Accessed August 30, 2023.

———. 2021. *Folsom General Plan 2035.* Adopted August 28, 2018; Amended August 24, 2021. Available: <https://www.folsom.ca.us/home/showdocument?id=12958&t=638100643766099105>. Accessed August 30, 2023.


Sensitive Receptors

City of Folsom. 2021. *Folsom General Plan 2035.* Adopted August 28, 2018; Amended August 24, 2021. Available: <https://www.folsom.ca.us/home/showdocument?id=12958&t=638100643766099105>. Accessed August 30, 2023.

Graphic/GIS Sources

- Shrink-swell potential: Data downloaded from NRCS in 2022.
- Landslide susceptibility: Data downloaded from the Department of Conservation and the California Geological Survey in 2020. Data is based on Wills C.J., Perez, F., Gutierrez, C., 2011, Susceptibility to deep-seated landslides in California: California Geological Survey, Map Sheet 58.
- 100-year and 500-year floodplains: Data downloaded from Federal Emergency Management Agency in 2023.
- Opportunities (e.g., parks, trails, bike lanes):
 - Data received from CA State Parks in 2023
 - Data received from the City of Folsom in 2023





Economic & Planning Systems, Inc.
The Economics of Land Use

455 Capitol Mall, Suite 701 ■ Sacramento, CA 95814
916.649.8010 ■ www.epsys.com

INTRODUCTION

CASE STUDY LOCATIONS

- Bend, OR
- Greenville, SC
- Asheville, NC
- Martinez, CA

BEND, OREGON

OLD MILL DISTRICT – DESCHUTES RIVER

BEND, OR

OLD MILL DISTRICT ALONG THE DESCHUTES RIVER

- Year Adopted: 1998
- City Population at Adoption: 34,154
- History:
 - 1920s: 5 operating mills; depletion of Oregon's forests and a changing economy; the last mill closed in the 1980s
 - 1994: public access to 14,000 feet of riverfront
 - 1995: river trails created for public use
 - 1997: a fish ladder installed in the Colorado Street bridge for fish migration
 - 1998: approval of Oregon's land use laws and city zoning requirements to develop the site
- Economic Development:
 - Historic preservation/adaptive reuse
 - Private Developer-led
 - Phased development




photo courtesy Old Mill District & courtesy Deschutes Historical Museum



photo courtesy Old Mill District, photographer Nate Wyatt

OLD MILL DISTRICT – BEND, OR



Economic & Planning Systems, Inc. (EPS)

EPS PPT Presentation | 4

GREENVILLE, SOUTH CAROLINA

FALLS PARK ON THE REEDY RIVER/HISTORIC WEST END OF DOWNTOWN

GREENVILLE, SC

FALLS PARK ON THE REEDY RIVER/HISTORIC WEST END OF DOWNTOWN

- Year Adopted: 2004
- City Population at Adoption: 56,764
- History:
 - 1989: Downtown Development Strategy identified the Reedy River as a priceless asset to the downtown and tourism and identified the need to remove the Camperdown Bridge
 - 1980s–1990s: City used TIF to revitalize many publicly owned buildings, streetscapes, landscaping, and infrastructure
 - 2000s: Falls Park on the Reedy Master Plan was brought to fruition, the bridge was removed, pedestrian bridge installed, landscaped, two plaza levels with private restaurant and public restrooms
- Economic Development:
 - Public–Private Partnerships led to revitalization and development
 - Repurposed surface parking lot into residential development
 - Branding of 5 key corners, streetscape improvements



FALLS PARK – WEST END – GREENVILLE, SC



LAND USE

The **\$13M** cost of Falls Park helped revitalize South Main Street by spurring **\$100M+** in private investments

Peace Center: **2,100-seat** concert hall, **400-seat** theater, **outdoor amphitheater**

Bon Secours Wellness Arena: **15,000-seat** sports and entertainment venue

Fluor Field: **5,700-seat** ballpark

NOMA Square: **largest outdoor plaza** downtown

One City Plaza: mixed-use **office, retail**, and **university**

RiverPlace: **73** residential units, **217** hotel rooms, **230,000** sq. ft. commercial space

**OPEN/
PUBLIC SPACE**

355-foot suspension Liberty Bridge

32-acre green space in downtown's historic West End

23-mile multiuse trails to landscaped gardens, Cleveland Park, Greenville Zoo

125+ public art installations

Historic wall from the site's original **18th century** grist mill

Saturday market with **75+** local vendors, live music

Economic & Planning Systems, Inc. (EPS)

EPS PPT Presentation | 7

ASHEVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA

FRENCH BROAD RIVER – RIVER ARTS DISTRICT

ASHEVILLE, NC

FRENCH BROAD RIVER – RIVER ARTS DISTRICT

- Year Adopted: 1990
- Population at Adoption: 66,184
- History:
 - 1880s new industrial/residential area near the river following Asheville's connection to the Western North Carolina railroad
 - 1916: Flood washed away existing attraction Riverside Park
 - 1920s-1930s: residents moved; the industrial area stayed
 - 1940s-1980s: neglected and abandoned and then home to public housing projects
 - 1989-1990: the RiverLink committee and Riverfront Plan were established to reincorporate the riverfront into the fabric of the community
 - 1991: Carolina Power & Light donates land that becomes French Broad River Park
 - 1994: French Broad River Park opens
 - 2000s: The Wilma Dykeman Riverway Master Plan & the Greenways Master Plan
- Economic Development:
 - "One more day" implemented as a strategy for development/revitalization to help keep tourists that are there to visit the Biltmore Estate to stay one more day in Asheville
 - Link the river to Downtown
 - How to make the river the region's most salient characteristic
 - Monthly bus tours visiting Asheville's older neighborhoods and other attractions along the river



FRENCH BROAD RIVER- RIVER ARTS DISTRICT - ASHEVILLE, NC



Economic & Planning Systems, Inc. (EPS)

EPS PPT Presentation | 10

MARTINEZ, CALIFORNIA

WATERFRONT MARINA

MARTINEZ, CA

WATERFRONT MARINA

- Year Implemented: Est. adoption December 2023
- Current Population: 37,300
- History:
 - A large portion of the area is Public Trust Lands held in a trust by the State, limited to regional- and water-dependent or related uses that serve public purpose
 - Current uses include the Martinez Marina, Bait Shop, Yacht Club, amphitheater, dog park, disposal pond, picnic area, parking lots, Sea Scouts, Eagle Marine, and Waterfront Park
 - Amtrak runs along the boundary with a station nearby
 - Waterfront Marina Trust Lands Use Plan Public Review Draft, August 2023
- Economic Development:
 - Develop a regional recreational destination by activating the waterfront and marina areas
 - Create flexible space for a variety of experiences and users
 - Align with downtown plans and investment to achieve shared goals
 - Connect Martinez to the water



WATERFRONT MARINA – PROPOSED – MARTINEZ, CA

Marina Promenade Zone includes Marina Village: **16,000 sq. ft.** of restaurant space in waterfront buildings, **9,600-sq.-ft.** bait shop & fish market
15,000-sq.-ft. education and events center
354 boat slips
2 public launches
162 dry storage spaces

LAND USE

Tideland Zone includes walking paths, wayfinding signage, trailhead picnic areas, elevated boardwalks and viewing decks, and tideland restoration

Waterfront Park Zone will maintain existing bocce courts, baseball fields, multipurpose fields, expanded skate park and dog park, added picnic pavilions, BBQs, and a community stage
Expanded **ferry/water taxi service**
Kite flying area

OPEN PUBLIC SPACE

Economic & Planning Systems, Inc. (EPS) EPS PPT Presentation | 13



Slide 2

RIVER DISTRICT MASTER PLAN | Citizen's Advisory Committee

2/28/24 

Engagement Statistics



CITY OF FOLSOM
DISTINCTIVE BY NATURE

Website was active for **8** weeks


- Individual participants: **300**
- Comments on map: **526**
- Questionnaire responses: **165**

Slide 3


RIVER DISTRICT MASTER PLAN | Citizen's Advisory Committee

2/28/24 

Online Questionnaire



What statement best describes you?




Slide 4


RIVER DISTRICT MASTER PLAN | Citizen's Advisory Committee

2/28/24 rrm design group

Online Questionnaire



Why do you usually visit the River District area?




Slide 5


RIVER DISTRICT MASTER PLAN | Citizen's Advisory Committee

2/28/24 rrm design group

Online Questionnaire



What new recreational uses/amenities in the River District would you support?




Slide 6


RIVER DISTRICT MASTER PLAN | Citizen's Advisory Committee

2/28/24 irrm design group

Online Questionnaire



In the future, where should enhanced trail connections to the water be prioritized?




Slide 8


RIVER DISTRICT MASTER PLAN | Citizen's Advisory Committee

2/28/24 irrm design group

Online Questionnaire



Which of the following would attract you to the River District more often?




Slide 9

Online Questionnaire



Which of the following do you believe would be appropriate additions to the River District?




Slide 10

Online Questionnaire



What specific areas would you most prefer to see economic development occur?




Slide 11

RIVER DISTRICT MASTER PLAN | Citizen's Advisory Committee

2/28/24 irrm design group

Engagement Statistics

Distribution of Map Comments by Topic



Slide 12

RIVER DISTRICT MASTER PLAN | Citizen's Advisory Committee


2/28/24 irrm design group

Engagement Statistics

Distribution of Map Comments by Location



CITY OF
FOLSOM
DISTINCTIVE BY NATURE



Slide 13

RIVER DISTRICT MASTER PLAN | Citizen's Advisory Committee

2/28/24 

Idea Prioritization



CITY OF
FOLSOM
DISTINCTIVE BY NATURE

PROCESS FOR PRIORITIZING THE MAP COMMENTS:


1. Similar ideas grouped together by topic and sentiment
2. Assigned a numerical value for each of the following criteria:
 - a) Consistency with State Parks Goals
 - b) Consistency with City Master Plan Goals
 - c) Environmental Constraints
 - d) Property Ownership
 - e) Supported by the CAC
 - f) Net "likes" ("dislikes" subtracted from "likes")
3. Scores added together to give overall score

Slide 14

RIVER DISTRICT MASTER PLAN | Citizen's Advisory Committee

2/28/24 

Idea Prioritization



Methodology Example

Overall Score	Idea (similar comments combined)	Net Likes	Supported by CAC		Consistent with City Goals		Property Owned by City		Environmental Constraints		Apparent Consistency with State Parks Goals	
404	Create an improved trail under Rainbow Bridge to connect users to the Walker (Truss) Bridge. This would align nicely with other suggestions for continuing the trail past the lake side of the Powerhouse, but if that idea is not approved this should still be a priority and can connect up with the existing trail along the road and through the Powerhouse parking lot.	104	100	Yes	100	Yes	0	No	0	High	100	Yes
						Recreation and Access						RTMP: Construct ADA accessible trail at Folsom Powerhouse State Park & Increase trail connections and access (ULN #3 & #4 + Figure 8) RMP: Class 1 trail from Lake Natoma Crossing Bridge to Truss Bridge (POWERHOUSE-15)


Slide 15

RIVER DISTRICT MASTER PLAN | Citizen's Advisory Committee

2/28/24 irm design group

Idea Prioritization

High Scoring Ideas/Sentiments from Social Pinpoint



South	Central	North
Overlooks of the lake	Waterfront trail in front of Powerhouse ("Bridge-to-Bridge")	Improve and extend Canal Trail, including footbridge across river
Improve Willow Creek launch area	Improve safety and connectivity between Historic District and Rainbow Bridge	Improve Rodeo Park area to make it a multipurpose facility
Provide Native American interpretation	Add public docks for personal watercraft (kayak, SUP, etc.)	Improve access to Black Miners Bar boat launch area
Education about nature	<i>Much interest and varying perspectives in Corp Yard site</i>	Enhance area near south end of Truss Bridge, with water access

Slide 16

RIVER DISTRICT MASTER PLAN | Citizen's Advisory Committee

2/28/24 irm design group



Corporation Yard



Land Use Concept Refinement

Slide 2

RIVER DISTRICT MASTER PLAN | Citizen's Advisory Committee

4/24/24 

Corporation Yard Process



Slide 3

RIVER DISTRICT MASTER PLAN | Citizen's Advisory Committee

4/24/24 



Six Concepts with a lot in common



Slide 32

RIVER DISTRICT MASTER PLAN | Citizen's Advisory Committee

4/24/24 



Parkland / Open Space




Slide 33

RIVER DISTRICT MASTER PLAN | Citizen's Advisory Committee

4/24/24 



Residential



Slide 34

RIVER DISTRICT MASTER PLAN | Citizen's Advisory Committee

4/24/24 



Mixed Use



Slide 35

RIVER DISTRICT MASTER PLAN | Citizen's Advisory Committee

4/24/24 



Commercial / Visitor Serving



Slide 36

RIVER DISTRICT MASTER PLAN | Citizen's Advisory Committee

4/24/24 



Entertainment



Slide 37

RIVER DISTRICT MASTER PLAN | Citizen's Advisory Committee

4/24/24 





Green Edge



Slide 38

RIVER DISTRICT MASTER PLAN | Citizen's Advisory Committee



4/24/24  irm design group



Slide 39

RIVER DISTRICT MASTER PLAN | Citizen's Advisory Committee



4/24/24 rrm design group



Slide 40

RIVER DISTRICT MASTER PLAN | Citizen's Advisory Committee



4/24/24 rrm design group



Slide 41

RIVER DISTRICT MASTER PLAN | Citizen's Advisory Committee


4/24/24 rrm design group



Slide 42

RIVER DISTRICT MASTER PLAN | Citizen's Advisory Committee



4/24/24 rrm design group



Slide 43

RIVER DISTRICT MASTER PLAN | Citizen's Advisory Committee

4/24/24



Slide 44

RIVER DISTRICT MASTER PLAN | Citizen's Advisory Committee

4/24/24





CITY OF FOLSOM
DISTINCTIVE BY NATURE

Concept A



Concept B




Concept C



Slide 24

RIVER DISTRICT MASTER PLAN | Citizen's Advisory Committee

1/24/24 rrm design group



CITY OF FOLSOM
DISTINCTIVE BY NATURE

Slide 4

RIVER DISTRICT MASTER PLAN | Citizen's Advisory Committee

4/24/24 rrm design group

Refined Option



Slide 5

RIVER DISTRICT MASTER PLAN | Citizen's Advisory Committee

4/24/24 rrm design group


Refined Option



Slide 6

RIVER DISTRICT MASTER PLAN | Citizen's Advisory Committee


4/24/24 rrm design group



Slide 4

RIVER DISTRICT MASTER PLAN | Citizen's Advisory Committee

4/24/24 irm design group



Slide 7

RIVER DISTRICT MASTER PLAN | Citizen's Advisory Committee

4/24/24 irm design group

**River District Master Plan Citizens Advisory Committee
Meeting Agenda
Folsom Public Library Georgia Murray Building Meeting Room
411 Stafford Street, Folsom, CA
August 31, 2023
6:00 p.m.**



CITY OF
FOLSOM
COMMUNITY
DEVELOPMENT

Committee Members:

Lynne Bailey	Rita Mukerjee Hoffstadt	Krystal Moreno
Jennifer Cabrera	Karen Holmes	Scott Muldavin
Bruce Cline	Lisa Horton	Brian Murch
Claudia Cummings	Will Kempton	Mike Reynolds
Brian Dular	Jennifer Lane	Edward Roza
Pat Flynn	John Lane	Devin Swartwood
Joe Gagliardi	Barbara Leary	Crystal Tobias
Deborah Grassl	Jim Lofgren	Srinivas Yanaparti

1. Call to Order

2. Roll Call

3. Business from the Floor - Members of the public are entitled to address the Committee concerning any item within the Committee's subject matter jurisdiction. Public comments are limited to no more than three minutes. Except for certain specific exceptions, the Committee is prohibited from discussing or taking action on any item not appearing on the posted agenda.

4. Discussion Items

- a. Welcome and Introductions
- b. Purpose, Scope and Ground Rules
- c. Brown Act Overview
- d. Election of Chair/Vice Chair
- e. Future Meeting Schedule and Time

5. River District Video Introduction

6. Committee Member Perspectives – Review of Committee Worksheet (attached)

- a. What does success look like...fast forward 10 to 20 years in the future?
- b. What are our collective goals?
- c. What are you most excited about?
- d. What are you most concerned about?

5. Informational Items

6. Next Meeting Date

7. Adjournment

NOTICE: Members of the public are entitled to directly address the Committee concerning any item that is described in the notice of this meeting, before or during consideration of that item. If you wish to address the Committee on an issue which is on this agenda, please complete a blue/green speaker request card, and deliver it to a staff member prior to discussion of the item. When your name is called, stand to be recognized by the Chair and then proceed to the podium. If you wish to address the Committee on any other item of interest to the public, when the Chair asks if there is any "Business from the Floor," follow the same procedure described above. Please limit your comments to three minutes or less.

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you are a person with a disability and you need a disability-related modification or accommodation to participate in this meeting, please contact the City Manager's Office at (916) 461-6010, or mkasama@folsom.ca.us. Requests must be made as early as possible and at least two full business days before the start of the meeting. Any documents produced by the City and distributed to the Committee regarding any item on this agenda will be made available at the City Clerk's Counter at City Hall located at 50 Natoma Street, Folsom, California during normal business hours.

**River District Master Plan Citizens Advisory Committee
Meeting Agenda
RG Smith Room, Folsom Community Center
52 Natoma Street, Folsom, CA
September 27, 2023
6:00 p.m.**



CITY OF
FOLSOM
COMMUNITY
DEVELOPMENT

Committee Members:

Lynne Bailey	Rita Mukerjee Hoffstadt	Krystal Moreno
Jennifer Cabrera	Karen Holmes	Scott Muldavin
Bruce Cline	Lisa Horton	Brian Murch
Claudia Cummings	Will Kempton	Mike Reynolds
Brian Dulgar	Jennifer Lane	Edward Roza
Pat Flynn	John Lane	Devin Swartwood
Joe Gagliardi	Barbara Leary	Crystal Tobias
Deborah Grassl	Jim Lofgren	Srinivas Yanaparti

1. Call to Order

2. Roll Call

3. Business from the Floor - Members of the public are entitled to address the Committee concerning any item within the Committee’s subject matter jurisdiction. Public comments are limited to no more than three minutes. Except for certain specific exceptions, the Committee is prohibited from discussing or taking action on any item not appearing on the posted agenda.

4. Discussion Items

- a. RRM Design Group Scope of Work and Milestones (5 min)
 - i. Potential mid-project review with the City Council
- b. What does a master plan look like? (10 min)
- c. Preliminary River District Master Plan Table of Contents (5 min)
- c. Common themes from the August 31st worksheet exercise (10 min)

5. CA State Parks Presentation – Folsom Lake State Recreation Area General Plan and Road and Trail Management Plan (30 min)


6. Opportunities and Constraints (60 min)

- a. Citizens Advisory Committee
 - i. Process and Ground Rules (use of the “dust bin, parking lot, continue/approve” voting blocks for certain discussion items)
- b. Interactive committee work group discussion and identification of potential district assets, areas and unique opportunities to meet the intent of the General Plan, as well as district elements such as hazards, cultural and environmental resources that represent project constraints or opportunities for protection and enhancement.

7. Informational Items**8. Next Meeting Date****9. Adjournment**

NOTICE: Members of the public are entitled to directly address the Committee concerning any item that is described in the notice of this meeting, before or during consideration of that item. If you wish to address the Committee on an issue which is on this agenda, please complete a blue/green speaker request card, and deliver it to a staff member prior to discussion of the item. When your name is called, stand to be recognized by the Chair and then proceed to the podium. If you wish to address the Committee on any other item of interest to the public, when the Chair asks if there is any "Business from the Floor," follow the same procedure described above. Please limit your comments to three minutes or less.

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you are a person with a disability and you need a disability-related modification or accommodation to participate in this meeting, please contact the City Manager's Office at (916) 461-6010, or mkasama@folsom.ca.us. Requests must be made as early as possible and at least two full business days before the start of the meeting. Any documents produced by the City and distributed to the Committee regarding any item on this agenda will be made available at the City Clerk's Counter at City Hall located at 50 Natoma Street, Folsom, California during normal business hours.



**RIVER DISTRICT MASTER PLAN
CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING AGENDA
October 25, 2023, 6:00 PM
Folsom Community Center, RG Smith Meeting Room
52 Stafford Street, Folsom, CA**

COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

Lynne Bailey	Rita Mukerjee Hoffstadt	Krystal Moreno
Jennifer Cabrera	Karen Holmes	Scott Muldavin
Bruce Cline	Lisa Horton	Brian Murch
Claudia Cummings	Will Kempton	Mike Reynolds
Brian Dular	Jennifer Lane	Edward Roza
Pat Flynn	John Lane	Devin Swartwood
Joe Gagliardi	Barbara Leary	Crystal Tobias
Deborah Grassl	Jim Lofgren	Srinivas Yanaparti

CALL TO ORDER

ROLL CALL

BUSINESS FROM THE FLOOR

Members of the public are entitled to address the Committee concerning any item within the Committee's subject matter jurisdiction. Public comments are limited to no more than three minutes. Except for certain specific exceptions, the Committee is prohibited from discussing or taking action on any item not appearing on the posted agenda.

DISCUSSION ITEMS

1. CAC Process and Ground Rules – 5 min
2. CAC Tentative Advanced Schedule and Agenda Topics (outline attached) – 10 min
 - a. RRM Scope of Work/Design Process

CAC WORK GROUP ACTIVITY/DISCUSSION

1. Opportunity and Constraints – Interactive discussion and identification of potential district assets, areas of unique opportunities to meet the intent of the General Plan, as well as district elements such as hazards, cultural and environmental resources that represent project constraints or opportunities for protection and enhancement.
 - a. Continue with River District North Area Group Discussion – 30 min
 - i. Group report back on priority Opportunities and key Constraints – 10 min
 - b. River District South Area Group Discussion – 50 min
 - i. Group report back on priority Opportunities and key Constraints – 10 min

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS

1. CAC Meeting Notes – September 27, 2023 (attached to email)
2. Cultural Resource Constraints Memo from Ascent Environmental (attached to email)
3. Summary of State Parks Stakeholder Meeting (outline attached)
4. Online Community Survey – Folsom Blvd Overcrossing <https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/FolsomOC>

NEXT MEETING DATE

Wednesday, December 13, 2023 – 6:00 pm; Folsom Community Center, RG Smith Room

ADJOURNMENT

***NOTICE:** Members of the public are entitled to directly address the Committee concerning any item that is described in the notice of this meeting, before or during consideration of that item. If you wish to address the Committee on an issue which is on this agenda, please complete a blue/green speaker request card, and deliver it to a staff member prior to discussion of the item. When your name is called, stand to be recognized by the Chair and then proceed to the podium. If you wish to address the Committee on any other item of interest to the public, when the Chair asks if there is any “Business from the Floor,” follow the same procedure described above. Please limit your comments to three minutes or less.*

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you are a person with a disability and you need a disability-related modification or accommodation to participate in this meeting, please contact the City Manager’s Office at (916) 461-6010, or mkasama@folsom.ca.us. Requests must be made as early as possible and at least two full business days before the start of the meeting.

Any documents produced by the City and distributed to the Committee regarding any item on this agenda will be made available at the City Clerk’s Counter at City Hall located at 50 Natoma Street, Folsom, California during normal business hours.



**RIVER DISTRICT MASTER PLAN
CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING AGENDA
December 13, 2023, 6:00 PM
Folsom Community Center, RG Smith Meeting Room
52 Stafford Street, Folsom, CA**

COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

Lynne Bailey	Rita Mukerjee Hoffstadt	Krystal Moreno
Jennifer Cabrera	Karen Holmes	Scott Muldavin
Bruce Cline	Lisa Horton	Brian Murch
Claudia Cummings	Will Kempton	Mike Reynolds
Brian Dular	Jennifer Lane	Edward Roza
Pat Flynn	John Lane	Devin Swartwood
Joe Gagliardi	Barbara Leary	Crystal Tobias
Deborah Grassl	Jim Lofgren	Srinivas Yanaparti

CALL TO ORDER

ROLL CALL

BUSINESS FROM THE FLOOR

Members of the public are entitled to address the Committee concerning any item within the Committee's subject matter jurisdiction. Public comments are limited to no more than three minutes. Except for certain specific exceptions, the Committee is prohibited from discussing or taking action on any item not appearing on the posted agenda.

ACTION ITEM

1. Folsom Blvd Overcrossing, Preferred Alternatives Review and Recommendation to City Council – 30 min Presentation from Parks and Recreation Department - Brett Bollinger, Sr. Trails Planner (included with agenda)

INFORMATION ITEMS – 15 min

1. Environmental Constraints Technical Memorandum (included with agenda)
2. Orangevale Notification (discussion only)
3. Social Pinpoint Interactive Mapping Tool (discussion only)
4. CAC Meeting Notes from October 25, 2023 meeting (included with agenda)

CAC WORK GROUP ACTIVITY/DISCUSSION

1. Opportunity and Constraints – Interactive discussion and identification of potential district assets, areas of unique opportunities to meet the intent of the General Plan, as well as district elements such as hazards, cultural and environmental resources that represent project constraints or opportunities for protection and enhancement.
 - a. River District Central Subarea Group Discussion – 75 min
 - i. Group report back on priority Opportunities and key Constraints – 25 min

NEXT MEETING DATE

Wednesday, January 10, 2024 – 6:00 pm; Folsom Community Center, RG Smith Room

ADJOURNMENT

NOTICE: Members of the public are entitled to directly address the Committee concerning any item that is described in the notice of this meeting, before or during consideration of that item. If you wish to address the Committee on an issue which is on this agenda, please complete a blue/green speaker request card, and deliver it to a staff member prior to discussion of the item. When your name is called, stand to be recognized by the Chair and then proceed to the podium. If you wish to address the Committee on any other item of interest to the public, when the Chair asks if there is any “Business from the Floor,” follow the same procedure described above. Please limit your comments to three minutes or less.

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you are a person with a disability and you need a disability-related modification or accommodation to participate in this meeting, please contact the City Manager’s Office at (916) 461-6010, or mkasama@folsom.ca.us. Requests must be made as early as possible and at least two full business days before the start of the meeting.

Any documents produced by the City and distributed to the Committee regarding any item on this agenda will be made available at the City Clerk’s Counter at City Hall located at 50 Natoma Street, Folsom, California during normal business hours.



CITY OF
FOLSOM
DISTINCTIVE BY NATURE

**RIVER DISTRICT MASTER PLAN
CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING AGENDA
January 24, 2024, 6:00 PM
Folsom Community Center, RG Smith Meeting Room
52 Natoma Street, Folsom, CA**

COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

Lynne Bailey	Rita Mukerjee Hoffstadt	Krystal Moreno
Jennifer Cabrera	Karen Holmes	Scott Muldavin
Bruce Cline	Lisa Horton	Brian Murch
Claudia Cummings	Will Kempton	Mike Reynolds
Brian Dulgar	Jennifer Lane	Edward Roza
Pat Flynn	John Lane	Devin Swartwood
Joe Gagliardi	Barbara Leary	Crystal Tobias
Deborah Grassl	Jim Lofgren	Srinivas Yanaparti

CALL TO ORDER

ROLL CALL

BUSINESS FROM THE FLOOR

Members of the public are entitled to address the Committee concerning any item within the Committee's subject matter jurisdiction. Public comments are limited to no more than three minutes. Except for certain specific exceptions, the Committee is prohibited from discussing or taking action on any item not appearing on the posted agenda.

INFORMATION ITEMS

1. CAC Meeting Notes from the January 10, 2024 meeting (included with agenda along with the Corporation Yard conceptual land use plans created by individual CAC work groups)

GROUP DISCUSSION/RECOMMENDATIONS

1. RRM Design Group will prepare and present for discussion a synthesis of prior CAC opportunities and constraints issues for the various subareas of the River District identified during prior meetings – 30 min.
2. RRM Design Group will prepare and present for discussion two to three alternatives, representing a synthesis of CAC Corporation Yard conceptual land use plans completed at the January 10th meeting (included with the agenda) – 45 min.

WORK GROUP ACTIVITY/DISCUSSION

1. Interactive discussion and identification of potential Rodeo Arena and surrounding area assets, areas of unique opportunities to meet the intent of the General Plan, as well as district elements such as hazards and potential constraints, cultural and environmental resources, adjacent residential areas, and nearby existing uses that will influence reuse ideas for the Rodeo Arena and its surrounding area.
 - a. Group discussion regarding key opportunities and constraints and "general" land use categories and/or facility programming ideas to increase use – 30 min
 - b. Group report back on conceptual plans – 15 min

NEXT MEETING DATE

Wednesday, February 28, 2024 – 6:00 pm; Folsom Community Center, RG Smith Room

ADJOURNMENT

***NOTICE:** Members of the public are entitled to directly address the Committee concerning any item that is described in the notice of this meeting, before or during consideration of that item. If you wish to address the Committee on an issue which is on this agenda, please complete a blue/green speaker request card, and deliver it to a staff member prior to discussion of the item. When your name is called, stand to be recognized by the Chair and then proceed to the podium. If you wish to address the Committee on any other item of interest to the public, when the Chair asks if there is any “Business from the Floor,” follow the same procedure described above. Please limit your comments to three minutes or less.*

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you are a person with a disability and you need a disability-related modification or accommodation to participate in this meeting, please contact the City Manager’s Office at (916) 461-6010, or mkasama@folsom.ca.us. Requests must be made as early as possible and at least two full business days before the start of the meeting.

Any documents produced by the City and distributed to the Committee regarding any item on this agenda will be made available at the City Clerk’s Counter at City Hall located at 50 Natoma Street, Folsom, California during normal business hours.



**RIVER DISTRICT MASTER PLAN
CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING AGENDA
February 28, 2024, 6:00 PM
Folsom Community Center, RG Smith Meeting Room
52 Natoma Street, Folsom, CA**

COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

Lynne Bailey	Rita Mukerjee Hoffstadt	Krystal Moreno
Jennifer Cabrera	Karen Holmes	Scott Muldavin
Bruce Cline	Lisa Horton	Brian Murch
Claudia Cummings	Will Kempton	Mike Reynolds
Brian Dular	Jennifer Lane	Edward Roza
Pat Flynn	John Lane	Devin Swartwood
Joe Gagliardi	Barbara Leary	Crystal Tobias
Deborah Grassl	Jim Lofgren	Srinivas Yanaparti

CALL TO ORDER

ROLL CALL

BUSINESS FROM THE FLOOR

Members of the public are entitled to address the Committee concerning any item within the Committee's subject matter jurisdiction. Public comments are limited to no more than three minutes. Except for certain specific exceptions, the Committee is prohibited from discussing or taking action on any item not appearing on the posted agenda.

INFORMATION ITEMS – 10 min

1. CAC Meeting Notes from the January 24, 2024 meeting (included with agenda along with the three refined Corporation Yard conceptual land use plans discussed by the CAC)
2. Email communication received by the City from residents and interested parties (included with the agenda).
3. River District Organizing Committee summary recommendations (included with the agenda).

GROUP DISCUSSION/RECOMMENDATIONS

4. RRM Design Group will prepare and present for discussion a synthesis of the comments received from the Social Pinpoint public engagement platform regarding the public's interests and concerns within the River District – 30 min.
5. RRM Design Group will prepare and present for discussion, based on CAC comments, a refinement of the preferred Corporation Yard general land use plan concept – 30 min.
6. RRM Design Group will prepare and present alternatives, representing a synthesis of CAC Rodeo Park conceptual land use plans completed at the January 24th meeting (included with the agenda) – 30 min.
7. CAC discussion with RRM Design Group regarding recommendations for design/planning work on the "Key Sites" as defined in RRM's scope of work – 15 min.

NEXT MEETING DATE

Wednesday, March 27, 2024 – 6:00 pm; Folsom Community Center, RG Smith Room

ADJOURNMENT

NOTICE: Members of the public are entitled to directly address the Committee concerning any item that is described in the notice of this meeting, before or during consideration of that item. If you wish to address the Committee on an issue which is on this agenda, please complete a blue/green speaker request card, and deliver it to a staff member prior to discussion of the item. When your name is called, stand to be recognized by the Chair and then proceed to the podium. If you wish to address the Committee on any other item of interest to the public, when the Chair asks if there is any "Business from the Floor," follow the same procedure described above. Please limit your comments to three minutes or less.

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you are a person with a disability and you need a disability-related modification or accommodation to participate in this meeting, please contact the City Manager's Office at (916) 461-6010, or mkasama@folsom.ca.us. Requests must be made as early as possible and at least two full business days before the start of the meeting.

Any documents produced by the City and distributed to the Committee regarding any item on this agenda will be made available at the City Clerk's Counter at City Hall located at 50 Natoma Street, Folsom, California during normal business hours.



**RIVER DISTRICT MASTER PLAN
CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING AGENDA
March 27, 2024, 6:00 PM
Folsom Community Center, RG Smith Meeting Room
52 Natoma Street, Folsom, CA**

COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

Lynne Bailey	Rita Mukerjee Hoffstadt	Krystal Moreno
Jennifer Cabrera	Karen Holmes	Scott Muldavin
Bruce Cline	Lisa Horton	Brian Murch
Claudia Cummings	Will Kempton	Mike Reynolds
Brian Dular	Jennifer Lane	Edward Roza
Pat Flynn	John Lane	Devin Swartwood
Joe Gagliardi	Barbara Leary	Crystal Tobias
Deborah Grassl	Jim Lofgren	Srinivas Yanaparti

CALL TO ORDER

ROLL CALL

BUSINESS FROM THE FLOOR

Members of the public are entitled to address the Committee concerning any item within the Committee’s subject matter jurisdiction. Public comments are limited to no more than three minutes. Except for certain specific exceptions, the Committee is prohibited from discussing or taking action on any item not appearing on the posted agenda.

INFORMATION ITEMS – 20 min

1. CAC Meeting Notes from the February 28, 2024 meeting (included with agenda)
2. Social Pinpoint and Online Questionnaire Summary (included with agenda)

GROUP DISCUSSION/RECOMMENDATIONS

3. RRM Design Group will prepare and present for discussion, based on CAC comments, additional refinements of the evolving Corporation Yard general land use plan concept – 30 min.
4. RRM Design Group will prepare and present for discussion, based on CAC comments, additional concepts for Rodeo Park and surrounding areas. – 40 min.
5. CAC discussion with RRM Design Group regarding recommendations for design/planning work on the “Key Sites” as defined in RRM’s scope of work – 25 min.

NEXT MEETING DATE


Wednesday, April 24, 2024 – 6:00 pm; Folsom Community Center, RG Smith Room

ADJOURNMENT

NOTICE: Members of the public are entitled to directly address the Committee concerning any item that is described in the notice of this meeting, before or during consideration of that item. If you wish to address the Committee on an issue which is on this agenda, please complete a blue/green speaker request card, and deliver it to a staff member prior to discussion of the item. When your name is called, stand to be recognized by the Chair and then proceed to the podium. If you wish to address the Committee on any other item of interest to the public, when the Chair asks if there is any "Business from the Floor," follow the same procedure described above. Please limit your comments to three minutes or less.

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you are a person with a disability and you need a disability-related modification or accommodation to participate in this meeting, please contact the City Manager's Office at (916) 461-6010, or mkasama@folsom.ca.us. Requests must be made as early as possible and at least two full business days before the start of the meeting.

Any documents produced by the City and distributed to the Committee regarding any item on this agenda will be made available at the City Clerk's Counter at City Hall located at 50 Natoma Street, Folsom, California during normal business hours.



**RIVER DISTRICT MASTER PLAN
CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING AGENDA
April 24, 2024, 6:00 PM
Folsom Community Center, RG Smith Meeting Room
52 Natoma Street, Folsom, CA**

COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

Lynne Bailey	Rita Mukerjee Hoffstadt	Krystal Moreno
Jennifer Cabrera	Karen Holmes	Scott Muldavin
Bruce Cline	Lisa Horton	Brian Murch
Claudia Cummings	Will Kempton	Mike Reynolds
Brian Dulgar	Jennifer Lane	Edward Roza
Pat Flynn	John Lane	Devin Swartwood
Joe Gagliardi	Barbara Leary	Crystal Tobias
Deborah Grassl	Jim Lofgren	Srinivas Yanaparti

CALL TO ORDER

ROLL CALL

BUSINESS FROM THE FLOOR

Members of the public are entitled to address the Committee concerning any item within the Committee’s subject matter jurisdiction. Public comments are limited to no more than three minutes. Except for certain specific exceptions, the Committee is prohibited from discussing or taking action on any item not appearing on the posted agenda.

DISCUSSION ITEMS

1. Master Plan Project Expectations and Schedule (memo from Pam Johns, Community Development Director included with agenda) – 5 min
2. Surplus Land Act (discussion with Pam Johns, Community Development Director, summary presentation slide deck from League of California Cities/Kosmont Companies included with agenda) – 15 min
3. River District Master Plan Boundary Comparison of General Plan vs Organizing Committee – 15 min

GROUP DISCUSSION/RECOMMENDATIONS

4. CAC recommendation of Corporation Yard general land use plan concept – 20 min.
5. RRM Design Group will prepare and present for discussion, based on CAC comments, additional refinements of the evolving Rodeo Park general land use/recreation program. – 30 min.
6. CAC discussion with RRM Design Group regarding recommendations for design/planning work on the “Key Sites” as defined in RRM’s scope of work – 30 min.

INFORMATION ITEMS

7. CAC Meeting Notes from the March 27, 2024 meeting (included with agenda)

NEXT MEETING DATE

Wednesday, June 5, 2024 – 6:00 pm; Folsom Community Center, RG Smith Room

ADJOURNMENT

NOTICE: Members of the public are entitled to directly address the Committee concerning any item that is described in the notice of this meeting, before or during consideration of that item. If you wish to address the Committee on an issue which is on this agenda, please complete a blue/green speaker request card, and deliver it to a staff member prior to discussion of the item. When your name is called, stand to be recognized by the Chair and then proceed to the podium. If you wish to address the Committee on any other item of interest to the public, when the Chair asks if there is any "Business from the Floor," follow the same procedure described above. Please limit your comments to three minutes or less.

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you are a person with a disability and you need a disability-related modification or accommodation to participate in this meeting, please contact the City Manager's Office at (916) 461-6010, or mkasama@folsom.ca.us. Requests must be made as early as possible and at least two full business days before the start of the meeting.

Any documents produced by the City and distributed to the Committee regarding any item on this agenda will be made available at the City Clerk's Counter at City Hall located at 50 Natoma Street, Folsom, California during normal business hours.



**RIVER DISTRICT MASTER PLAN
CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING AGENDA
July 24, 2024, 6:00 PM
Folsom Community Center, (RG Smith Meeting Room)
52 Natoma Street, Folsom, CA**

COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

Lynne Bailey	Rita Mukerjee Hoffstadt	Krystal Moreno
Jennifer Cabrera	Karen Holmes	Scott Muldavin
Bruce Cline	Lisa Horton	Brian Murch
Claudia Cummings	Will Kempton	Mike Reynolds
Brian Dular	Jennifer Lane	Edward Roza
Pat Flynn	John Lane	Devin Swartwood
Joe Gagliardi	Barbara Leary	Crystal Tobias
Deborah Grassl	Jim Lofgren	Srinivas Yanaparti

CALL TO ORDER

ROLL CALL

GROUP DISCUSSION/REVIEW AND COMMENT

1. RRM Design Group will prepare and present for discussion, the final-draft illustratives of the Key Sites (location diagram included with the agenda) prioritized from the May 22nd meeting. The illustratives will be included in the master plan as examples of potential design/planning solutions to achieve the objectives of the General Plan – 60 min.

The Key Sites include:

- a. Rodeo Park
 - b. North Subarea Pedestrian Bridge
 - c. River Promenade
 - d. Traders Lane
2. RRM Design Group will prepare and present for discussion, a matrix of the goals and guidelines from the Folsom Lake State Recreation Area General Plan summarized and focused specifically on the River District Master Plan, Lake Natoma, and public feedback previously received – 30 min.
 3. CAC discussion with RRM Design Group regarding the draft outline of the River District Master Plan document (included with the agenda) – 15 min.
 4. CAC consideration of adding a committee meeting in August (Thursday, August 15th or Monday, August the 26th) – 5 min.

INFORMATION ITEMS

5. CAC Meeting Notes from the June 5, 2024 meeting (included with agenda)

BUSINESS FROM THE FLOOR

Members of the public are entitled to address the Committee concerning any item within the Committee's subject matter jurisdiction. Public comments are limited to no more than three minutes. Except for certain specific exceptions, the Committee is prohibited from discussing or taking action on any item not appearing on the posted agenda.

NEXT MEETING DATE

To Be Determined

ADJOURNMENT

NOTICE: Members of the public are entitled to directly address the Committee concerning any item that is described in the notice of this meeting, before or during consideration of that item. If you wish to address the Committee on an issue which is on this agenda, please complete a blue/green speaker request card, and deliver it to a staff member prior to discussion of the item. When your name is called, stand to be recognized by the Chair and then proceed to the podium. If you wish to address the Committee on any other item of interest to the public, when the Chair asks if there is any "Business from the Floor," follow the same procedure described above. Please limit your comments to three minutes or less.

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you are a person with a disability and you need a disability-related modification or accommodation to participate in this meeting, please contact the City Manager's Office at (916) 461-6010, or mkasama@folsom.ca.us. Requests must be made as early as possible and at least two full business days before the start of the meeting.

Any documents produced by the City and distributed to the Committee regarding any item on this agenda will be made available at the City Clerk's Counter at City Hall located at 50 Natoma Street, Folsom, California during normal business hours.

Attachment 2

CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE Comments/Responses

September 12, 2024

River District Master Plan Citizens Advisory Committee Comments/Responses September 12, 2024

The following are the chapter by chapter “big issue” comments and responses/actions, and locations within the updated Vision Plan document.

GENERAL COMMENTS/RECOMMENDATIONS		ACTION	LOCATION	
1.	After discussion of several plan chapters, a motion was made and seconded, recommending that the project plan be renamed the “ <u>River District Vision Plan.</u> ” The motion was approved unanimously by all CAC members present, 15-0. General acknowledgement that many site-specific master plans and supporting analyses will follow the Vision Plan.	The plan is renamed “ <u>River District Vision Plan.</u> ” Paragraph added in Chapter 1 to better define plan, and outline of future steps. Document scrubbed for “master plan” and replaced as appropriate.	Cover, throughout document, Ch 1.A. 4 th ¶	
2.	Identify/recommend priorities for future action so that the plan is not static immediately after approval. Prioritize Key Site implementation.	Recommended priorities outlined in Chapter 4.	Ch 4, p 83-92	
3.	From Staff: Incorporate addenda presented at the beginning of the 8/26 CAC meeting where appropriate.	Pedestrian Circulation Network Enhancement added and Economic considerations added	Ch 3, p 68; and Ch 3, p 80	
CHAPTER ONE - INTRODUCTION AND PROJECT OVERVIEW				
No.	CAC MEMBER	COMMENT	ACTION	LOCATION
1.	Muldavin	Suggests amplify emphasis on preservation. Concept heard repeatedly from public engagement	Comment noted. Amplifications made where applicable.	Ch 1.A & C.iii, F.ii, Ch 2.C, Ch 3.A
2.	Cabrera	Remove “where appropriate” from Guiding Principle 1.	Phrase removed.	p. 21 and 47
3.	Grassl	Suggest that various stakeholders and residents have specific interests, Corp Yard planning is inadequate, suggests a subcommittee on areas of interest/expertise. Document has no focus.	Comment noted. Additional resident/stakeholder work will need to follow in future implementation steps.	Ch 4.C.i 3 rd ¶
4.	Lane, Jennifer	Suggests “education” should be amplified in document.	Education will be added to interpretation where appropriate – “interpretation and education,” beginning w/ Principle 4	Various, p. 21 and 47
5.	Horton	No comment		
6.	Gagliardi	No comment		
7.	Moreno	Ongoing concern about future ground disturbance. Suggests amplifying the phrase that “the River District is a cultural landscape” throughout document.	Comment noted. Additional amplifications made regarding minimizing ground disturbance.	Various places in Ch 2,3,4 and Fig 5,6,7
8.	Dulgar	No comment		

9.	Swartwood	Suggests adding “education” to interpretation	Education will be added to interpretation where appropriate – “interpretation and education,” beginning w/ Principle 4	Various, p. 21 and 47
10.	Leary	Suggests adding “conserve” to Guiding Principle 4	“Conserve” added to Principle 4	p. 21 and 47
11.	Bailey	Suggests adding emphasis to “partnerships with State Parks.”	Comment noted. Additional amplifications made.	Various places
12.	Flynn	Suggests clarifying intention of the word “opportunities,” possibly change to “recommendations?”	Clarifications made in several places.	Ch 1, 2 and 3
13.	Kempton	Concerned additional project opportunities throughout the District are not addressed, specifically at BMB and Willow Cr.	FLSRA project partnership opportunities clarified and amplified.	Ch 2.C.ii, p. 28-29
14.	Mukerjee - Hoffstadt	Suggests adding “education” to Guiding Principle 4 after the word celebrate.	“Educate” added to Principle 4	p. 21 and 47
15.	Reynolds	Suggests emphasizing “walkable city” in Guiding Principle 2.	Principle 2 modified to include “walkable city.” Additional subject matter discussion added for emphasis.	p. 21 and 47, various other places
16.	Lane, John	Suggests adding the word “partnerships” and “stakeholders” to Guiding Principle 1. Concurs with adding “educate” to Principle 4.	“Partnerships” added to Principle 1. Emphasis added in other places.	p. 21 and 47, various other places

CHAPTER TWO - OPPORTUNITIES AND CONSTRAINTS

No.	CAC MEMBER	COMMENT	ACTION	LOCATION
17.	Mukerjee-Hoffstadt	Comments this chapter is “encyclopedic” in raw information.	Comment noted.	
18.	Kempton	Concerned about no list of opportunities from the FLSRA General Plan – especially BMB + Willow Cr.	Comment noted. Emphasis added.	Ch 2.C.ii, p. 28-29
19.	Flynn	Suggests clarifying intention of the word “opportunities,” possibly change to “recommendations?”	Clarifications made in several places.	Ch 1, 2 and 3
20.	Bailey	General comment – overwhelming.	Comment noted.	
21.	Swartwood	No comment		
22.	Dulgar	No comment		
23.	Gagliardi	Questioned location of Alder Cr. bridge	Explained at meeting. No action.	
24.	Moreno	Suggests adding the word “eco” to cultural landscapes on page 21, 4 th bullet – Cultural Resources. Under 8 th bullet – Highly Constrained, after the word wetlands, add “cultural resources.” Add note in legend	4 th bullet revised to read – “Such as historical, archaeological sites, and eco-cultural landscapes. “Cultural resources added to 8 th bullet, page 21. Legend boxes updated.	p. 23 - 27

		boxes on pages 22, 23 and 24 – “The River District is considered an eco-cultural landscape.”		
25.	Horton	No comment		
26.	Grassl	<p>Suggests having a discussion with State Parks regarding the distinction between a state park and a state recreation area particularly with regard to contemplative recreation.</p> <p>After discussion with State Parks staff, no criteria for contemplative recreation were found in their policies or law. However, it is considered in their communication with the public. From a State Parks brochure: <i>“Parks maintain not only the natural beauty of California, but provide recreation, escape, history and a special place for contemplation and reflection.”</i></p>	<p>Comment noted. The FLSRA + FSHP General Plan include definitions of both units and reference the California Public Resources Code (PRC 5019.59). Other PRC sections apply as well. From the PRC sections...the emphasis in <u>State Parks</u> is the protection, preservation and restoration of natural and cultural resources and accommodating recreation activities that are consistent with the protection of those resources. <u>State Recreation Areas</u> are intended to provide multiple recreational opportunities and are selected to have “...terrain capable of withstanding extensive human impact and for their proximity to large population centers, major routes of travel, or proven recreational resources such as manmade or natural bodies of water.”</p>	
27.	Lane, Jennifer	No comment		
28.	Muldavin	Suggests that the same issue is discussed in multiple places – confusing. Bring opportunities forward and organize more clearly.	Comment noted. Chapters and sections have been updated throughout the Vision Plan to reduce duplication and improve organization.	Various
29.	Reynolds	Comment: Map graphics (colors and screening) unclear. Suggests adding “Vehicular Circulation” discussion. May need to add as another study in Implementation – Chapter 6.	Maps updated in Chapter 2. Suggestion of a traffic study included in Chapter 4.	p. 25 – 27, p. 35 and p. 89
30.	Lane, John	Suggests distinguishing between higher priority opportunities and lower priority based on Social Pinpoint and survey responses. Not all opportunities have equal weight.	Comment noted. Priorities and recommendations have been added throughout the Vision Plan to distinguish timing of projects	Various
CHAPTER THREE - ENVIRONMENTAL AND CULTURAL RESOURCES				
No.	CAC MEMBER	COMMENT	ACTION	LOCATION
31.	Horton	No comment		

32.	Dulgar	Concerned about potential development impacts on water quality.	Comment noted. Potential for water quality impacts will be addressed in follow-up CEQA analysis of project-specific proposals.	
33.	Swartwood	Comment: State Parks and Bureau of Reclamation are generally not concerned about economic development. State Parks does not consider the Lake Natoma area “underutilized.” Bullet list on page 35 are not “themes,” should change to “topics.”	Comment noted. Underutilized removed where appropriate. Change section B title to read: “Interpretation Interests and Story/Topic Opportunities.” Replace themes with “topics” in 3 rd ¶	p. 42
34.	Leary	Suggests that “Natomas Ditch” should be added to bullet list on page 35.	Natomas Ditch and broader American River Water history added to list.	p. 42
35.	Bailey	No comment		
36.	Flynn	Suggests that the “long-range interpretive plan” (2 nd ¶) should be highlighted as a big opportunity.	Comment noted. Discussion reorganized to add emphasis.	p. 41
37.	Kempton	No comment		
38.	Mukerjee-Hoffstadt	Suggests additional missing topics include “natural settings, natural history, and the natural world.”	Comment noted. Topics added to list.	p. 42
39.	Reynolds	Suggests the bullet list could move to Chapter 6, but reference high-level topics here, such as environment, cultural history, etc.	Comment noted. Moved to consolidated sections: Ch 2.F and G	p. 42 - 43
40.	Muldavin	Suggests that section “A” could be moved forward to a section in Chapter 2, and page 35 could be merged with info on page 74, and that Chapter 3 could be eliminated.	Comment noted. Section A moved. Interpretive topics consolidated. Chapter 3 eliminated.	p. 23, p 42-43
41.	Cabrera	Suggests Chapter 3 could fall under Opportunities and Constraints – Chapter 2.	Comment noted. Chapter 3 merged with Chapter 2.	p. 23+
42.	Grassl	Commented on general agreement with others’ previous statements. Suggests that this chapter title could be focus of the document; and add “re-wilding” to the bullet list; along with history of Corp Yard site – Old Chinatown, Negro Bar, etc.	Comment noted. Environmental, historical and cultural elements are woven throughout and highlighted in the document. “Re-wilding and Corp Yard site history” are added to the bullet list.	p. 42
43.	Moreno	Suggests adding the phrase “...to protect and to prevent unnecessary...” to page 34, 2 nd bullet; adding the phrase “...in conjunction with Native American	Comment noted. Suggestions incorporated into text.	p. 23-24

		tribes” for the presence of... to page 34, 3 rd bullet; modifying the 1 st sentence in the last paragraph page 34 to include the present – “tribes are still here;” page 35, 1 st paragraph remove the word “amazing;” page 35 2 nd paragraph, add “and Native American tribes” to collaboration with State Parks...		
44.	Gagliardi	No comment		
CHAPTER FOUR – ECONOMIC CONSIDERATIONS				
No.	CAC MEMBER	COMMENT	ACTION	LOCATION
45.	Reynolds	Comment: Discussion is too general. Is there a tipping point that for population/density that causes a community to thrive? Is there a formula...density x proximity?	Comment noted. A thriving community or district is dependent on several factors and unfortunately, no formula exists that applies universally. A discussion of these factors is added in Chapter 2.	Ch 2.D, p. 31-34
46.	Kempton	Comment: discussion is fairly weak	Comment noted. Discussion moved to Chapter 2.D.	p. 31-34
47.	Mukerjee-Hoffstadt	Comment: discussion is fairly weak	Comment noted. Discussion moved to Chapter 2.D.	p. 31-34
48.	Flynn	Suggests this text may be more appropriate as a section in Chapter 2 – Opportunities and Constraints.	Comment noted. Discussion moved to Chapter 2.D.	p. 31-34
49.	Bailey	No comment		
50.	Swartwood	No comment		
51.	Dulgar	No comment		
52.	Gagliardi	Suggests a secondary district boundary be identified that acknowledges existing and future land uses immediately outside the River District boundary that could/would benefit from district principles, furthering the influence of the Vision Plan. When specific project proposals are active, further analysis is needed. Suggests adding additional discussion of “placemaking.”	Comment noted. Discussion added in Chapter 4.	p. 89
53.	Horton	No comment		
54.	Grassl	Suggests that natural resource stewardship and infrastructure must be enhanced and/or built to support increased tourism. What kind of tourism is sought? Suggests housing comments are redundant.	Comment noted. Additional discussion added in Chapter 2. Housing discussion remains.	p. 32-34

55.	Leary	Suggest adding objective of increasing opportunities and capacity of existing retail centers in close proximity to River District that are underutilized...i.e., Natoma Station area.	Comment noted. Additional discussion added. Increasing capacity of existing retail centers is discussed in the Economic Development Strategic Action Plan recently presented to the city council.	Ch 2.D, p. 31-34
56.	Cabrera	No comment		
57.	Muldavin	Suggests consider moving Chapter 4 to a section of Chapter 2.	Comment noted. Discussion moved to Chapter 2.D.	p. 31-34
58.	Lane, John	Comment: make sure plan statements and recommendations are real and not over-stated.	Comment noted. Changed language throughout to ensure our language is not overstated.	
CHAPTER FIVE – DESIGN CONCEPTS FOR KEY SITES				
No.	CAC MEMBER	COMMENT	ACTION	LOCATION
59.	Moreno	Comment: Four tribes attended meeting w/ Lane and Goss. Expressed high sensitivity regarding ground disturbance within the district, particularly close to the river. Conveyed that other tribes at the meeting suggested that the bridge concept be moved upstream to the previously quarried parts of the bank where ground disturbance has already occurred.	Comment noted. Additional amplifications made regarding minimizing ground disturbance. Suggestion to move bridge location upstream included in Chapter 3.	Various places in Ch 2,3,4 and p. 48
60.	Gagliardi	Comment: The five Key Sites equal a Vision Plan, may not need economic analysis at this level. Vision Plan comment led to vote on name change.	Comment noted. See General Comment #1 above. Plan name changed to "Vision Plan."	
61.	Dulgar	No comment		
62.	Swartwood	Suggests there should be a narrative of the process of project development inclusive of State Parks and Native American tribes.	Comment noted. Additional emphasis added.	Ch 4.C.ii, p. 88-89
63.	Bailey	Suggests adding conference center to the general land use matrix for the Corp Yard. Similar comment came from the Jt. Commission Workshop. Add "feeling" or similar descriptor to the hospitality description reference to Asilomar.	Comment noted. Additional language added. Conference Center added to potential land use matrix.	Ch 3, Key Site 5, p. 69
64.	Leary	Comment: Documentation/narrative of engagement process with State Parks and Native American tribes is vital to achieve a "partnership."	Comment noted. Additional emphasis added.	Ch 4.C.ii, p. 88-89

65.	Flynn	Comment: Clarify/correct Rodeo Park labeling on exhibits...page 46 (missing labels), and page 50 missing #5 location on plan. Suggests that pages 71 – 74 could be separate chapter as it is a “program” discussion, not Key Sites.	Rodeo Park plan corrections made. Program discussion moved to separate section.	p. 52, 54, 56; Ch 2.F and G.
66.	Kempton	No comment		
67.	Mukerjee-Hoffstadt	No comment		
68.	Reynolds	Comment: Plan needs marketing “oomph” end to end. Suggests bringing the Pedestrian Circulation Network Enhancement forward to a more prominent place in the document.	Comment noted. Emphasizing “walkable city” in discussion at conclusion of Key Sites.	p. 80-81
69.	Lane, John	Comment: EPS/Economic Analysis is generic and more of a discussion. Suggests bringing Key Sites forward in document as a priority and moving Opportunities and Constraints to secondary position. Suggests Wayfinding, Streetscape and Recreation enhancements (page 71) move to Implementation. No consideration of revenue to the city – property tax, sales tax, and TOT?	Comment noted. Discussion adjustments within document made. Unfortunately, current tourist spending data “per tourist” is not readily available (or available at all, especially data that would be specific to Folsom) but additional detail was added.	Various, and Ch 2
70.	Muldavin	Suggests that pages 71 – 74 could be separate chapter as it is a “program” discussion, not Key Sites, could be as important as Key Sites. Comment: correct information on page 63.	Comment noted. Program discussion moved to separate section.	Ch 2.F and G
71.	Cabrera	Suggests that pages 71 – 74 could be separate chapter as it is a “program” discussion, not Key Sites, could be as important as Key Sites	Comment noted. Program discussion moved to separate section.	Ch 2.F and G
72.	Grassl	Comment: Concerned about potential traffic/transportation impacts. Suggests a River District Specific Plan be prepared.	Comment noted. Traffic studies are a typical requirement of site specific, proposed project analyses and the CEQA process. Consideration of a Specific Plan is subject to a future city council decision. Additional discussion added in Chapter 4.	p. 89
73.	Horton	No comment, suggestions previously made.		

CHAPTER SIX - IMPLEMENTATION

No.	CAC MEMBER	COMMENT	ACTION	LOCATION
-----	------------	---------	--------	----------

74.	Lane, John	Suggests enhancement of table on pages 80-81 (Figure 24) to similar format to the table in the River District Organizing Committee's report related to State Parks programs	Comment noted. Table expanded to illustrate FLSRA and RTMP consistency.	p. 86-87
75.	Reynolds	Comment: Relative costs low to high are key to feasibility and priority alignment. There are many more studies and master plans to come.	Comment noted. No additional action.	
76.	Muldavin	Comment: Several programmatic efforts can be implemented for relatively low cost.	Comment noted and indicated in the plan. No additional action.	
77.	Cabrera	No comment		
78.	Grassl	No comment		
79.	Horton	No comment		
80.	Leary	No comment		
81.	Dulgar	No comment		
82.	Swartwood	No comment		
83.	Bailey	No comment		
84.	Flynn	No comment		
85.	Kempton	Comment: Chapter 6 jumps around. Suggests adding "Access – Black Miners Bar and Willow Creek as separate projects." Comment: What are next steps?...prioritize, more committee work, more staff work, need clarity.	Comments noted. Additional project suggestions added along with clarification of next steps.	Ch. 4.C.i-iv, p. 88-92
86.	Mukerjee-Hoffstadt	Comment: Likes table p. 80-81. Would like to see it expanded to include more columns and more topics.	Comment noted. Table expanded to illustrate FLSRA and RTMP consistency.	p. 86-87
87.	Reynolds	Comment: Concerned on how to keep the Vision Plan top of mind over the years?	Comment noted. Additional language added clarifying responsibility.	Ch. 4.C.i-iv, p. 88

WRITTEN COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM CAC MEMBERS – August 26, 2024

Each comment requires consideration by the full CAC for inclusion in the Vision Plan

No.	CAC MEMBER	COMMENT	ACTION	LOCATION
1.	Flynn	Suggests providing a revenue estimate for Rodeo Park soccer field upgrades, tournament use, etc., page 52	Comment noted. At the current level of planning (vision plan), providing a meaningful estimate of revenues is not practical because field type (natural grass vs synthetic turf), lights, tournament sponsor (public or private), analysis	

			of regional tournament schedules, and youth (age group) and/or adult use are programming decisions more appropriate for a true site master planning and programming exercise.	
2.	Kempton	<p>Suggests making the following changes to the River District Project Summary Chart on pages 86-87:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Modify Recreation/Access to include: Improve “access” to Willow Creek and improve Willow Creek parking lot and amenities. • Add “Recreation/Access to include: Improve access to Black Miners Bar, including boat storage and emergency launching facilities.” 	CAC discussion at 9/18 mtg.	Ch 4, p. 86-87
3.	Kempton	<p>Suggests under Potential River District Programs and Measures, page 88 adding a bullet:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • “Coordinate with State Parks to provide improvements to boat launching facilities at Black Miners Bar, including boat storage and emergency launching facilities.” 	CAC discussion at 9/18 mtg.	Ch 4.C.i, p. 88
4.	Lane, John	<p>Suggest adding additional sub-bullets under principles where appropriate, page 50:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Expand an existing trail network to bring a new loop trail passive recreation opportunity to a highly visited area. • Create a unique educational trail opportunity to teach about the Powerhouse, Folsom history (original log dams), and native cultures. • Creates a unique opportunity to bring appropriate Native American designs both into the trail and bridge, and partner with local tribes to implement the project. 	CAC discussion at 9/18 mtg.	Ch 3, p. 50, Key Site 1

5.	Lane, John	<p>Suggest adding additional sub-bullets under principles where appropriate, page 55:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Work with neighbors to alleviate and mitigate noise, traffic, nuisance, and any other factors that might be appropriate. • Develop a plan of public/private partnerships where possible and appropriate to alleviate costs to the city and to maximize safety, and minimize potential impacts to neighbors. 	CAC discussion at 9/18 mtg.	Ch 3, p. 55 Key Site 2
6.	Lane, John	<p>Suggest adding additional sub-bullet under principles where appropriate, page 61:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increase the walking/passive recreation connection between the Historic District and Lake Natoma. 	CAC discussion at 9/18 mtg.	Ch 3, p. 61 Key Site 3
7.	Lane, John	<p>Suggest adding additional sub-bullet under principles where appropriate, page 63:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Develop a location in the River District where there is significant public pedestrian traffic • Install significant way finding and historical information kiosks and monuments to educate people as to the history of Folsom and the area • Create a connection to the other existing historical sites/educational opportunities such as the Railroad depot, Power House Interpretive Center, Folsom History Museum, etc. 	CAC discussion at 9/18 mtg.	Ch 3, p. 63 Key Site 4

Attachment 3

CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE Meeting Notes

August 26, 2024



**RIVER DISTRICT MASTER PLAN
CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING NOTES
August 26, 2024, 6:00 PM
Folsom Community Center, (RG Smith Meeting Room)
52 Natoma Street, Folsom, CA**

COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

Lynne Bailey	Rita Mukerjee Hoffstadt	Krystal Moreno
Jennifer Cabrera	Karen Holmes	Scott Muldavin
Bruce Cline	Lisa Horton	Brian Murch
Claudia Cummings	Will Kempton	Mike Reynolds
Brian Dulgar	Jennifer Lane	Edward Roza
Pat Flynn	John Lane	Devin Swartwood
Joe Gagliardi	Barbara Leary	Crystal Tobias
Deborah Grassl	Jim Lofgren	Srinivas Yanaparti

CALL TO ORDER 6:00 pm

ROLL CALL

Present: Lynne Bailey, Jennifer Cabrera, Brian Dulgar, Pat Flynn, Joe Gagliardi, Deborah Grassl, Rita Mukerjee-Hoffstadt, Lisa Horton, Will Kempton, Jennifer Lane, John Lane, Barbara Leary, Krystal Moreno, Scott Muldavin, Mike Reynolds, Devin Swartwood

Absent: Bruce Cline, Claudia Cummings, Karen Holmes, Jim Lofgren, Brian Murch, Edward Roza, Crystal Tobias, Srinivas Yanaparti

GROUP DISCUSSION/REVIEW AND COMMENT

1. River District Master Plan, dated August 21, 2024

River District Master Plan comments from CAC members are included as Attachment 1 to this document.

INFORMATION ITEMS

2. CAC Meeting Notes from the July 24, 2024, meeting (included with 8/26/24 agenda)

BUSINESS FROM THE FLOOR (one speaker request card)

Members of the public are entitled to address the Committee concerning any item within the Committee's subject matter jurisdiction. Public comments are limited to no more than three minutes. Except for certain specific exceptions, the Committee is prohibited from discussing or taking action on any item not appearing on the posted agenda.

Hettinger – Expressed concern about process, the scale of the project and the need for more certainty to be included in the plan. Recommends the city prepare a Specific Plan for not only the River District area, but all 98 blocks of the Historic District.

NEXT MEETING DATE

September 18, 2024 – 6:00 pm at the Folsom Community Center – RG Smith Room, 52 Natoma Street.

ADJOURNMENT

NOTICE: Members of the public are entitled to directly address the Committee concerning any item that is described in the notice of this meeting, before or during consideration of that item. If you wish to address the Committee on an issue which is on this agenda, please complete a blue/green speaker request card, and deliver it to a staff member prior to discussion of the item. When your name is called, stand to be recognized by the Chair and then proceed to the podium. If you wish to address the Committee on any other item of interest to the public, when the Chair asks if there is any "Business from the Floor," follow the same procedure described above. Please limit your comments to three minutes or less.

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you are a person with a disability and you need a disability-related modification or accommodation to participate in this meeting, please contact the City Manager's Office at (916) 461-6010, or mkasama@folsom.ca.us. Requests must be made as early as possible and at least two full business days before the start of the meeting.

Any documents produced by the City and distributed to the Committee regarding any item on this agenda will be made available at the City Clerk's Counter at City Hall located at 50 Natoma Street, Folsom, California during normal business hours.

Attachment 1

River District Citizens Advisory Committee Comments

Dated August 26, 2024

River District Master Plan Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC), Monday, August 26, 2024

The following are the chapter by chapter “big issue” comments and suggested revisions by CAC members.

CHAPTER ONE – INTRODUCTION AND PROJECT OVERVIEW		
No.	CAC MEMBER	COMMENT
1.	Muldavin	Suggests amplify emphasis on preservation. Concept heard repeatedly from public engagement.
2.	Cabrera	Remove “where appropriate” from Guiding Principle 1.
3.	Grassl	Suggest that various stakeholders and residents have specific interests, Corp Yard planning is inadequate, suggests a subcommittee on areas of interest/expertise. Document has no focus.
4.	Lane, Jennifer	Suggests “education” should be amplified in document.
5.	Horton	No comment
6.	Gagliardi	No comment
7.	Moreno	Ongoing concern about future ground disturbance. Suggests amplifying the phrase that “the River District is a cultural landscape” throughout document.
8.	Dulgar	No comment
9.	Swartwood	Suggests adding “education” to interpretation.
10.	Leary	Suggests adding “conserve” to Guiding Principle 4.
11.	Bailey	Suggests adding emphasis to “partnerships with State Parks.”
12.	Flynn	Suggests clarifying intention of the word “opportunities,” possibly change to “recommendations?”
13.	Kempton	Concerned additional project opportunities throughout the District are not addressed, specifically at BMB and Willow Cr.
14.	Mukerjee - Hoffstadt	Suggests adding “education” to Guiding Principle 4 after the word celebrate.
15.	Reynolds	Suggests emphasizing “walkable city” in Guiding Principle 2.
16.	Lane, John	Suggests adding the word “partnerships” and “stakeholders” to Guiding Principle 1. Concurs with adding “educate” to Principle 4.
CHAPTER TWO – OPPORTUNITIES AND CONSTRAINTS		
No.	CAC MEMBER	COMMENT
17.	Mukerjee-Hoffstadt	Comments this chapter is “encyclopedic” in raw information.
18.	Kempton	Concerned about no list of opportunities from the FLSRA General Plan – especially BMB + Willow Cr.
19.	Flynn	Suggests clarifying intention of the word “opportunities,” possibly change to “recommendations?”
20.	Bailey	General comment – overwhelming.
21.	Swartwood	No comment
22.	Dulgar	No comment
23.	Gagliardi	Questioned location of Alder Cr. Bridge.
24.	Moreno	Suggests adding the word “eco” to cultural landscapes on page 21, 4 th bullet – Cultural Resources. Under 8 th bullet – Highly Constrained, after the word wetlands, add “cultural resources.” Add note in legend boxes on pages 22, 23 and 24 – “The River District is considered an eco-cultural landscape.”
25.	Horton	No comment

26.	Grassl	Suggests having a discussion with State Parks regarding the distinction between a state park and a state recreation area particularly with regard to contemplative recreation.
27.	Lane, Jennifer	No comment
28.	Muldavin	Suggests that the same issue is discussed in multiple places – confusing. Bring opportunities forward and organize more clearly.
29.	Reynolds	Comment: Map graphics (colors and screening) unclear. Suggests adding “Vehicular Circulation” discussion. May need to add as another study in Implementation – Chapter 6.
30.	Lane, John	Suggests distinguishing between higher priority opportunities and lower priority based on Social Pinpoint and survey responses. Not all opportunities have equal weight.

CHAPTER THREE – ENVIRONMENTAL AND CULTURAL RESOURCES

No.	CAC MEMBER	COMMENT
31.	Horton	No comment
32.	Dulgar	Concerned about potential development impacts on water quality.
33.	Swartwood	Comment: State Parks and Bureau of Reclamation are generally not concerned about economic development. State Parks does not consider the Lake Natoma area “underutilized.” Bullet list on page 35 are not “themes,” should change to “topics.”
34.	Leary	Suggests that “Natomas Ditch” should be added to bullet list on page 35.
35.	Bailey	No comment
36.	Flynn	Suggests that the “long-range interpretive plan” (2 nd ¶), page 35, should be highlighted as a big opportunity.
37.	Kempton	No comment
38.	Mukerjee-Hoffstadt	Suggests additional missing topics include “natural settings, natural history, and the natural world.”
39.	Reynolds	Suggests the bullet list could move to Chapter 6, but reference high-level topics here, such as environment, cultural history, etc.
40.	Muldavin	Suggests that section “A” could be moved forward to a section in Chapter 2, and page 35 could be merged with info on page 74, and that Chapter 3 could be eliminated.
41.	Cabrera	Suggests Chapter 3 could fall under Opportunities and Constraints – Chapter 2.
42.	Grassl	Commented on general agreement with others’ previous statements. Suggests that this chapter title could be focus of the document; and add “re-wilding” to the bullet list; along with history of Corp Yard site – Old Chinatown, Negro Bar, etc.
43.	Moreno	Suggests adding the phrase “...to protect and to prevent unnecessary...” to page 34, 2 nd bullet; adding the phrase “...in conjunction with Native American tribes” for the presence of... to page 34, 3 rd bullet; modifying the 1 st sentence in the last paragraph page 34 to include the present – “tribes are still here;” page 35, 1 st paragraph remove the word “amazing;” page 35 2 nd paragraph, add “and Native American tribes” to collaboration with State Parks...
44.	Gagliardi	No comment

CHAPTER FOUR – ECONOMIC CONSIDERATIONS

No.	CAC MEMBER	COMMENT
45.	Reynolds	Comment: Discussion is too general. Is there a tipping point that for population/density that causes a community to thrive? Is there a formula...density x proximity?
46.	Kempton	Comment: discussion is fairly weak

47.	Mukerjee-Hoffstadt	Comment: discussion is fairly weak
48.	Flynn	Suggests this text may be more appropriate as a section in Chapter 2 – Opportunities and Constraints.
49.	Bailey	No comment
50.	Swartwood	No comment
51.	Dulgar	No comment
52.	Gagliardi	Suggests a secondary district boundary be identified that acknowledges existing and future land uses immediately outside the River District boundary that could/would benefit from district principles, furthering the influence of the Vision Plan. When specific project proposals are active, further analysis is needed. Suggests adding additional analysis and discussion of “placemaking.”
53.	Horton	No comment
54.	Grassl	Suggests that natural resource stewardship and infrastructure must be enhanced and/or built to support increased tourism. What kind of tourism is sought? Suggests housing comments are redundant.
55.	Leary	Suggest adding objective of increasing opportunities and capacity of existing retail centers in close proximity to River District that are underutilized...i.e., Natoma Station area.
56.	Cabrera	No comment
57.	Muldavin	Suggests consider moving Chapter 4 to a section of Chapter 2.
58.	Lane, John	Comment: make sure plan statements and recommendations are real and not over-stated.

CHAPTER FIVE – DESIGN CONCEPTS FOR KEY SITES

No.	CAC MEMBER	COMMENT
59.	Moreno	Comment: Four tribes attended meeting w/ Lane and Goss. Expressed high sensitivity regarding ground disturbance within the district, particularly close to the river. Conveyed that other tribes at the meeting suggested that the bridge concept be moved upstream to the previously quarried parts of the bank where ground disturbance has already occurred.
60.	Gagliardi	Comment: The five Key Sites equal a Vision Plan, may not need economic analysis at this level. Vision Plan comment led to vote on name change.
61.	Dulgar	No comment
62.	Swartwood	Suggests there should be a narrative of the process of project development inclusive of State Parks and Native American tribes.
63.	Bailey	Suggests adding conference center to the general land use matrix for the Corp Yard. Similar comment came from the Jt. Commission Workshop. Add “feeling” or similar descriptor to the hospitality description reference to Asilomar.
64.	Leary	Comment: Documentation/narrative of engagement process with State Parks and Native American tribes is vital to achieve a “partnership.”
65.	Flynn	Comment: Clarify/correct Rodeo Park labeling on exhibits...page 46 (missing labels), and page 50 missing #5 location on plan. Suggests that pages 71 – 74 could be separate chapter as it is a “program” discussion, not Key Sites.
66.	Kempton	No comment
67.	Mukerjee-Hoffstadt	No comment
68.	Reynolds	Comment: Plan needs marketing “oomph” end to end. Suggests bringing the Pedestrian Circulation Network Enhancement forward to a more prominent place in the document.

69.	Lane, John	Comment: EPS/Economic Analysis is generic and more of a discussion. Suggests bringing Key Sites forward in document as a priority and moving Opportunities and Constraints to secondary position. Suggests Wayfinding, Streetscape and Recreation enhancements (page 71) move to Implementation. No consideration of revenue to the city – property tax, sales tax, and TOT?
70.	Muldavin	Suggests that pages 71 – 74 could be separate chapter as it is a “program” discussion, not Key Sites, could be as important as Key Sites. Comment: correct information on page 63.
71.	Cabrera	Suggests that pages 71 – 74 could be separate chapter as it is a “program” discussion, not Key Sites, could be as important as Key Sites
72.	Grassl	Comment: Concerned about potential traffic/transportation impacts. Suggests a River District Specific Plan be prepared.
73.	Horton	No comment, suggestions previously made.
CHAPTER SIX - IMPLEMENTATION		
No.	CAC MEMBER	COMMENT
74.	Lane, John	Suggests enhancement of table on pages 80-81 (Figure 24) to similar format to the table in the River District Organizing Committee’s report related to State Parks programs
75.	Reynolds	Comment: Relative costs low to high are key to feasibility and priority alignment. There are many more studies and master plans to come.
76.	Muldavin	Comment: Several programmatic efforts can be implemented for relatively low cost.
77.	Cabrera	No comment
78.	Grassl	No comment
79.	Horton	No comment
80.	Leary	No comment
81.	Dulgar	No comment
82.	Swartwood	No comment
83.	Bailey	No comment
84.	Flynn	No comment
85.	Kempton	Comment: Chapter 6 jumps around. Suggests adding “Access – Black Miners Bar and Willow Creek as separate projects.” Indicated he will send a few additional comments. Comment: What are next steps?...prioritize, more committee work, more staff work, need clarity.
86.	Mukerjee-Hoffstadt	Comment: Likes table. Would like to see it expanded to include more columns and more topics.
87.	Reynolds	Comment: Concerned on how to keep the Vision Plan top of mind over the years?